



Recreation Needs Assessment Study

March 2020







Table of Contents

Executive Summary	i
Understanding Recreation Needs	1
Acknowledging the Value of Recreation	5
Evolving Community Characteristics	12
Engaging in Recreation	17
Observing Needs and Expectations	24
Identifying Priorities	33

Appendices

Study Methodology 58	8
Detailed Survey Data	7
Rocky View County Population Age Distribution	9
Survey of Facilities in Urban Communities	0
Residents' Perceptions of Service Provision Approaches	1
Publicly Accessible Facilities/Amenities	
Information from the Community Profile Technical Report	4
Information from the Stakeholder Engagement Findings Technical Report	8
Information from the Community Survey Technical Report 84	4
Summary of Focus Group Discussions 106	6



Executive Summary

Public recreation contributes to the wellbeing of individuals and supports the development of communities. Recognizing these contributions, Rocky View County values and supports the provision of recreation and cultural opportunities to help people and communities create recreational habits for life.

Fundamental to this principle is facilitating the provision of facilities and services in appropriate locations to ensure adequate and equitable access and availability for residents. To understand the perceptions of key stakeholders about these issues, the County conducted a comprehensive Countywide Recreation Needs Assessment Study. The findings of the Study will contribute to the development of a Recreation, Parks, and Community Facilities Master Plan that will be conducted for Rocky View County.

Information used to develop recommendations in the Needs Assessment Study was gathered from County planning initiatives, demographic sources, engagement with residents, and consultation with partners, service providers, and staff.

- A total of 1,996 households (or 15% of households within Rocky View County) participated in a survey of residents.
- Interviews were conducted with 6 urban municipal partners and 5 regional service provider partners.
- An online survey was conducted with 44 recreation service providers located in Rocky View County, Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, and Irricana.
- Four focus groups were conducted with 26 residents from around the County.
- Residents were provided opportunities for feedback and comment about the findings at four open house events.

HarGroup Management Consultants Inc. was engaged by Rocky View County to conduct the Recreation Needs Assessment Study.

Key Findings

- Growing population and changing residential development - The County is experiencing growth and change. It is the largest municipal district in Alberta and has been experiencing population growth, which is expected to continue. This growth will likely be prompted by the development of hamlets, that are expected to have populations of 5,000 to 10,000.
- Existing approaches to recreation service provision are benefitting residents - Facilities that receive funding through the Community Recreation Funding program are typically the highest used facilities among County residents within areas they serve.
- Residents keen on services that support unstructured/spontaneous recreation activities - Unstructured activities that can be undertaken through spontaneous participation are more common and growing than structured activities that involve programmed participation. This trend is influencing the interest in amenities that support these activities.
- Aging infrastructure Some recreation facilities are aging, which may impact future service provision.

- Service gaps identified in southeast and southwest areas of the County - While there are service needs throughout the County, notable gaps exist in the southeast and southwest areas of the community.
- Residents acknowledge that services should provide value for money - The research revealed that value for money, capacity to serve residents, and economic viability should be key influences in choices for service locations.

Conclusions and Recommendations

A summary of conclusions and recommendations developed from the recreation needs assessment include:

- Examine the roles of the County, community, residents, partners, in recreation facility development.
- Support the implementation of the findings and recommendations of the Active Transportation Plan related to pathways/trails.
- Support existing and future partnerships with urban communities for regional recreation opportunities that serve the needs of County residents.
- Identify ways to improve awareness among residents about the availability and access to existing pathways/trails.
- Consider establishing a policy framework for residents'/groups'/ developers' involvement in the development and maintenance of pathways/trails in local areas or neighbourhoods.

 Consider policies, criteria, and processes that would support capacity building within communities to enable the development of other outdoor amenities.

ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

- Consider the development of a framework continuum or system to provide guidance about decisions for facility development.
- Examine opportunities that might foster the development of recreation programming in existing facilities in local areas/communities.
- Consider adopting longer-term funding renewal cycles for Community Recreation Funding grants.
- Investigate lifecycle planning and funding opportunities that might be used to address future maintenance and development of County-owned and supported facilities.
- Consider methods of enhancing awareness among the population of the availability of existing recreation services and facilities in the community and among organizations that are supported by the County.
- Consider hamlets that are expected to grow beyond 5,000 population as possible recreation service nodes within the County where residents living in or around these hamlets can access recreation services.

Understanding Recreation Needs



Rocky View County (RVC) values and supports the provision of recreation and cultural¹ opportunities to help people and communities create recreational habits for life. Fundamental to this principle is facilitating the provision of facilities and services in appropriate locations to ensure adequate and equitable access and availability for residents. To help inform the planning, development, and funding of recreation services, RVC conducted a Recreation Needs Assessment Study.

RVC is diverse in terms of its population and demographics, residential development and density, and proximity to urban communities. It can be challenging to develop a consistent approach to the delivery of recreation services that can be applied throughout the community, especially with available resources. Even so, there are growing expectations among residents to ensure that RVC develops livable and active communities that foster and enhance the wellbeing, health, and quality of life for individuals.

The purpose of the Study is to undertake a comprehensive recreational County-wide needs assessment that examines residents' expectations, identifies service gaps, and prioritizes opportunities for development that will enable effective future service provision for RVC residents and communities.

The assessment process involved examining population and community characteristics, identifying community recreation assets, and gathering input from residents, service providers, community partners and County staff through various consultation and engagement methods (see Appendix A for details about Study Methodology). Residents' quality of life is enhanced when they are able to access a variety of recreational, social, and cultural opportunities. Strong social networks and positive relationships reinforce the ability of individuals and communities to meet needs, support one another, and adapt to change. The County, as the most visible and accessible level of government, has a role to advocate, empower, and support residents and organizations in improving their community. This requires partnerships, cooperation, and support from all levels of government, neighboring municipalities, community groups, nongovernmental organizations, and individual residents.

Goals:

- Ensure recreational, social, and cultural services and facilities are available for residents of all ages, income levels, skills, and lifestyles.
- Cultivate social connections between residents by supporting volunteerism, social networks, and local leadership.
- Develop, enhance, and manage recreation, social, and cultural services and facilities through a wide variety of partnerships.

County Plan, 2018

- A Community Survey conducted with residents resulted in 1,996 households (or 15% of households within Rocky View County) providing their input into the study process.
- Interviews were conducted with 6 urban municipal partners and 5 regional service provider partners about recreation trends and issues affecting the region.
- An online survey was conducted with 44 recreation service providers located in Rocky View County, Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, and Irricana.
- Four focus groups were conducted with 26 residents from throughout the County.
- Residents were provided opportunities for feedback and comment about the Study findings at four open house events.

¹Throughout this report, the term recreation will be used to describe arts, community, culture, fitness, leisure, outdoor, social, sport, and other recreation services, events, and activities.



Rocky View County



From these processes, various briefing documents were developed that identified salient issues for the County about recreation services. This Recreation Needs Assessment Study summarizes these issues and presents recommendations for priorities to address short, medium, and long-term service gaps within the community.

Within the recreation sector, there are various service providers including governments agencies, community-based organizations, and private companies, which results in a diverse range of recreation opportunities being available. There are also various approaches adopted by municipal governments to ensure that residents are provided opportunities to participate in recreation including direct involvement and collaboration and partnerships with other organizations.

Recreation service provision in the County is mainly delivered by community-based organizations and private companies. The County collaborates and partners with these organizations so that facilities and programs are available to residents within the community. RVC also partners with urban municipal governments, such as Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, Crossfield, and Irricana, to facilitate access for residents to public recreation services that are available in these communities. The findings from the Study will be used in operational applications when RVC recreation staff engage with residents, service providers, and partners and contribute to policy decisions that are adopted by the County's Council. It will also contribute to the development of a Recreation, Parks, and Community Facilities Master Plan that will be conducted for RVC.

HarGroup Management Consultants Inc. was engaged by RVC to conduct the Recreation Needs Assessment Study.



Acknowledging the Value of Recreation



Recreation experiences are diverse and include participation in physical activity and sport, as well as artistic, cultural, social and intellectual activities. Spiritual wellbeing can also be enhanced through connecting with nature, helping others through volunteering, and other forms of recreational experiences.

Recreational activities can be structured, such as registering for an arts program or sport activity, and unstructured, like going for a walk or working out on fitness equipment. Some facilities are more likely to be programmed for structured activities, while others will accommodate unstructured. Supporting access to different types of activities and experiences is an important aspect of service provision; particularly for public recreation that is supported and delivered by government agencies.

While many different public, not-forprofit, and private sector organizations provide recreation services, programs, and facilities, public recreation is the provision of recreation services by governments and nongovernment organizations for the benefit of all individuals within communities.





The Canadian Parks and Recreation Association has established a framework for organizations to use when fostering public recreation for community residents. The structure of this framework has been used to identify key issues within RVC and organize the findings of the recreation needs assessment presented in this document.

Definition of Recreation - Recreation is the experience that results from freely chosen participation in physical, social, intellectual, creative, and spiritual pursuits that enable individual and community wellbeing.

Vision for Recreation in Canada - We envision a Canada in which everyone is engaged in meaningful, accessible recreation experiences that foster:

- Individual Wellbeing
- Community Wellbeing
- The wellbeing of our natural and built environments.

A Framework for Recreation in Canada, Canadian Parks and Recreation Association, 2015

Goals and Priorities for Action in Recreation

Active Living



Foster active living through physical recreation.

Inclusion and Access



Increased inclusion and access to recreation for populations that face constraints to participation.

Connecting People and Nature



Help people to connect to nature through recreation.

Supportive Environments



Ensure the provision of supportive physical and social environments that encourage participation in recreation and build strong, caring communities

Recreation Capacity



Ensure the growth and sustainability of the recreation field.

Source: A Framework for Recreation in Canada, Canadian Parks and Recreation Association, 2015.

Individuals participate in recreation activities and pursuits for many different reasons including fun, enjoyment, fitness and health, social interaction, creative expression, a desire to connect with nature, and relaxation and respite. Most people also recognize the personal value of recreation and the beneficial role that recreation plays in their communities.









While residents acknowledge the benefits of recreation, there is evidence to suggest that improvements are needed to enhance opportunities and experiences within the County.

When asked about satisfaction with recreation facilities and spaces in their local areas/communities, about half of respondents to a Community Survey indicated they were very or somewhat satisfied. Further, respondents living in the east, and in particular, the southeast area of the County were much less likely to express satisfaction (see Appendix B).

As well, when asked about barriers to recreation participation, facilities, programs, and services being located too far way was commonly cited, especially in the east and southeast areas of the County (see Appendix B).



Partner representatives who were interviewed for this Study, whether from urban municipalities or service providers, also recognize recreation service gaps within the region. Many of these representatives indicated that the population growth is increasing demand for more recreation facilities and programs. These representatives also acknowledged that existing infrastructure is aging and, potentially, some facilities may need enhancement or replacement. The combination of these issues, lack of supply and aging facilities, are expected to impact the long-term capacities of recreation service providers to deliver services to residents.

With these kinds of pressures affecting the abilities of service providers to meet demand and expectations, it will be important for RVC to identify and develop effective strategies and initiatives to ensure that residents continue to have access to recreation opportunities. Decisions will need to be reached about maintaining or redeveloping existing infrastructure and building new facilities. As well, decisions will need to be made about providing services within local areas/communities in the County or collaborating and partnering with urban communities such as Airdrie, Chestermere, Cochrane, and Calgary.



Evolving Community Characteristics



RVC is experiencing growth and change.

The population of the County was 39,420 (Federal Census) in 2016 and, over the past two decades, has experienced considerable growth. Much of the increase occurred prior to 2001 and over the past decade and a half growth has been steady at approximately 1.5% per year.²

The community has an older population with almost half of residents being at least 45 years of age (compared to about a third of Calgary and Alberta residents) and this segment appears to be growing. For instance, in 1996, only a third of the population was at least 45 years of age.

The seniors population (65+) has steadily increased in the County from approximately 1,400 in 1996 to over 5,300 in 2016. Conversely, the toddler population (under 5) has increased only slightly from about 1,500 to 1,700. With a large portion of the community being mid-aged adults (45 to 64), it is expected that the seniors population will continue to increase.

Nonetheless, there are indications that younger families are moving into the County. For example, in the southeast area, there is a higher proportion of the population that is under 20; mainly due to the hamlet of Langdon, which is a growing community within the County (see Appendix C). Communities with new residential development often attract families with children and youth and it seems that hamlets within the County that are growing in population are following this pattern.



annexation has affected the Rocky View County population.







There are various hamlets situated throughout Rocky View County. Most of these communities have smaller populations (< 1,000 residents). Langdon has a sizable population (5,364, 2018 Municipal Census) and, as already noted, is growing. Between 2011 and 2016, its population increased 20%. While specific population data are not available for other hamlets over this period, it is expected that population growth has occurred for some of the communities such as Cochrane Lake and Harmony.

Hamlets within Rocky View County (Source: Rocky View County Municipal Census)				
2018				
Hamlet	Population			
Bragg Creek	459			
Cochrane Lake	769			
Conrich	21			
Dalemead	29			
Dalroy	46			
Harmony	249			
Indus	32			
Kathyrn	13			
Keoma	89			
Langdon	5,364			
Madden	26			



Page | 13



Hamlets and Business Areas Expecting Future Development in Rocky View County

Population growth is expected to continue for Rocky View County. Estimates suggest that by 2028 the population could increase to over 50,000.³ This growth is likely to be prompted by the development of hamlets, which is the preferred location of growth, as specified by the County Plan.⁴ In order to retain the rural character of the County, these hamlets will not be encouraged to grow beyond a population range of 5,000 to 10,000 residents.

Some of the hamlet developments to fit within this population range over the longterm include Balzac, Glenbow Ranch, Harmony, and Langdon. Other hamlets such as Cochrane Lake, Conrich, Bragg Creek are also expected to grow, but not to the same extent. These developments are important to consider for future recreation service provision as urban communities with populations of between 5,000 and 10,000 residents typically offer residents a mix of recreation facilities and services including parks, pathways, multi-use spaces, sports fields, ice arenas and other services to promote wellbeing, health, and quality of life among residents (see Appendix D).

> ³Source: Population Projections, Calgary Metropolitan Region Board, December 2018.
> ⁴Source: Sources: Rocky View County, County Plan, Amended 2018. Bylaw C-7885-2019, A Bylaw of Rocky View County to amend the Municipal Development Plan

(County Plan) Bylaw C-7280-2013.





Comparisons of Population (and Population Density) by Census Tracts

Source: Statistics Canada, 2016 Federal Census

Population density can affect the capacity of communities to develop and offer public recreation services. Municipalities with larger populations and higher density typically have greater market capacity to offer services. Municipalities that have areas with lower population density and expansive rural landscapes tend to encounter challenges due to lower available tax base.

Within RVC, population density is wide ranging from a low as 4 people per km² (northeast area of RVC) to as high as 1,150 people per km² (Langdon). Most areas in the County, however, have relatively low population density, including locations that are considered country residential (such as the Bearspaw area - 26 people/km², Elbow Valley area - 80 people/km², and Springbank area - 40 people/km²); particularly when compared to urban communities such as Calgary (1,501 people/km² or Airdrie - 1,863 people/km², Chestermere - 2,191 people/km², and Cochrane - 1,081 people/km²). As such, RVC, along with other rural and small-town communities throughout Alberta, have typically developed partnerships with urban communities to ensure residents have access to a full range of recreation services. For the hamlets expected to develop and grow in the County, larger populations and higher densities may enable more recreation services to be developed. Indeed, residents of these communities are likely to expect it.

For the purposes of assessing community recreation needs and future planning, it may be worth considering categories of areas within the County ranging from rural areas with non-concentrated population that is dispersed throughout an area (e.g. lower density) to urban populations that are concentrated at a higher density.

The kinds of recreation facilities often located in rural/country residential areas throughout Alberta include community halls, open spaces, playgrounds, and, in some cases ice arenas and sport fields (the latter often operated by agricultural societies). Small hamlet/village communities may have additional facilities such as parks, pathways, outdoor rinks, libraries, and seniors facilities. For these kinds of locations, it is common for recreation facilities to be operated by volunteers (except where technical expertise is needed).

Communities with populations of 5,000 to 10,000 residents in southern Alberta typically have various recreation amenities, programs, and services including parks, pathways, sports fields, multi-use spaces at community centres, ice arenas, gymnasiums at schools, and aquatic facilities (either outdoor or indoor). Few of these communities have public fitness centres/studios, unless the community is situated some distance from larger urban communities). Facilities in communities of this size are more likely to be staffed by paid employees due to the higher levels of demand and complexity of services offered. Depending on the size of these operations, the municipalities will provide subsidies for the operations of the facilities.

Examples of Area Categories that might be considered for future recreation planning:

- Rural/country residential areas Individuals living in the countryside comprised of lower density areas (e.g. less than 150 people per km²) and hamlets and villages with populations of less than 1,000. Distinctions may be given to areas that are located more than a 10 to 20-minute drive from other communities (small and large hamlet/village communities and urban communities).
- Small hamlet/village communities -Individuals in communities that have approximately 1,000 and less than 5,000 and may be lower density areas (e.g. approximately 150 to less than 400 people per km²).
- Large hamlet communities Individuals in communities with populations between 5,000 and 10,000 with higher density areas (e.g. greater than 400 people per km²).
- Urban communities (e.g. Airdrie, Cochrane, Chestermere) - Individuals in communities with populations greater than 10,000 and higher density areas (e.g. greater than 400 people per km²).

These kinds issues will become more important as the County develops more urban setting communities where residents are likely to expect higher levels of services than has typically been developed within RVC.

Engaging in Recreation



County residents have many different recreation interests and involvement in activities and programs is varied.

Some activities have much higher participation such as walking/jogging, attending community festival and events, engagement in movement/stretching fitness training, camping, swimming, and going to community parks and programs. Other activities, notably those that involve registration in programs, are generally less common among residents.

For the most part, activities that can be undertaken through unstructured participation or spontaneously are more commonly engaged in among residents than activities that are structured or involve programmed participation that requires registration for involvement or attending scheduled classes, practices, or competitions.

One of the main challenges of recreation service provision within the public realm is offering a balance of both structured and unstructured recreation opportunities through facilities and spaces for residents to engage in recreation.



Participation in Recreation among County Residents



Recreation interests tend to vary depending on age or life stage due to shifting and developing abilities, preferences, constraints, and health.

The basic philosophy of public recreation is that all people have opportunities to access quality programs, facilities, and spaces so that they can engage in recreation throughout their lives. RVC supports this perspective and encourages recreation initiatives that will foster opportunities for all County residents, regardless of age.

A major emphasis of RVC has been to develop partnerships with urban municipalities situated within its boundaries and with regional and community level service providers. Through its Community Recreation Funding program, operational funding support is provided to recreation service providers to help them operate facilities, parks, trails, programs and events. Some of the facilities/ amenities operated by service providers are volunteerbased (more common at the community level), while others use volunteers, but are primarily operated by paid-staff (typical at the regional level).

Operating grants are generally dependent on need and ability to achieve financial sustainability. Most of the funding through the Community Recreation Funding program is provided to service providers in the County, but also to providers in Airdrie and Cochrane. It is also worth noting that some of the recreation service providers are in rural/country residential areas of the County, while others are situated in hamlets. Conceptual stages of recreation utility as a person ages:

- Toddler discovery, play, and socialization
- Child exploration and basic skill development
- Youth specialization and inclusivity
- Younger adult transition and family
- Mid-aged adult fitness, respite, and rediscovery
- Senior health and social connectivity

Community Recreation Funding Partners				
Level	Organization	Facility Type		
	Bearspaw Glendale Community Association	Community Hall		
	Bragg Creek Community Association	Community Hall		
	Chestermere Regional Community Association	Community Hall		
	Dalroy U.F.A. Association	Community Hall		
	Delacour Agricultural Society and Community Club	Community Hall		
	Jumping Pound Community Hall Society	Community Hall		
it√	Keoma Community Society	Community Hall		
Community	Langdon Community Association	Community Hall		
ш	Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors Fellowship	Seniors Hall		
S	Springbank Heritage Club	Seniors Hall		
	Springbank Equestrian Society	Community Hall/		
		Equestrian Facility		
	Butler Park Community Association	Park		
	Greater Bragg Creek Trails Association	Pathways/Trails		
	Prairie Royal Estates Community Association	Park		
	Sharp Hill Preservation Society	Park		
	Indus Recreation Centre (Bow Valley Ag. Society)	Multi-Amenity		
nal	Genesis Place (Airdrie)	Multi-Amenity		
Regional	Langdon Joint Use Site			
Re	Spray Lake Sawmills Familty Sport Centre	Multi-Amenity		
	Springbank Park for All Seasons	Multi-Amenity		

Note: Multi-Amenity can include indoor/outdoor Langdon Joint Use Site is being developed. A review of facility use among County residents reveals that these partnerships are benefitting residents (see chart on next page). The facilities that receive funding through the Community Recreation Funding program are typically the highest used facilities among Country residents within the communities, areas, or regions that they serve.

Overall, however, facilities located in urban centres such as Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, Crossfield, and Irricana are used most among County residents. These communities represent major service centres for recreation activities among County residents for both unstructured/ spontaneous and structured/programmed activities. For instance, pathways, parks, libraries, fitness facilities, playgrounds, and dog off-leash areas, all of which support unstructured/spontaneous recreation activities, are commonly used in urban municipalities by County residents. As well, facilities that typically accommodate structured/programmed activities are also used in urban municipalities such as indoor ice rinks curling rinks, sport fields, and gymnasiums.

It should be noted, however, that many of the programs that require County residents to register and attend regular scheduled activities (e.g. baseball/softball, basketball, dance, ice hockey, ringette, soccer, etc.) are located in Airdrie, Chestermere, and Cochrane. Essentially, County residents are required to use facilities in these communities due to boundaries or registration requirements. Exceptions exist for residents in the southwest and, to a lesser extent, southeast areas of the County where local organizations exist and operate programs (e.g. sports, dance, etc.). These are also areas with higher or slightly higher population density (e.g. Langdon - 1,150

people per km², and Springbank/Elbow Valley - 40 to 80 people per km²).

Facilities in Calgary are also commonly used by County residents, particularly aquatic facilities, pathways/trails, and parks.

Within the County, pathways/trails, ice rinks, playgrounds, community halls, parks and curling are popular facilities used by residents. However, overall, community halls are the most used facilities in the County (accounting for halls specifically named or generally referred to). Also, when considering use in specific locations, community halls are important facilities in the areas that they serve such as the Bragg Creek Community Centre, Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre, Jumping Pound Community Hall, Keoma Community Hall, and Langdon Fieldhouse.

RVC provides funding through the Community Recreation Funding program to multi-amenity regional facilities such as Genesis Place in Airdrie, Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sports Centre in Cochrane, Indus Recreation Centre in Indus, and Springbank Park for All Seasons in Springbank. All these facilities serve considerable segments of County residents; especially in the regions where they are located.





Examples of	Factors that in	nuence choice	e of Different I		
	Types of Facilities				
Factors	Fitness gym/ studio program	Pathways/ trails	Park/ playground	Arts/ performance facility	Senior Centre
Availability of opportunities at facility	~~~~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<i>~~~</i>		11111 11	~~~~~ ~~~~~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Cost of program/ activity/Involvement	$\begin{array}{c} \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \\ \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \\ \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark $	~	~	4444 4444	~~~~~ ~~~~~
Location of facility/amenity/ site/supplier	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	$\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{$	$\begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	~~~~ ~~~~~
Reputation of facility/supplier/ instructor/presenter	~~~~	~~	~~	~~~~~ ~~	<i>~~~</i>
Schedules/operation hours	~~	~~~	~~~	V V V	$\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$
Support services available	~~~	<i>~~~~~</i>	~~~~	444	~~~~
Who will be there	~~	~~~~	11111 1	~~	~~~~~~ ~
Other		VV			✓

Location is typically an important influence when residents choose different recreation service providers, based on comments offered by participants of focus groups conducted for this Study. However, location is not the sole factor that influences choice. Other factors can have as much influence, or more, depending on the individual making the choice. If a better opportunity is perceived to be available and is still within a reasonable time of travel, residents will go outside their local area/community to access that opportunity.

Some focus group participants indicated that choice may be influenced by a service provider's vicinity to work, schools, shopping, and other daily or weekly travel destinations. As such, communities such as Airdrie, Calgary, Cochrane, and Chestermere may be important locations of choice due to the concentration of resources and employment, as well as being typical travel destinations for RVC residents. Availability of opportunities at a service provider (e.g. amount or type of equipment available, other amenities or activities available at the location, etc.) and cost are also significant influences of choice and can be a higher priority than location.

It is worth noting that some facilities and services situated in urban municipalities will be able to provide more variety, convenience, frequency, and service simply due to the higher volumes of demand.

When planning locations for future recreation facilities in the short-term, it will be important to consider the effects of increased services in developing urban communities. A recreation facility developed in a rural area today may experience challenges in the long term if a larger urban community develops close by and offers retail shopping and other conveniences in addition to recreation opportunities.





Based on the findings of the Community Survey, the longest length of time, on average (mode), that County residents are willing to travel to access most recreation facilities is between 10 and less than 20 minutes. For playgrounds, expectations are a bit shorter with up to 10 minutes. In the focus groups conducted for this Study, participants indicated that 20 minutes was about the longest they would be willing to travel.

Up to 20 minutes is typical for what County residents drive to run errands, shop for groceries, and access services, which are usually located in urban communities (Airdrie, Chestermere, Cochrane, and Calgary), based on comments by focus group participants. As well, it was perceived that after 20 minutes, the inconvenience associated with travel tends to impede on the benefits that may be realized from participation in a recreation activity.

Observing Needs and Expectations







When identifying service priorities for the RVC, there are various issues that should be regarded. Some of these issues were raised by representatives of partner organizations such as service providers and municipalities that were interviewed for this Study. Other issues represent trends within the recreation industry and residents' perceptions about service provision.

Aging infrastructure - Recreation facilities within the County are aging and, in a few cases, may be near the end of life. Recently developed life cycle maintenance plans for some facilities suggest that significant investment may be required over the next decade or so for capital renewal to ensure the facilities continue to operate efficiently, sustainably, and safely.

For the most part, RVC residents acknowledge that investment is needed for ongoing maintenance of existing amenities. Some of them would also like to see new facilities developed. Overall, however, residents recognize that there is a balance between investing in what currently exists and building new facilities. When given a choice, residents tend to put more emphasis on maintenance over new development.

For some facilities that reach end of life, it may be more worthwhile to decommission and replace the facility than continuing to invest in ongoing maintenance and renewal.

"When building a new building, you have to remember that there are going to be ongoing maintenance costs that will be costly."

Focus Group Participant Comment



- **Regional collaboration** Partner municipalities, service providers, and residents suggest that less government funding combined with the current economic downturn will require more regional collaboration to maximize service opportunities. Service providers stated that efficiencies for operating facilities are needed to maximize service opportunities and reduce reliance on financial subsidies from government agencies. Delivery of services using a regional perspective is expected to help increase efficiencies; larger, multiuse facilities that bring in several communities may reduce the expenses of operating separate single-use amenities dispersed throughout the region.
- *Increasing demand for unstructured/* spontaneous recreation activities -Partners suggested that participation in unstructured/spontaneous recreation is a growing trend among the general public. Activities that require little to no organization, can occur at the discretion of the participant, and, at times, have lower to no fees are increasing in popularity. This trend is influencing the interest among the general public for the development of certain amenities such as pathways/trails, parks, playgrounds, fitness centres, and, to a lesser extent aquatic facilities, which are conducive to unstructured/spontaneous recreation.



- Growing population Service providers indicated that growing population in the region is putting greater pressure on existing facilities and services and there is need for more. Some providers and municipalities are currently planning new development to address deficits that have resulted from population growth. Both Airdrie and Chestermere are in various stages of planning new recreation amenities.
- Populations of need In addition to population growth, partners suggested that several segments of the community will require consideration for existing and future recreation services:
 - Aging population An aging population within the County, particularly in rural areas, is expected to increase and will require services to be accessible and adaptable.
 - Young families New residential development is likely to attract families with young children and youth that will expect access to recreation services. Communities need to develop additional services to address the demand from these new residents.
 - At-risk youth Programs will be needed to help youth who are struggling with social issues such as substance abuse, school failure, juvenile delinquency, and mental health disorders.



 Lack of Volunteers to Operate Facilities -Volunteerism has traditionally been a major source of labour for operating public recreation facilities, particularly in rural communities. However, recreation facilities are experiencing challenges in attracting volunteers. In some cases, paid staff are needed to provide services and programs, which can be challenging, particularly in rural areas, where critical mass of market and available funding is lacking.

Larger multi-use facilities generally have complex operations and staff are needed with technical expertise. When planning future facilities within RVC, consideration needs to be given to the type of operating model that will be used and whether volunteers will be available or paid staff will be needed, which will have financial implications to the operations.

 Concerns about Revenue Generation and Funding - Various stakeholders such as municipalities, partners, and service providers acknowledge that current economic conditions have resulted in less government funding being available, which is affecting long-term decisions about how facilities will be operated, maintained, and upgraded. Some providers expressed concerns about the ability to generate sufficient revenues from various sources to ensure long-term sustainability.

Staffing and Volunteers at Recreation Facilities (averages)						
	Full-Time	ull-Time Part-Time				
Facility	Staff	Staff	Volunteers	Hours		
All (n=41)	3	13	38	1,439		
Located in RVC (n=29)	<1	1	15	875		
Located in Urban Communities (n=12)	7	34	50	1,596		

Revenues		ses at Recre verages)	ation Facilit	ies	
Facility	Total Revenues	Revenues from Users	Other Revenues	Expenses	
All (n=24)	\$ 11,250	\$ 3,825	\$ 7,425	\$ 27,000	
Located in RVC (n=15)	\$ 8,500	\$ 1,800	\$ 6,700	\$ 13,425	
Located in Urban Communities (n=7)	\$120,000	\$ 85,650	\$ 34,350	\$123,250	

Source: RVC Survey of Service Providers

Note: Median averages shown to protect confidentiality of providers. Typically, recreation facilities operate at breakeven levels rather than deficits that might be implied in the table. It is worth noting that expenses reported by Service Providers ranged from approximately \$1,000 to over \$9 million.





Some support exists for increases in taxes to pay for public recreation - In the Community Survey, residents were asked about increases to annual property taxes to ensure that community needs for recreation facilities in their local area/community can be better met. To this query, almost half of respondents stated support for an increase. Most of these respondents would be willing to pay up to \$100 more on an annual basis to improve opportunities.



About half of residents who participated in the Community Survey were resolved (stating 'yes') that recreation facilities, parks, pathways/trails, or sport fields should be more readily available or enhanced in their local area/community to satisfy their household's recreation needs. Just under a third of responding residents indicated that they were unsure if there was a need but, still, were willing to identify amenities that might be needed or enhanced.

Residents living in the east and southwest areas of the County were more determined that new or enhanced facilities were needed.

It is worth noting, however, that residents in the southwest area of the County expressed higher levels of satisfaction with existing facilities and spaces than residents in the east, and most notably the southeast area of the County (see Appendix B). Indeed, satisfaction levels among southwest residents were similar to those observed in most areas of the County (50%), while levels in the southeast area were considerably lower (34%). As well, when asked about barriers to recreation participation, a significant majority of southeast residents (77%) indicated facilities, programs, and services were located too far away, which is much higher than the proportion of southwest residents (35%) that gave this response (see Appendix B).

The combination of these results may suggest that the perceived need for new and enhanced recreation amenities is greater in the southeast area of the County, possibly due to the urban character of the area that may influence expectations about the provision of services.


Fitness/wellness facilities Walking/running tracks Ice arena facilities Seniors centre Leisure ice surfaces Art studios/practice space Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities Library space			-	211	ne pe
Ice arena facilities Seniors centre Leisure ice surfaces Art studios/practice space Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities					pe
Seniors centre Leisure ice surfaces Art studios/practice space Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities					
Leisure ice surfaces Art studios/practice space Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities		_			ra
Art studios/practice space Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities					
Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities					w
					W
Library snace		1.1			ah
					ab
Gymnasium type spaces					th
Youth centre					m
					m
					fa
					25
		1			ar
	_				
					fit
					ru
	-				ru
Other	-				su
Paved and non-paved trail system	-			0	re
Cycling routes	-		-		
Nature/interpretive trails	_		1		
Dog off leash areas					W
Mountain bike trails					
Water spray parks		-			ex
River access					so
Open spaces					6
Community gardens					Co
					m
the subsection of the subsecti	1	6-1 T			
		-			
		-			
	-				
	-				
	_				
	-				
and the second sec	F 1				-
Sware open a Malika					
	4.5		ATT.	-46.7	
	Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms Other Paved and non-paved trail system Cycling routes Nature/interpretive trails Dog off leash areas Mountain bike trails Water spray parks River access Open spaces Community gardens Ball diamonds Picnic areas Outdoor boarded skating rinks Event spaces Outdoor fitness equipment Pickleball courts Bike skills park Playgrounds Sports fields (e.g. soccer, football) Skeet shooting venues Termis courts Outdoor skating (non-boarded) Hardcourts Other Track and field spaces Beach volleyball courts Skateboard parks	Indoor agricultural facilities Court sport spaces Indoor child playgrounds Darce/program/matial arts rooms Indoor field surfaces Performing spaces Cutling rinks Classrooms/meeting spaces Cutling rinks Classrooms/meeting spaces Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms Other Paved and non-paved trail system Cycling routes Nature/interpretive trails Dog off leash areas Mountain bike trails Darce spaces Community gardens Ball diamonds Picnic areas Outdoor boarded skating rinks Event spaces Outdoor boarded skating rinks Ekselish park Bike skills park Bike skills park Sports fields (e.g. soccer, football) Skeet shooting venues Cutheor skating (non-boarded) Hardcourts Cuthe Track and field spaces Beach volleyball courts Skateboard parks	Indoor agricultural facilities Court sport spaces Indoor child playgrounds Darce/program/martial arts rooms Indoor field surfaces Performing spaces Culing rinks Cassrooms/meeting spaces Culing rinks Cassrooms/meeting spaces Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms Culter Paved and non-paved trail system Cycling routes Nature/interpretive trails Dog off leash areas Mountain bike trails Mater spray parks River access Community gardens Ball diamonds Picnic areas Outdoor boarded skating rinks Diske skills park Sports fields (e.g. soccer, football) Skaet shoring venues Cultdoor skating (nn boarded) Hardcourts Skaet soloarden areas Culter in the strains Diske skills park Skaet shoring venues Culter in the strains Cutdoor skating (nn boarded) Hardcourts Skaet board parks Skaetboard parks Culter in the spaces Culter in the spaces Culter in the spaces Culter in the spaces Skaet shoring venues Culter in the spaces Culter in the space Culter in the space Culte	indoor agricultural facilities Court sport spaces Indoor child playgrounds Darce/program/martial ats rooms Indoor field surfaces Performing spaces Cuting rinks Cassrooms/meeting spaces Cuting rinks Cassrooms/meeting spaces Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms Cuther Paved and non-paved trail system Cycling routes Nature/interpretive trails Dog off leash areas Mountain bike trails Dag off leash areas Mountain bike trails Dag off leash areas Dog no spaces Community gardens Ball diamonds Picnic areas Outdoor boarded skating rinks Event spaces Outdoor boarded skating rinks Event spaces Dutdoor strating function Sports fields (e.o.c., football) Skeet shooting venues Dutdoor skating (non-boarded) Hardcourts Bike skills park Dutdoor skating (non-boarded) Hardcourts Baech volleyball courts Date board parks Dateboard par	indoor agricultural facilities Court sport spaces indoor field playgrounds Darce/program/martial arts rooms Indoor field surfaces Performing spaces Cutting rinks Classrooms/meeting spaces Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms Other Paved and non-paved trail system Cycling routes Nature/interpretive trails Dog off leash area Mountain bike trails Water spray parks Dog off leash area Open spaces Community gardems Dichica area Dutdoor boarded skating rinks Event spaces Dutdoor boarded skating rinks Paygrounds Paygrounds Sports fields (e.g. soccer, football) Skeet shills park Paygrounds Darde and non-paved trails Skeet shills park Dichica area Dutdoor skating (non boarded) Hardcours Bald diamods Dichica area Dichica area Di

Vhen asked about specific facilities that are eded or should be enhanced, the rceptions among residents were wide nging. Essentially, among those residents ho agreed that new or enhanced facilities ere needed, there was limited consensus out what should be developed. However, e most common suggestions provided were ulti-use pathways/trails, fitness/wellness cilities, walking/running tracks, and ice enas.

t is worth noting that pathways/trails, ness/wellness facilities, and walking/ nning tracks are amenities that typically pport unstructured/spontaneous creation activities.

ithin the two areas where residents pressed higher than average need, the utheast and southwest areas of the ounty, some amenities were identified ore commonly than others.

- In the southeast area, perceived needs among residents were more common for pathways/trails, ice arena facilities, water spray parks, fitness/wellness centre, walking/running tracks, gymnasium type spaces, and dog off leash areas (see Appendix B).
- In the southwest area, residents mainly perceived a need for pathways/trails, fitness/wellness centres, walking/ running tracks, and library spaces (see Appendix B).

Pe	rceived F	Programmin	g Needs for Ho	ouseholds		
	Fitness	Outdoor skill pursuits	Sports (non-spontaneous activities)	Artistic and creative	Nature interpretation	History and heritage
Children (newborn to 5 years)	9	9	9	10	7	4
Youth (6-12 years)	15	17	18	14	13	9
Teens (13-18 years)	19	20	20	16	11	10
Adults (19-39 years)	23	18	18	15	13	9
Adults (40-64 years)	38	26	21	20	16	15
Seniors (65+ years)	27	15	13	16	13	15
Average	22	18	16	15	12	10

Source: RVC Community Survey

Respondents' Identification of Programs that should be More Readily Available

Residents who participated in the Community Survey suggested that fitness programs should be more readily available in the local area/ community, followed by outdoor skill pursuits, and sports.

For fitness programs, it was more common for residents to identify the need for adults than for children/youth/ teens.

Overall, however, residents indicated there is a need for more variety of programs in the County, as well as improved marketing, more convenient schedules/longer hours, less cost, and better space and equipment. It is worth noting that greater variety of programs was most cited among residents living in the southeast area of the County (see Appendix B).

Improvements/Changes Needed to Encourage Participation in Programs



Identifying Priorities



Like any other community, Rocky View County has acknowledged that there is a role for the Municipality to participate in the provision and stewardship of public recreation opportunities for residents. The Canadian Parks and Recreation Association recognizes that local governments are primary suppliers of direct recreation services and, based on comments provided in focus groups conducted for this Study, County residents tend to concur with this assertion. While there are various approaches that the County might adopt to ensure residents have access to recreation, organizing facilities and programs in appropriate locations to support recreational habits for life is an important aspect service provision.

Through this Study, County residents have expressed their opinions of service gaps for facilities and programs, and service providers and partner municipalities have shared their planning initiatives for facility development. The following summaries present some of the more notable perceived deficiencies in or around the County for service provision:

Pathways/Trails - Outdoor pursuits such as hiking, walking, and jogging/running have always had some of the highest participation rates among the population (note: 83% of Alberta households indicated participation in these activities in 2017 and 84% in 2013).⁵ With such high levels of involvement, it may not be surprising that pathways/trails, especially those that would enable shared-use activities, was the highest perceived need for new and enhanced amenities within local areas/ communities among County residents. Types of Municipal involvement in Public Recreation

Direct Involvement in Service Provision

- **Direct Provider** Municipalities provide direct services through facilities and programs.
- Enabler Municipalities enable opportunities by contracting the services to community-run or commercial organizations.

Collaborative/Partnership Involvement in Service Provision

- Facilitator (e.g. provision through others) -Municipalities facilitate opportunities by partnering with organizations or leasing land and building space for recreation services.
- *Advocate* Municipalities influence the development and delivery of recreation and culture opportunities through planning, counsel, support and promotion.
- Regulator Municipalities direct or rule actions or processes related to recreation and culture opportunities.

⁵Source: Alberta Recreation Survey, 2013 and 2017.



"People want paths to be connected. There are paths that just end, for no reason."

"Where do I go for a pathways map for the County? Can only find on Google. There should be something on the County's website."

> Focus Group Participant Comments about Pathways

"Their (County) job should be to create the programs. There is the Balzac Centre sitting empty, they should help to create enrollment and registration for programs. It needs someone to organize it and volunteers don't have the time. There is so much that can be done at the membership for it- someone needs to organize, so much could happen with the community hall."

> Focus Group Participant Comments about Fitness Programming

⁷Source: Alberta Recreation Survey, 2013 and 2017.

It is worth noting that focus group participants indicated there are pathways/trails already situated throughout the County (e.g. 115 kilometres of existing in the County and 126 adopted),⁶ as well as in provincial parks and areas located within the County's boundaries. It was suggested that the County could help to improve awareness and encourage use among residents for these existing amenities.

As well, several participants stated there are regional-type pathways/trails (such as the Calgary to Cochrane Trail, Range Road 33 Pathway, Langdon to Chestermere) that need linkages finished and existing local pathways/trails that should be completed to make them more accessible and useful to residents. In 2018, Rocky View County completed the Active Transportation Plan that establishes recommended active transportation networks for Rocky View County including some of the issues identified by focus group participants.

Overall greater access to fitness programming - Fitness training programs are a growing service area within the recreation sector. For instance, between 2013 and 2017, participation in fitness/ aerobic activities increased from 47% to 52% among Alberta households.⁷ Increased awareness among the population of the benefits to health and wellness from fitness training, as well as the emergence of new types of training activities (e.g. high-intensity interval training, Zumba, group spin cycling, advanced yoga and movement techniques, wearable fitness gadgets, streaming workouts, etc.), opening of budget-friendly fitness centres, and other factors have helped stimulate

⁶Source: Active Transportation Plan, Rocky View County, 2018.

greater demand for fitness training programming. Much of this demand is among adults of all ages rather than children/youth.

As a result of these trends, County residents seem keen on having improved access to conveniently located fitness training programs and activities, including in their local areas/ communities.

 Fitness/wellness centres and indoor tracks - Building upon the interest for greater access to fitness training programming is the perceived need for fitness/wellness centres such as exercise or weight rooms and indoor walking/ running tracks. These kinds of facilities were identified highest for indoor facilities that residents perceived should be more readily available or enhanced in their local areas/communities.

Indoor tracks are often one of the amenities that is available in fitness/wellness centres, as are studios and multi-use spaces.

There are various types of service providers of fitness/wellness centres including public and private sector organizations. Even so, these kinds of facilities are typically located in urban communities rather than rural or country residential areas. The capital costs and ongoing maintenance expenses associated with fitness and weight room equipment generally require a population base that is sufficient in size to sustain the feasibility of these facilities.⁸



In the Calgary region, there many different service providers, some being private companies and others not-forprofit (public) organizations; however, they are principally located in Airdrie, Chestermere, Cochrane, and Calgary. A survey of small communities in southern Alberta shows that few have publicly supported fitness centres and, those that do, are typically located further than an hour drive to larger urban communities (see Appendix D).

⁸Note: Throughout North America, the average fitness gym has approximately 1,500 to 2,000 members (Source: IHRSA, Health Club Consumer Report, 2018).





Multi-use community spaces -

Throughout the County, community halls or centres are some of the highest used facilities among residents. These facilities typically provide multi-use spaces that can accommodate a variety of activities from banquets, children/youth organizations, fitness training programs, arts and dance programs, seniors activities, etc. Some of the more notable facilities used in the County include Delacour Community Hall, Jumping Pound Community Hall, Keoma Community Hall, and, in particular, the Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre, Bragg Creek Community Centre, and Langdon Fieldhouse.

While not specifically identified by residents as being facilities in greater need within local areas/communities, these types of amenities can support recreational opportunities in different ways, especially if they have multi-use spaces that can serve a range of activities from sports to fitness, arts, dance, pickleball, etc. It is worth noting that gymnasium type spaces were ranked higher as a perceived need among residents in the southeast and, to a lesser extent, southwest area of the County, and are sometimes located in community centres.

Ice arena/leisure ice facilities - Ice arena facilities were one of the more commonly cited indoor facilities that residents perceived should be more readily available or enhanced in local areas/communities. Much of its ranking is influenced by residents living in the southeast and north central areas of the County. As well, proposals have been expressed or submitted to the County for the development or expansion of ice arena facilities in the southeast area.

- Seniors facilities Over the past fifteen years, the seniors population in the County has grown by 7% to 8% per year and this trend is expected to continue. Seniors facilities were ranked fourth in terms of perceived need within the community. This ranking was mostly influenced by residents living in the east area of the County. Seniors facilities are sometimes independent facilities but can also be part of other facilities or within the programs offered by community facilities. Either way, it is expected that the demand for seniors facilities and recreational programming will increase in the future.
- Outdoor amenities that support unstructured/spontaneous recreation activities - Other than pathways/trails, the results of the Community Survey did not reveal a greater need for other amenities that support unstructured/ spontaneous recreation activities such as picnic areas, outdoor fitness equipment, outdoor rinks playgrounds/tot lots, hardcourts (e.g. basketball), outdoor rinks (boarded and unboarded), and, possibly, tennis/pickleball courts, beach volleyball courts and skateboard parks.

While no specific item among these amenities obtained high ranking among residents, these kinds of amenities can be focal points for communities and bring together residents providing opportunities for social interaction and building sense of community, especially in small urban settings when the amenities are congregated in specific open public spaces and with other community amenities.

From time to time, individuals or 'champions' within a local areas/ communities may advocate for the development of these kinds of amenities. The County should consider developing processes and guidelines for groups of residents that might develop and maintain these kinds of amenities within their local areas/communities, particularly in urban settings.

Some of these amenities such as tennis/pickleball and beach volleyball courts and skateboard parks may need further consideration due to complex design requirements, potential operational conditions, and levels of capital costs and ongoing maintenance expenses.



"Focus on outdoor stuff as indoor stuff will drive tax dollars. The tax base is too small to carry all those buildings that cost money. Outdoor rinks, those paved areas, playgrounds. Outdoor stuff is more practical for funding dollars."

It's the outdoor things, indoor should be commercial, cost is a lot for upkeep. Outdoors can all be connected and ... give all access, regardless of age."

> Focus Group Participant Comments about Outdoor Amenities





 Recreation facilities in Chestermere/ East Area of County and Airdrie - The communities of Chestermere and Airdrie are in various stages of developing recreation facilities. Both communities have some of the highest population increases in Canada (growth rates of 5% to 6% annually) over the past five years and facility development is needed to address growing demand for services.

Chestermere is in the process of planning a recreation facility and is seeking collaboration and cooperation with various municipalities on its development. Airdrie is proposing a new recreation facility in the southwest area of the community.

It is also worth noting that a recreation facility has been proposed for the west side of Calgary in the future community of West View. An 'Optimized Recreation Facility and Library' is proposed that is intended to serve 75,000 to 80,000 people and include aquatics, fitness, and gymnasia. It is expected that the development of the facility will be longterm (e.g. more than 10 years).⁹

⁹Source: West View Area Structure Plan, City of Calgary, 2019.



Rocky View County employs a variety of approaches to support access to recreation for its residents such as developing agreements with other municipalities/ organizations, providing funding support to community organizations and working with urban municipalities that build large scale recreation facilities intended to serve residents living in the region. The Community Survey results suggest that these kinds of approaches are supported by residents who recognize that there are benefits to adopting these approaches (see Appendix E).

Residents who participated in the Community Survey were asked about criteria that might be used to assess priorities for funding requests or projects. Responding to community needs and benefitting the largest group of district County residents were ranked highest among the criteria that were examined. Having the capacity to operate without County financial support and expecting users from outside the County to use facilities ranked lowest among the criteria.

Even so, based on comments from focus group participants, there are limits to the amount of support that should be given to service providers and facility operators. When asked about criteria that should be used to prioritize funding, the discussion among participants tended to concentrate around minimizing the need for on-going operational financial support and receiving value for money. The last of these points being associated with services needing to benefit large groups of County residents.



	Expected Fac	ility/Ame	nity Locati	ons	
Amenities	Rural and Country residential areas	Hamlets/ Villages <1,300 residents	Hamlets 5,000 to 10,000 residents	Airdrie, Chestermere, Cochrane (>20,000 residents)	Calgary
Pathways/trails					
Community hall (a multi-use space)					
Outdoor sports fields (ball diamonds, soccerifootball fields)					
Playgrounds					
Seniors centres					
Gymnasium					
Performing arts facilities (live theater, auditoriums)					
Indoor ice arena					
Public libraries					
Fitness gym with cardio/weight equipment					
Indoor field house (indoor soccer, football)					
Indoor aquatic facility (pool)					
Note: Focus groups se of indoor and outdoor f			y thought co	ould support sust	ainability

An exercise conducted with focus group participants examined where facilities should be located to best serve community residents. The discussions that resulted revealed that value for money, capacity to serve groups of residents, and economic viability were important influences in their location choices. For instance, many participants assumed that pathways/trails were easy and less expensive to develop and maintain and would be used by most County residents. Therefore, pathways/trails were deemed appropriate for rural/country residential areas in the County.

"People frequently say they would like facilities without understanding size of population base. Need to make facilities financially viable. Rocky View County should not be adding facilities that then have to be subsidized, need to assess viability before investing, this means looking at less expensive alternatives."

Focus Group Participant Comments

Other facilities identified for development in rural/country residential area or hamlets/ villages with less than 1,300 residents included community halls, outdoor sport fields, and playgrounds; again, for the same reasons stated above.

Other amenities such as indoor aquatic facilities, indoor field houses, fitness gyms (with cardio and weight equipment), public libraries, indoor ice arenas, performing arts facilities would be better suited in urban communities such as Airdrie, Cochrane, Chestermere, and Calgary, and, in some cases, larger hamlets. These results imply that many residents expect some facilities to be in the County and others to be more suited in urban communities. Analysis of existing inventory of recreation facilities that serves County residents, along with preferred travel time for access and consideration of other factors and influences, was conducted to identify potential service gaps for major facility types. Pathways/trails was not included in the analysis as locational assessment for these amenities was addressed in the Active Transportation Plan in 2018. The results of the analysis are presented on subsequent pages of this report.

There are various approaches that can be adopted to operate recreation facilities and services. Most public recreation facilities and services involve some level of volunteer resources to provide opportunities to users to participate in activities. Some approaches emphasize more involvement of volunteers, while others tend to rely mainly on paid staff. The analysis presented about service gaps on the following pages does not take into account how facilities might be operated or developed. These kinds of issues would require additional study. Rather, the assessments rely on generalizations about availability and location of services and facilities, travel times, expectations of service demand presented in this report, and other considerations.





Multi-Use Community Space

(community halls, non-school gymnasia, multi-purpose rooms, studios, etc.)



Considerations:

- Many multi-use spaces in the County were developed to support social (e.g. meetings, banquets, or dances in rural community halls), and to a lesser extent art/cultural, rather than recreation activities. In some cases, the multi-use spaces involve a room accompanied by a kitchen. An example that adopts a different model is the Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre, which has various rooms and supports different types of activities (recreation, social, arts, etc.).
- Some facilities have limited availability to the public (used primarily by a club/seniors/private school/etc.) or are fully subscribed during prime-time periods.
- A facility in the Springbank area that was being used for community activities was recently decommissioned.

¹⁰ Locations include Bearspaw Christian School, Bearspaw Lions Hall, Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors Fellowship, Elbow Valley Residents Club, I.O.O.F. Hall Langdon, Langdon Fieldhouse, Redwood Meadows Community Centre, Springbank Equestrian Society Hall, and Springbank Heritage Club.

- Two denser population areas (southeast and southwest) in the County should be given consideration for additional programmable space.
 - The Fieldhouse in Langdon (which is almost fully subscribed) is available and there is another in Indus, but additional multi-use spaces are likely needed due to the population size of the area.
 - There are a few facilities in the Springbank/Elbow Valley area, but some of these have specific purposes (Springbank Heritage Club, Springbank Equestrian Centre, and Elbow Valley Residents Centre). This area may also benefit from additional multi-use spaces.
- Hamlets that develop populations with 5,000 to 10,000 in the future will likely need multi-use community spaces.

School Gymnasia

(larger size > 6,000 ft.2 - middle to high school)



Considerations:

- School gymnasiums are located throughout the County other than in the east central area.
- Sport organizations for basketball, and volleyball are principally served out of Calgary, Airdrie, Cochrane, and Chestermere and facilities used by these organizations are in these communities.
- There was not a high perceived need for gymnasium spaces to serve sport organizations in the County.
- School activities are priorities for school gymnasiums.
- Some school gymnasiums have limited access for public activities.

- An expanded gymnasium is under development at the Westbrook School.
- A high school is proposed for Langdon at the Langdon Joint Use Site but is not yet under development.
- Not likely a high need for gymnasiums for sports organizations within the County, but they are highly flexible spaces that can serve recreational needs such as indoor soccer, children/youth clubs, badminton, floor hockey, fitness classes, dance, etc.
- Gymnasiums may be a component of multi-use spaces in the southeast and southwest areas of the County.



Indoor Ice Arenas

(for public skating, ice hockey, ringette, figure skating, etc.)



Considerations:

- Sport organizations are primary users of ice arenas: minor ice hockey, ringette, figure skating (including learn to skate), adult hockey, etc.
- Participation in sport organizations are often restricted by registration boundaries.
- Participation in ice hockey is 19%, ice skating programs is 11%, and provincial participation in ringette is 1% (Community Survey and Alberta Recreation Survey).
- Rural sport associations tend to have about 10 to 15 minor teams per ice sheet.
- Springbank Hockey Association is part Calgary Minor Hockey Association and most players are from Calgary - in Calgary there are about 57 sheets and approximately 1,150 minor teams (20 teams/sheets). There is also access to ice beyond the two sheets at Springbank (Edge school and Calgary rinks).
- Rural sport associations outside of Calgary tend to have higher standards for practices/game ratios.

- Possible lack of 1 sheet in the southeast/Chestermere area (particularly with additional population growth).
- Possible lack of 1 sheet in Airdrie area (particularly with additional population growth)
- Hamlets that develop populations with 5,000 to 10,000 in the future may need ice arenas.
- In the long-term, there may be lack of ice rinks in Airdrie, Cochrane, and Chestermere if population growth continues at levels experienced in the past decade.
- Future ice arena development in the County may need to be considered if additional sport organizations become organized (e.g. hockey, ringette, figure skating associations/clubs in hamlets of 5,000 to 10,000 populations).

Fitness Centres/Gyms/Studios



Considerations:

- Fitness gyms/studios are typically located in urban communities such as Airdrie, Chestermere, and Cochrane. There are public fitness centres in Airdrie and Cochrane, as well as private for-profit facilities. In smaller communities, fitness gyms have a limited number of equipment and are private for-profit facilities. There are many fitness centres/gyms/ studios (public and private) in Calgary.
- Based on the Community Survey results, there are at most 6,500 people across the County who perceive that there is a need for these types of facilities.
- Research into communities of 5,000 to 10,000 residents show that 4 out of 11 have publicly supported fitness centres and these are located over an hour drive from a major urban centre (e.g. >20,000 residents)
- Throughout North America, the average fitness gym has approximately 1,500 to 2,000 members (Source: IHRSA, Health Club Consumer Report, 2018).

- Typically, a fitness centre/gym needs an urban setting to be self-sufficient. Public fitness gyms in the County may require subsidy to operate; including being able to keep equipment current in the facility.
- Studio spaces may be suitable for some community centres to support fitness programming in the County.
- Fitness centres/gyms may be considered as part of other community facilities in hamlets with 5,000 to 10,000 population.



Seniors Centres/Halls



Considerations:

- There are seniors facilities located in all urban communities situated within the boundaries of the County. There is also a facility in Springbank and Bragg Creek.
- While the seniors population is growing, there was not much perceived need for seniors centres among County residents.

- Demand for seniors facilities may increase as the population ages. Currently, there was little concern expressed about lack of facilities.
- Future seniors facilities may be considered in hamlets with 5,000 to 10,000 population.

Athletic Sports Fields

(ball diamonds and soccer/football fields)



Considerations:

- High end athletic sports fields (parks) are typically located in urban centres such as Airdrie, Chestermere, Cochrane, and Calgary. In some cases, these athletic sport fields are associated with high schools.
- There are, however, some diamonds and rectangular fields located in the County at regional facilities (Springbank Park for All Seasons, Indus Recreation Centre, and in Langdon).
- There are artificial turf fields in Cochrane (at a high school) and at Edge School. A high school in Chestermere may be considering an artificial turf field.
- There was limited perceived need among residents for high end athletic sports fields.

General Observations:

- A quad diamond complex is currently under development in Langdon.
- There is no evidence to suggest that any other highend athletic sports fields should be developed at this time.
- Some communities my consider field development (e.g. softball/slopitch diamonds) as a means to economic development opportunities.
- Sport field development (diamonds, rectangular fields, etc.) may occur as part of school development within the County, which often serve recreational interests of sport organizations (minor leagues and adult recreational sports).
- Urban communities may consider partnerships with the County for the development of artificial turf fields.

 $^{11}\,\rm Locations$ include Bow Valley High School and Edge School.

¹² Locations include Indus Recreation Centre, Langdon Fieldhouse, and Springbank Park for All Seasons.



Public Libraries



Considerations:

- Rocky View County is served by the Marigold Library System.
- There are standards associated with the Alberta Public Library Act that govern the operation of libraries.
- Currently, there are public libraries in Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, Crossfield, and Irricana that County residents can access through the Marigold Library System.
- Some areas of the County appear to be less served than others.

- Public libraries have strict rules that need to be followed and, in some cases, are more conducive to be located in urban communities.
- Satellite locations may be something to consider for areas that require a drive longer than 20 minutes to access a library branch. However, this would need further study to consider the implications and support from existing public libraries and library systems.



Considerations:

- Curling is generally accessible throughout the County.
- Not much perceived need for curling facilities among residents in the County.

General Observations:

 Not likely a need for new/enhanced curling facilities in the County.



Indoor Rectangular Fields

(boarded and non-boarded)

R. R.2 R.26 R.25 TP.2 TP.28 IP.2 TP.27 Airdrie - 2 fields Approximate 20-R.25 minute travel time by TP.26 Cochrane - 1 field personal vehicle. R.26 Calgary - 2 P.25 TP.25 Calgary 4 fields fields œ P.2 TP.24 Public indoor fields in 6 Calgary. P.23 TP.23 Bragg Cree Calgary - 8 R5 R4 R3 fields R2 TP.22 R.29 **Population Density** R.27 TP21 (darker areas denser)

Considerations:

- Demand for indoor fields is typically associated with indoor soccer and, to a lesser extent, lacrosse (fields with boards).
- There are soccer associations in Springbank, Cochrane, Airdrie, and Chestermere. Some teams (10 to 20) are entered in the indoor seasons of Calgary Minor Soccer Association from these associations.
- Calgary indoor soccer is moving toward the development of non-boarded fields, which is not conducive to some other sports (e.g. box lacrosse).
- Sport organizations often use other facilities for some activities (gymnasiums for soccer, ice arenas for lacrosse when ice is out) because of lower rental costs.

General Observations:

 Indoor rectangular field facilities would likely need to serve more teams than are currently situated in the County (mainly due to the use of gymnasiums for practices/training due to cost). This may change associations agree that all use would occur in the indoor field locations and if long term agreements were to be developed with associations located in urban centres such as Calgary.

Aquatic Facilities

(flat-water, leisure, play structures, etc.)



Considerations:

- Participation in pleasure/ leisure swimming is 44% but is typically an infrequent activity among participants.
- Pleasure/leisure swimming and swimming clubs are not restricted by registration boundaries.
- Swimming lessons are also not restricted by boundaries, although some facilities in Calgary offer members first options for registration.
- Swimming clubs tend to have smaller sized memberships (e.g. few hundred members).

- Aquatic facilities are typically located in urban centres due to high capital and operating costs (with subsidies needed in urban communities such as Cochrane and Airdrie). The County has typically partnered with urban municipalities to foster access to aquatic opportunities for residents.
- There is likely a lack of aquatic facility access in the east area of the County, particularly serving the highdensity southeast area, which has a higher than average proportion of young families. This deficiency would likely be addressed by the new recreation facility proposed in or near Chestermere.



From the findings presented throughout this report, various recommendations have been developed for RVC. Some of the recommendations recognize that the County is unique in character and experiencing change. It is a growing community, has a substantial population for a rural municipality, and has urban areas developing within its borders. These considerations are intended to present context to the following recommendations.

- Examine the role of the County, community, residents, partners, etc. in recreation facility development. The demands of planning, developing, constructing, and operating recreation facilities and services of larger more complex facilities can be formidable for volunteer-based community groups; especially when considering all the issues that may need to be considered. In some cases, it may be advantageous for County representatives to lead the planning and development process to ensure objective practices are followed, decision-making procedures are fulfilled, and other needs are being met such as providing residents and community groups opportunities for engagement and consultation in the process.
- Support the implementation of the findings and recommendations of the Active Transportation Plan related to pathways/trails. Development and enhancement of pathways/trails was perceived as an important service gap within the community and a high priority among County residents. Also, identify ways to improve awareness among residents about the availability and access to existing pathways/trails and outdoor spaces that are situated in the County.



Support existing and future partnerships with urban communities for regional recreation opportunities that serve the needs of County residents. The findings of this Recreation Needs Assessment Study show facilities in urban communities that are supported by RVC are often the highest used recreation facilities among County residents. As such, future regional recreation opportunities involving urban municipal partners are worth considering for future investment in service provision.

Example of a framework continuum or system for facility development:

Community Level Facilities

• Indoor facilities:

- Multi-use spaces arts, social,
 - recreation (community hall/centre)Rental/volunteer-based (social, arts,
 - recreation) rural and country residential areas/hamlets <1000
 - Program-based (multi-functional recreation, social, arts) – hamlets>1000
- School gymnasiums
- Outdoor facilities
 - Outdoor ice rinks (unboarded/boarded)
 - Parks
 - Trails
 - Playgrounds
 - School sport fields

Regional Level Facilities

- Indoor facilities
 - Curling rinks
 - Ice arenas
 - Libraries
 - Non-school gymnasiums
 - Seniors centres/halls

• Outdoor facilities

- Athletic sport fields diamonds, rectangular fields
- Pathways

Specialty Facilities (Partners Required)

- Multiple-amenity recreation facilities (aquatics/ice/field/etc.) (urban municipalities)
- Aquatic facilities (urban communities)
- Arts/cultural/performance facilities (urban communities)
- Equestrian facilities outdoor/indoor
- Shooting facilities
- Fitness gyms (urban communities)
- Indoor field rectangular fields (urban communities/sport associations)
- Outdoor tennis/pickleball courts (urban communities/clubs)

- Consider establishing a policy framework for residents'/groups'/developers' involvement in the development and maintenance of pathways/trails in terms of principles, applicability, scope, responsibilities, capacity, approvals, requirements, funding, addressing access rights, engagement, etc.; especially for pathways/trails that residents' might want developed, enhanced, or organized in local areas or neighbourhoods.
- Consider policies, criteria, and processes that would support capacity building within communities to enable the development of other outdoor amenities that support unstructured/spontaneous recreation activities in local areas/communities. Examples of amenities might include outdoor rinks (unboarded/boarded), playground/tot lot facilities, outdoor fitness equipment, etc.
- Consider the development of a framework continuum or system to provide guidance about decisions for facility development that takes into account issues such as population and density, demand for services, availability of facilities in area/region, optional operating models, capital costs/operating sustainability, etc. Such a framework should also consider the involvement and responsibilities of County staff, residents/groups, collaborative partners, etc.



- Examine opportunities that might foster the development of recreation programming in existing facilities in local areas/communities. The findings of this Study suggest that residents perceive a need for more programming being available in local areas/ communities and representatives of community facilities would appreciate assistance from the County to develop, support, and implement fitness training, seniors activities, and other types programming in areas of the community that may be challenged by capacity, resources, or capability to attract service providers.
- Consider adopting longer-term funding renewal cycles (more than annually) for Community Recreation Funding grants to enable better planning and development among organizations that receive operational funding.
- Investigate lifecycle planning and funding opportunities that might be used to address future maintenance and development of County-owned and supported facilities. A few rural municipalities in Alberta have extrapolated future funding for recreation facility lifecycle needs and established policies and processes to plan for future investment requirements.
- Consider methods of enhancing awareness among the population of the availability of existing recreation services and facilities in the community and among organizations that are supported by the County (e.g. service providers funded by the County and located in partner municipalities such as Airdrie, Chestermere, and Cochrane).



 Consider hamlets that are expected to grow beyond 5,000 population as regional recreation centres within the County that will have resources to serve the local population, but also residents in rural and country residential areas in proximity to the communities.



- Based on the findings of this need's assessment, the following facility development priorities have been identified for RVC:
 - Short term priorities:
 - Pathways/trails The Active Transportation Plan presents shortterm priorities for pathway connections and programs in certain urban communities, policy guidelines for new pathway development, and a shared-use pathway on Range Road 33.

- Multi-use spaces facilities in the southeast and southwest areas (to support arts performances, career/personal development courses, children/youth organizations, community events, dance courses/programs, day camps/school break, drop-in sports, fitness programs, gymnastics, indoor soccer (practices), organized socials/ meals/events for seniors, sports programs, visual arts/ crafts/hobby programs, etc.):
 - → Consider options of building new and enhancing/expanding existing facilities.
 - → Consider comparable facilities that already exist in the County such as the Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre and Bragg Creek Community Centre for development options and operating models.
 - → Possibly consider a fitness centre component for multi-use facilities should such facilities be developed in Langdon.
- Possible partnership initiatives with urban communities for regional recreation facilities (Airdrie and Chestermere).
- Mid-term priorities:
- Pathway/Trails The Active Transportation Plan presents longerterm projects and programs for consideration.
- Ice rink development in the southeast area of the County (to support ice hockey, ringette, learn to skate programs, etc.).
- Long-term priorities:
- Plan for and develop indoor and outdoor recreation facilities in hamlets that are expected to grow beyond 5,000 population.

Appendices

st,

1

Appendix A

(Study Methodology)

The Recreation Needs Assessment was developed from information gathered through various research and engagement processes. The following summaries highlight the methods undertaken to conduct the Study.

- Relevant background information was gathered and reviewed about the community such as census data, planning studies, strategic plans, etc.
- Information was researched and gathered about trends and issues to contribute to an understanding of the recreation sector in rural municipalities.
- Internal Rocky View County information and documents were reviewed.
- A community profile was prepared based on population growth projections, gender and age distributions, population density, hamlet development, and other Appendix G).

-	and the second second
10	Concerns of the local division of the local
and the second	and the second se
	Carlos and a second
	Annual Solution of the local division of the

demographic characteristics (see

- Recreation facilities, programs, and services that serve County residents were researched and identified.
- Rocky View County staff (recreation) were interviewed.

service providers (online) (see Appendix

Stakeholder surveys were conducted with urban municipality representatives (telephone), partner service providers (telephone), and recreation

10	12
	and the second second
	TTO OIL
	Career provide and the

Stakeholder Survey Participants:

Urban Municipalities:

- City of Airdrie
- Village of Beiseker
- City of Calgary
- **City of Chestermere**
- Town of Cochrane
- Town of Crossfield

Partners:

- Bow Valley Agricultural Society
- Genesis Centre (Airdrie)
- North Bow Community Facility Board
- Spray Lakes Sawmills Recreation Park Society
- Springbank Park for All Seasons

Service Providers:

- Airdrie and District Agricultural Society
- Balzac 4H Beef Club
- **Bearspaw Glendale Community**
- **Bearspaw Historical Society**
- Beaupre Community Association (Hall)
- Beiseker and District Agricultural Society
- Beiseker Kids 4 Kids Taekwondo and Fitness Club
- **Beiseker Minor Hockey**
- Bow Valley Agricultural Society
- Bow Valley Community (Curling) Club
- Bow Valley Mustangs 4H Horse Club
- Bragg Creek and Greater Area Historical
- Society
- Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors
- **Butler Park Community Association**
- Camp Chestermere
- **Chestermere Agricultural Society**
- **Chestermere Regional Community Association** •
- Chestermere Rocky View Little League
- Chestermere Whitecappers Association
- Cochrane and District Agricultural Society
- Cochrane BMX
- **Crossfield Minor Hockey Association**
- Dalroy U.F.A. Association
- **Genesis** Place
- Golden Rod Hall
- Greater Bragg Creek Trails Association
- Irricana Beiseker Soccer Association
- Jumping Pound Community Hall Society
- Langdon Little League
- Langdon Older Kids Seniors' Club
- Langdon Recreation Centre
- Langdon Softball Association
- Langdon Theatre Association
- Madden and District Agricultural Society
- Prairie Royal Estates Community
- **Rising Sun Taekwon-Do Club** Sharp Hill Preservation Society
- Spray Lakes Sawmills Recreation Park Society Springbank Community Association
- Springbank Equestrian Society
- Springbank Heritage Club
- Springbank Park for All Seasons
- Springbank Trails and Pathways
- Synergy Youth and Community Development Society

H).



The surveys asked stakeholders about industry trends and issues, facilities development being considered over the next five to ten years, opportunities for collaboration with Rocky View County, success factors for public recreation service providers, customer composition, perceptions of recreation facilities, and operational outcomes.

 Surveys of community residents were conducted. The surveys were conducted in several phases. In 2017, residents living in the



west south portion of the community (known as Rocky View West) were surveyed. Residents living in the east south area of the community (Bow North) were surveyed in 2018. The remaining areas of the community were surveyed in 2019.

Throughout the various phases of the survey, 1,996 questionnaires were completed. With this total, approximately 15% of households within the community participated in the survey based on the 2016 Federal Census of 13,042 occupied dwellings in Rocky View County.

Responses from I	Districts
District	Response
Ranchlands	223
Bearspaw-Glendale	385
Madden	17
Crossfield	42
Rocky View Central	171
Beiseker	26
Rocky View East	144
Chestermere-Conrich	134
Rocky View West	525
Bow North	329
Total	1996

In the first two phases, respondent households were sent post cards through the mail and asked to respond to an online survey. In the last phase, respondent households were sent questionnaires in the mail and could complete the paper questionnaire (and return it) or fill out an online version. In all phases, an open-link online questionnaire form was also offered to enable households not sent a post card or questionnaire to participate in the survey.

Data from the last phase of the survey were weighted to reflect household composition within the community. Overall findings were presented as averages from all districts.

Issues examined in the survey included:

- Perceptions of recreation service provision in the community
- Satisfaction with recreation opportunities
- Participation in recreation activities
- Motivations to participate in recreation activities
- Barriers to recreation participation
- Improvements/changes needed for programs to encourage greater participation
- Use of recreation facilities, parks, and pathways/trails
- New/enhanced indoor and outdoor facilities that are needed in local areas/ communities
- Travel time to access recreation facilities
- Issues about recreation resource
 allocation for the community
- Preferred communication methods to inform residents about recreation

See findings of Community Surveys in Appendix I.

2019 Phase Questionnaire:

(see Appendix I for full survey instrument presentations)





E1 P	lease indicate				
a) b)	if household members have used or visited the following facilities in the if so, how frequently someone in your household used/visited the facility assroom use is assessed in order to determine the demand for spaces for creative and and assroom use is assessed in order to determine the demand for spaces for creative and and assroom use is assessed in order to determine the demand for spaces for creative and and associated associated as a space of the sp	to participate	in a progra	em or activity ograms.)	6-
			1 to 9	b. M frequence 10 to 20	21
acity	Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre	usedivisited	Uses/Visits	Uses.Visits	Use
11	Bragg Creek Community Centre	O fresh	0.	0.	
11	Dalroy Community Hall	I tyme		D ,	
	Delacour Community Hall	C tyro+	0,	Di	1
42	Jumping Pound Community Hall	C / yest	0,	0,	
31	Keoma Community Hall	C X102+	Ξ,	3,	-
Ist	Other Community Halls (other than those presented above)	I I'yes+	. C)	D1	
	Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors' Lodge	C // yes->	3.	0,	
	Springbank Hentage Club	C Tyman	0.	0,	
唐	Springbank Park for All Seasons	C #yes+	0,	0,	
11	Indoor ice rinks (other than those presented above)	C I'yes>	3.	0,	-
	Outdoor ice rinks Curling rinks	C // 100-0	0,		
Descent Ma	4031 Gym	C #yes+	0.	0,	
180	Langdon Fieldhouse		0.	0:	
County	Springbank Equestrian Society	0 1/100	3.	0,	
80	Playgrounds	C 1/100+	3.	0;	-
-	Tennis courts	O // yes+	0,	0;	
18	Non-school sport fields (bathlo pitch diamonds, soccertfootball fields)	1 type>		3,	
10	School classrooms (for recreation purposes)	C #100-0	0,	0,	-
Contra C	School sport fields (tor recreation purposes - ball diamonts, soccerifociball fields)	□ //yez-b	Ο,	0,	
	School gymnasiums (for recreation purposes)	C type+	0.	0;	-
	Boulder Creek Park	□ //yes+	0,	0;	-
1	Bottrel Park	C fyere	0,	0,	
2	Charles Butler Park	C fyma+	0,	0,	
	Lasso Tral Langdon Park	0 1 yes->	0,	0,	-
1	Prairie Royal Estates	□ #yes+	0.	0,	
Appendix 1	Sharp Hill Park	C 7/10->	3.	0,	
1	Western Irrigation District Canal Pathway	C 1900+	.	D	
1	Other parks (other than those presented above)	0 1000	0.	0,	
	Other pathways/trails (other than those presented above)	I fyer>	0,	0,	
_	Genesis Centre (Ardie)	C //yes-+	- C+ .	0,	
	Chestermere Regional Community Association	C /yes+	0,	0,	
	Indus Recreation Centre (Bow Valley Ag. Society)	Tymes +	0.	0,	
T.	Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sports Centre (Cochrane)	C Kyes+	0,	0,	
8	Baseball/softball/slo pitch facilities	C /yes+	3,	0,	-
1	Community halls Curling rinks	C #1927	0,	0,	
1.	Dog off Leash areas	C #yes+	3.	0;	
100	Fitness facilities (cardio, weights, studios, running tracks, martial arts)	1 1/100-	3.	0,	-
Chestermen, d Irricana	Gymnasiums (basketball, volleyball, badminton, etc.)	O ryes+	0.	0,	
1	Indoor field facilities (indoor soccer, football, lacrosse fields)	C Pyes+	3.	0;	
Airdrin, Beiseker, Crossfield, an	Indoor ice rinks	C //yes->	0,	0;	
1	Outdoor field facilities (soccer, football, rugby, field hockey, etc.)	C //yes->	0,	0,	
35	Parks	O #pero	Π.	0,	-
1	Pathways/trails	0 // yes->	Э,	0;	
-	Performing arts facilities (music, live theatre, dance, etc.)	I Hyest	O.	0,	
Pacifican a	Playgrounds	C //yes->	0,	0,	-
Fac	Public libraries/services	0 #yes+	0,	0,	
	Seniors centres/facilities	C #yes+	0.	0;	
	Skateboard parks Tennis courts	□ # pes+		0,	





Please disregard travel required for "away games" or "o								
	Wou		10 to	20 to	Minutes 30 to	40 10	-	_
Facility Type	USe		<20	<10	<40	<50	50 to <60	60+
Arts or cultural facility	3.	0,	0,	0.	01	Di I		3.
Fitness gym (cardio/weights)	D	D 2	0,		0,	0,	D:	0,
Fitness studio/room for group exercises Gymnasium (basketball, volleyball, badminton)	0.	0,	0,	0,	0,	0,	0.	D,
Indoor aquatic facility (swimming pool)	0	0;	0,	0,	0,	3	1.	3,
Indoor field house (indoor soccer, football)	0,	D ₂	0,	Ο,	D,	0,	Dr.	O,
Indoor ice arena	0.	0.	0,	۵.	0,	D,	D:	D,
Ouldoor sport field (ball diamond, soccer/football/etc. fields, etc.)	0.	Dr	0:	0.	0,	3,		O,
Park		0,	0,	σ,	D ,	D,	Dr.	3,
Pathways/trails	0.	O ₂	0,	Ο.	0.	0,	0.	0.
Playground Public library	0	0;	0;	0,	0,	3	0.	D, D,
Seniors centre	0.	0,	0:	0,	0,	0,	0.	0,
G. Prioritization	-	_					-	_
importance of each criterion when prioritizing me "A funding request or project will be a higher								
		Very	Somew	nat tro	important	Somewhat Unimportant	Uning	ry vortant
ocuses on basic skill development for children/youth	-	0,	0:	-	0,	0,		31
ocuses on seniors programming Responds to community needs	-	0,	0;		0,	0.),),
Bigible for grants/funds from other sources (over and abo county support)	NH .	0,	0,		0,	0,	-	3,
ble to bring in users (and revenue) from people outsid county		3,	0,		0,	α,):]:
service is currently not available in the local area/comm Capacity to operate without County financial support	unity	0,	02	-	0.	0,		3
s the most cost effective option			D,		Di	G 4	1	3,
senefits the largest group of district County residents		3.	3:		0.	0,	5	3:
H. Public Value H1. To what extent do you agree or disagree with th	e follow	ing staten	somew	hat	Unaure	Somewhat	Stro	ngly
Recreation is important to my quality of life.	_	D.	Apres Da	-	Onsure O ₁	Disagree C),		gree J,
residents can benefit even if they do not use recreation	1	0,	0;		0;	α.		3,
ervices directly.			3		3	0.	1.00	1.
Recreation services brings the community together. Rocky View County should continue to develop agreem	ents			-	01	- 04	-	1:
with other municipalities/organizations so residents can iccess services that are unavailable in the County.		0,	0,		0:	Π,	-	3 1
Paved and non-paved pathways and traits should be leveloped for connectivity and recreational use.		Ξ,	Ξ,		D ;	α.	0	3,
aclities should be developed in a community hub type		-	-	_	-	-		
itrangement (e.g. clusters of facilities rather than stand alone).	- 1	0,	0,		0:	α,	5	3,
County funding support should be directed to communit rganizations that provide services with high degrees of rogram availability and accessibility to County resident	ŕ	0,	01		01	σ.		3 ,
Our household receives value for its \$150 annual contribution to recreation.		ο,	D,		0,	ο,	5	7,

L Communications							
1. What are the best three (3) me	thods to get infor	mation to your ho	usehold about recr	eation pro	grams an	nd events?	,
D Brochures and posters in				DiOther	(please s	pecifyic	
Community facilities	D, Local ne D, County w	wspapers					
D: Community signs							_
J. Funding lesues							
J1. Public funds are spent through issues considered in this quest in your local area/community?	tionnaire, If you v						
5Maintaining existing ou						stan, etc.)	
§ Maintaining existing in \$ Maintaining trais/path		enilles (# 2 commu	sty field, ice ricks, curli	its rinks, with)		
5 Maintaining parks	nayo						
5 Maintaining playground 5 Building more outdoor	disitot lots						
S Building more outdoor fa S Building more indoor fa							
5 Building more trails/participation							
S Building more parks S Building more playgrou	make that lasts						
\$100.00 Total (House be sure the		dd ap to \$100)					
J2. To ensure that community nee			cal area/communit	y can be	better me	s, how mu	ion of
an increase in annual property	taxes would you	support?					
Di Up to a \$100 annual pro	nerty tax increase						
D, A \$101 to \$200 annual p	roperty tax increa	se					
D, A \$101 to \$200 annual p D, A \$201 to \$300 annual p	property tax increa	se se					
D: A \$101 to \$200 annual p	property tax increat property tax increat perty tax increase	se					
□, A \$101 to \$200 annual p □, A \$201 to \$300 annual p □, Over a \$300 annual pro	property tax increat property tax increat perty tax increase	se					
Contract Comments	property tax increa property tax increa perty tax increase increase in annual	se property taxes					
Contract Comments	property tax increa property tax increa perty tax increase iccrease in annual	se property taxes	creation needs in y	our local	area/com	munity.	
Contract Comments	property tax increa property tax increa perty tax increase iccrease in annual	se property taxes	creation needs in y	ouriocal	area/com	munity.	
Contract Comments	property tax increa property tax increa perty tax increase iccrease in annual	se property taxes	creation needs in y	ourlocal	area/com	munity.	
A \$101 to \$200 annual ; D, A \$201 to \$300 annual ; C, Over a \$300 annual pro D, I would not support an in	property tax increa property tax increase perty tax increase in annual d for any other co	se property taxes	creation needs in y	our local	area/com	munity.	_
Or, A 101 to 5200 annual r Or, A 101 to 5300 annual r Or A 201 to 5300 annual on Or, Over 5 5300 annual on Or, I would not support an Ir <u>R. General Comments</u> K1. Please use the space provide 	property tax increa property tax increase perty tax increase increase in annual d for any other co ation	se property taxes mments about re					
Cr, A \$101 to \$200 annual; Cr, A \$201 to \$300 annual; Cr, Over a \$300 annual; Cr, Over a \$300 annual; Cr, Over a \$300 annual; Cr, General; Comments K1. Please use the space provide	property tax increa poperty tax increase perty tax increase corease in annual d for any other co ation incre will help us un	se property taxes imments about re indenstand charact	eristics of Rocky V	lew Coun	ty residen	ts. Your a	inswers
Or, A \$101 to \$200 annual; Or, A \$101 to \$200 annual; Orer a \$100 annual on Orer a \$100 annual on Orer a \$100 annual on Orer a \$100 annual on K. General Comments K1. Please use the space provide Orer a space provide Orer a \$100 annual on Orer a \$100 annual on Or	property tax increase property tax increase property tax increase crease in annual d for any other co ation ation the will help us ur d by other partici	se property taxes mments about re idenstand charact pants. All of your	eristics of Rocky V answers will be key	lew Coun	ty residen	ts. Your a	inswers
Or, A \$101 to \$200 annual; Or, A \$101 to \$200 annual; Orer a \$100 annual on Orer a \$100 annual on Orer a \$100 annual on Orer a \$100 annual on K. General Comments K1. Please use the space provide Orer a space pro	property tax increa perty tax increa perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase in annual d for any other co ation ation tre will help us ur ousehold memb	se property taxes imments about re indenstand charact parts. Al of your	erístics of Rocky V answers will be kej owing age groups?	lew Coun pt strictly	ty residen	ts. Your a	inswers
O, A 101 to 2300 annua's O, A 201 to 2300 annua's O, A 201 to 2300 annua's O, a 100 annua's O, a 100 annual annual O, a 100 annual	property tax increa property tax increa penty tax increase penty tax increase penty tax increase penty tax increase of for any other co- ation d for any other co- ation tre will help us ur d by other partici- nousehold memb Male Female	se property taxes mments about re inderstand charact parts. Al of your ers are in the followers worker of househol Other	enistics of Rocky V answers will be key owing age groups? d members for sech se	lew Cour pt strictly figury// Male	y residen confider Female	its. Your a ntial.	Inswers
Or A 101 to 3200 annual r Or A 201 to 3200 annual r Or A 200 annual ro Or A 200 annual rom Or annual rom Or annual rom Ceneral Comments K1. Please use the space provide Or annual rom Min be grouped with those provide Different Between many Please during yourset, how many Please during how many	property tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase of the analysis of the analysis attion. Increase Attion. Increase Attion.	se property taxes imments about re indenstand charact parts. Al of your ers are in the follo menter of household Other St	eristics of Rocky V answers will be key owing age groups? of members for sech to 0 to 59 years.	lew Cour pt strictly Male	ty residen confider	its. Your a ntial.	Inswers
Or A 101 to 3200 annual r Or A 201 to 3200 annual r Or A 200 annual ro Or A 200 annual rom Or annual rom Or annual rom Ceneral Comments K1. Please use the space provide Or annual rom Min be grouped with those provide Different Between many Please during yourset, how many Please during how many	property tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase of the analysis of the analysis attion. Increase Attion. Increase Attion.	se property taxes mments about re parts. All of your ers are in the folia matter of househol Other Si 6	eristics of Rocky V answers will be key owing age groups? dimensiona for each or to 59 years	lew Coun ot strictly Male	ty residen confider Female	its. Your a ntial.	inswers
Or, A 101 to 3200 annual r Or, A 101 to 3200 annual r Orer 3 300 annual ro Orer 3 300 annual re Orer	property tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase of or any other co attom increase in annual increase inc	se property taxes mments about re merstand charact pants. All of your rers are in the follow mether of household Other Si G 61 G 61 G 71 G	eristics of Rocky V answers will be kay awing age groups? dimension for work or to 59 years	lew Coun ot strictly Male	ty residen confider Female	its. Your a ntial.	inswers
O, A 101 to 2008 annuals O, A 201 to 2008 annuals O, A 201 to 2008 annuals O, A 201 to 2008 annuals O, I vector not support an I O, I vector not not not support an I O, I vector not not support an I O, I vector not	aroperty tax increase property tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase of the annual difference of the annual difference of the annual difference of the annual difference of the annual difference of the	se property taxes mments about re merstand charact pants. All of your rers are in the follow mether of household Other Si G 61 G 61 G 71 G	eristics of Rocky V answers will be key owing age groups? dimensiona for each or to 59 years	lew Coun ot strictly Male	ty residen confider Female	its. Your a ntial.	inswers
Or, A 101 to 3200 annual r Or A 101 to 3200 annual r Or A 1300 annual ro Or A 1000 annual ro Or	aroperty tax increase property tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase perty tax increase of the annual difference of the annual difference of the annual difference of the annual difference of the annual difference of the	se property taxes mments about re merstand charact pants. All of your rers are in the follow mether of household Other Si G 61 G 61 G 71 G	eristics of Rocky V answers will be kay awing age groups? dimension for work or to 59 years	lew Coun ot strictly Male	ty residen confider Female	its. Your a ntial.	Inswers
O, A 101 to 2008 annuals O, A 201 to 2008 annuals O, A 201 to 2008 annuals O, A 201 to 2008 annuals O, I vector not support an I O, I vector not not not support an I O, I vector not not support an I O, I vector not	alion. alion and alion	ee property laxes mments about re parts. Al of your ers are in the follower of the and the follower Other 51 61 71 81 81 81 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	eristics of Rocky V answers will be kay awing age groups? dimension for work or to 59 years	lew Coun ot strictly Male	ty residen confider Female	its. Your a ntial.	inswers
Or, A 101 to 2300 annual r Or A 210 to 3000 annual ro Or an 2100 annual ro Or an 2100 annual ro Or and 2000 annual ro Or and 2000 annual ro Central Comments L. General Democraphic Inform This last section of the questions With grouped with those provide U. I. Including yourset, now many Phese differentials between period Newtorn to 9 years. 10 to 19 years. 20 to 29 years. 20 to 29 years. 40 to 49 years	oroeinty as increase proverty as increase certy as increase erry as increase erry as increase increase in annual d for any other co do y other partici- bousehold memb- nousehold memb- nouseh	ee property laxes mments about re parts. Al of your ers are in the follower of the and the follower Other 51 61 71 81 81 81 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91	entatics of Rocky V answers Will be key awing age groups? I mentation for work or mentation for work or to 59 years	lew Coun ot strictly Male	ty residen confider Female	other	Inswers

 Four focus groups were conducted with twenty-four members of the general public who had participated in the 2019 Community Survey. The focus groups examined issues in greater depth that had developed from analysis of the community survey results.

The focus groups were held throughout the County:

Langdon Fieldhouse - 6 participants Weedon Hall - 6 participants Springbank Heritage Club - 8 participants RVC County Hall - 6 participants

Issues examined in the focus groups included:

- Role of Rocky View County in the provision of recreation services
- Most important issues about recreation in Rocky View County
- Factors that influence choice of recreation participation in activities
- Definitions of local community
- Travel time influences for accessing services and recreation
- Addressing perceived facility needs including location and scope of services

Focus group sessions were comprised of discussions and exercises undertaken by participants about the issues.

Focus Group Moderator's Guide:







F	Meeting Perceived Needs - Location and scope of services	20 ARRUPUS
	About hall of the respondents to the survey indicated that there laciities. What you see (give handout to participants) are the typ being needed or enhanced. The dark grives above the response laciities, the gold show these who indicated I'm not sure there is laciities.	es of facilities that respondents identified a of those who said yes there is a need for a need, but if there is, I would say these
	When you see this list, what comes to mind for you about how the mean inglist to addresself. As with more fillings, there are limited resurction available to addresself. As with more fillings, there are limited resurction available to attribute a distributed result. If measures (in the second result of the termine which, and the second result of the termine s	Promotion of control o



		facilies might t		Section Sectio	
We have latitud about a Witten the worksheet, a				ni tave ascansia is id facilities should be s	Stomula
	Runtl and Country Intedential areas	Hamilits/ Villages 41.300 residents	Hamlets 5.000 to 10.000 residents	Aidne, Chestermere, Cochiane (=20,000 (resident5))	Calgery
Community hall (a multi- use space)					
Fitness gym with cardio/weight equipment					-
Gymnesium (basketball, volleyball, badminton)					
Indoor aquatic facility (swimming pool)					
Indoor field house (indoor soccer, footbill)		-			
Indoor ice arena Cuidoor sports fields (ball diamonds, soccarritoritual fields					
Pathways/train Performing arts facilities dive theatre.					
eudeloreuma) Playgrounds			-		-
Public Ibranas					
Seniors centres					
ithese facilities. Which of the facilities of Closing Thank you for nationality	g lonight			57 Why is that?	
vidu gos	ce set an your	allee bicleiced in	us, more in in ano	organis organismi indi increadi andi	App In

 Four open houses were organized throughout the County to present the findings to the general public of the data and information gathered and obtain additional input and comments. Attendees were asked to provide feedback through exercises and a questionnaire form.

Langdon Fieldhouse Weedon Hall Springbank Heritage Club RVC County Hall Examples of Exercise Boards at Open House Events:





Feedback obtained from Open Houses:

- Langdon multi-use indoor recreation complex needed ASAP.
 - Youth of this community deserve it
 - Economic benefit to local business
- Indoor multi use area in urban centres
- Indoor multi use space for dances/gatherings (i.e. Legion)
- Senior need larger space
- Indoor sports space for multi-uses: cement pad for ice and non-ice activities
- Groups need space to facilitate programs
- Have the County build the facility and turn it over to local groups to maintain
- Economic benefit in building amenity in Langdon
- Indoor multi use recreation complex in Landon!

Page | 63

Feedback from Open Houses, continued...

- Multi-use ice surface with boards to be used for various activities: e.g. lacrosse, indoor soccer, ball hockey, beer gardens, farmer's markets; portable basketball/volleyball nets; pickle ball courts.
- See the growing communities and allocate resources to bring in recreation facilities
- Need more recreation facilities 100%
- Less assessments- more action
- Wants vs needs are often not practical or based in reality
- Ageing population needs to be factored into facility and services planning
- Make better use of MR land- maybe off leash dog parks
- Monetize unused County land assets to invest in community facilities and improvements (will also reduce maintenance costs on these parcels)
- Pathways and trails, cycling- wider roads?
- Publicly accessible open space
- Pathways and cycle
- River access to Bow and Elbow
- Stable funding
 - Don't overbuild if can't finance operational costs
- Who or what department in RVC is responsible for pathways vision/development and maintenance
- Can we pick some suitable MP's and create actual parks in the community?
 - Parking
 - Tables, benches
 - Barbeques
 - Trees
- More outdoor ice rinks

Open House Presentation Boards:











Open House Presentation Boards, continued:







ROCKY VIEW COUNTY	RECREATION NEEDS ASSESSMENT STUDY
Your Opinions	
Ang the Paul of Name of Contractions of the Name of States and States	and a start of the second of the second s
	(Letine)

Your Opinions .							
rour opinions.							
fore contribution in Australia Suran	the same star	Instantia in the second					
	Real electronic and a second s	Handety - 120	vianity Mire-	Marries Marries	Benaver (Incident) Britisher	States	Calgers
treat environmental intercention							
and the set of the second party							
territoritation and and a					1		
and second for the processing party				1			
Salari semi medanti birlati							
and an other balling at							1
Court Sal.							
the state of the latter of the states	-						
happense -	1						
Aktown	-				-		
ant artist							

Page | 65

General Bibliography (primary documents):

Alberta Government, Alberta Recreation Surveys, 2013 and 2017.

Calgary Metropolitan Region Board, Interim Growth Plan, 2018.

Calgary Metropolitan Region Board, Population Projections, 2018.

Canadian Parks and Recreation Association, A Framework for Recreation in Canada, 2015.

City of Calgary, West View Community Area Structure Plan, 2019.

IHRSA, Health Club Consumer Report, 2018.

IHRSA, Profiles of Success, 2019.

Public Health Ontario, Healthy Rural Communities Tool Kit, A Guide for Rural Municipalities.

Rocky View County and The City of Calgary, The Shepard Plan, Amended 2014.

Rocky View County, Active Transportation Plan, South County, October 2018.

Rocky View County, Area Structure Plan Maps, https://www.rockyview.ca/Portals/0/ Files/BuildingPlanning/Planning/ASP/Area-Structure-Plans.jpg.

Rocky View County, Area Structure Plan Springbank, Pre-Release Draft, May 2019.

Rocky View County, Balzac East Area Structure Plan, 2000.

Rocky View County, Balzac West Area Structure Plan, 2007.

Rocky View County, Bow North Recreation District, Household Survey Report, 2018.

Rocky View County, Bylaw C-7885-2019, A Bylaw of Rocky View County to amend the Municipal Development Plan (County Plan) Bylaw C-7280-2013.

Rocky View County, Cochrane North Area Structure Plan, 2007.

Rocky View County, Commercial/Retail Demand and Capacity Study, Springbank/Highway 1 Area, August 2016.

Rocky View County, Community Needs Assessment Studies, 2005 and 2010.

Rocky View County, County Plan, Amended 2018.

Rocky View County, Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan, 2017. Amended 2018.

Rocky View County, Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan, 2007.

Rocky View County, Janet Area Structure Plan, 2014.

Rocky View County, Parks and Open Space Master Plan, 2011.

Rocky View County, Recreation Governance Report, 2019.

Rocky View County, Rocky View West District Plan, 2019-2024.

Rocky View County, Rocky View West Recreation District, State of Recreation, 2017.

https://www.rockyview.ca/Government/Census.aspx

Rural Municipalities of Alberta, Rural Recreation Survey Results, 2018.

Statistics Canada, 11-10-0222-01, Housing spending, Canada, 2013 to 2017.

Statistics Canada, Community Profiles, Rocky View County, 1996, 2001, 2006, 2011, and 2016.


Appendix B (Detailed Survey Data)

			Sati	sfaction with	h Facilities	and Spaces					
	Average	Rocky View West	Ranch Lands (n=213)	Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385)	Madden (n=18)	Crossfield (n=47)	Rocky View Central	Beiseker (n=30)	Rocky View East (n=139)	Chestermere- Conrich (n=151)	Bow North
The facilities and spaces in your lo	cal area/cor	nmunity. (co	nsider co	ndition, clea	nliness, acc	essibility, cos	t, etc.).				
Very Satisfied	19	13	34	25	27	30	25	0	13	10	4
Somewhat Satisfied	34	37	37	37	18	27	37	56	28	34	30
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	25	25	18	25	27	32	24	24	29	28	21
Somewhat Dissatisfied	15	19	8	11	18	11	10	12	15	20	28
Very Dissatisfied	8	6	4	2	9	0	5	8	16	9	18
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Barriers to Recreation Participation												
	Average	Rocky View West	Ranch Lands (n=213)	Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385)	-	Crossfield (n=47)	Rocky View Central (n=163)	Beiseker (n=30)	Rocky View East (n=139)	Chestermere- Conrich (n=151)	Bow North	
Facilities/programs/services are located too far away	32	35	16	20	18	21	16	38	41	41	77	
Too many family/work commitments	28	n/a	31	27	29	35	28	28	24	21	n/a	
Already too busy with other activities	28	n/a	38	40	24	26	33	14	24	25	n/a	
Activities too costly	23	n/a	20	18	29	9	25	28	26	29	n/a	
Not aware of what is available/do not have enough information	19	22	9	19	17	26	18	13	20	19	29	
Better opportunities elsewhere/have own equipment	17	44	9	14	6	4	12	3	9	17	56	
Program fees	14	5	10	11	17	13	16	21	13	20	15	
Do not have the physical ability/fitness level	13	4	7	6	18	28	9	20	17	12	6	
Poor/inadequate facilities/equipment	12	26	2	6	6	0	7	10	12	15	41	
Transportation limitations (cost/availability)	11	5	9	4	12	2	5	21	9	13	34	
Hours of operation (schedule of programs/facility)	11	10	10	9	0	15	9	10	11	15	23	
Cannot afford admission fees into facilities	11	4	9	7	6	9	12	14	14	21	11	
Current programs/facilities are full	9	9	9	9	0	17	12	0	9	5	17	
Not interested in what is available	7	11	6	8	0	7	6	3	5	5	17	
Not motivated enough to get involved	6	n/a	2	3	6	13	5	0	7	9	n/a	
Safety concerns	2	6	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	3	
Poor instruction	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	4	
Other	2	n/a	2	2	0	4	2	0	2	3	n/a	

	Perceive	d New/Enh	anced Facil	ities (Respo	ndents Indi	icating Yes to	o Facilities	Needed)			
		Rocky	Ranch	Bearspaw-			Rocky		Rocky		
		View	Lands	Glendale	Madden	Crossfield	View	Beiseker	View East	Chestermere-	Bow
	Average	West	(n=213)	(n=385)	(n=18)	(n=47)	Central	(n=30)	(n=139)	Conrich (n=151)	North
Indoor											
Fitness/wellness facilities	23	47	15	25	13	29	23	30	24	22	41
Walking/running tracks	20	32	16	19	0	22	16	22	29	32	40
Ice arena facilities	18	17	8	18	27	14	22	8	24	21	44
Seniors centre	12	11	10	9	7	14	9	13	15	24	18
Leisure ice surfaces	9	12	9	11	7	4	16	4	15	7	21
Art studios/practice space	9	26	10	8	7	4	4	17	12	11	18
Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities	9	24	11	12	7	7	9	0	9	16	15
Library space	8	32	5	11	0	4	11	9	13	16	23
Gymnasium type spaces	8	23	7	13	0	0	6	4	21	11	31
Youth centre	8	17	8	7	13	4	3	4	13	10	26
Community hall/banquet facilities	7	10	7	5	0	4	10	13	10	11	9
Indoor agricultural facilities	7	4	10	2	7	14	9	13	4	2	6
Court sport spaces	7	17	6	11	0	4	9	13	7	10	19
Indoor child playgrounds	7	n/a	11	8	0	4	5	4	8	16	22
Dance/program/martial arts rooms	6	n/a	5	3	7	0	3	13	4	12	10
Indoor field surfaces	5	14	7	8	0	0	5	0	10	11	18
Performing spaces	5	13	9	5	0	4	2	8	5	4	8
Curling rinks	5	7	3	3	0	15	5	0	6	6	7
Classrooms/meeting spaces	4	4	4	2	0	0	7	4	4	8	5
Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms	1	7	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	5	12
Other	7	n/a	2	2	7	14	4	4	10	15	n/a
Outdoor											
Paved and non-paved trail system	24	56	22	29	20	19	23	26	27	23	50
Cycling routes	18	38	24	22	7	11	17	17	21	26	30
Nature/interpretive trails	16	32	18	15	20	18	11	17	15	15	26
Dog off leash areas	12	22	16	16	20	4	9	4	17	9	34
Mountain bike trails	11	24	18	22	7	11	8	4	11	9	20
Water spray parks	11	19	7	8	7	7	11	8	16	21	42
River access	10	38	13	22	7	4	5	4	11	12	n/a
Open spaces	9	21	13	8	7	3	8	4	11	17	23
Community gardens	8	15	12	8	0	19	9	0	6	15	10
Ball diamonds	8	8	5	4	0	11	12	13	11	9	21
Picnic areas	8	13	8	6	7	7	6	4	12	13	16
Outdoor boarded skating rinks	6	7	5	7	0	4	16	4	9	6	5
Event spaces	6	12	5	3	0	7	8	9	6	12	7
Outdoor fitness equipment	6	11	3	4	0	4	5	22	3	7	10
Pickleball courts	6	4	2	9	7	3	5	4	7	8	4
Bike skills park	5	14	10	11	7	0	3	0	5	9	12
Playgrounds	5	14	6	6	7	0	8	4	2	9	12
Sports fields (e.g. soccer, football)	5	10	8	8	0	4	3	0	12	6	19
Skeet shooting venues	5	5	7	3	7	4	5	9	4	4	7
Tennis courts	4	11	1	11	0	0	5	0	9	9	11
Outdoor skating (non-boarded)	4	4	3	7	0	7	7	0	5	6	8
Hardcourts	3	6	3	2	0	0	4	0	7	11	o n/a
Other	3		4	3	7	0	4	0	5	7	<u> </u>
Track and field spaces	3	n/a 7	4	3	0	4	2	4	3	6	n/a 10
	3	8	5 4	4	0	4	2	4	3 6	6	
Beach volleyball courts			-		-	0		0			2
Skateboard parks	2	6	1	5	0	4	2	U	2	3	6

At least 30% of repondents selected facility type Between 20% and <30 % selected facility type

			Ranch	Bearspaw-		-	Rocky View	N	Rocky		
	Average	Rocky View West	Lands (n=213)	Glendale (n=385)	Madden (n=18)	Crossfield (n=47)	Central (n=163)	Beiseker (n=30)	View East (n=139)	Chestermere- Conrich (n=151)	Bow North
Greater variety	22	24	11	18	17	11	18	33	16	21	49
Improved marketing of programs	21	28	16	25	24	17	23	3	17	22	32
More convenient schedule/longer hours	20	20	19	23	12	21	19	17	17	18	39
Less cost	20	9	22	21	12	15	22	24	19	32	21
Better space and equipment	20	25	7	14	6	2	15	24	22	26	55
Offered more frequently	13	14	14	12	6	13	15	10	9	17	23
Need to accommodate more participants	9	6	7	6	6	9	17	3	6	9	24
Different skill levels available	9	11	9	10	0	13	7	10	6	7	15
Enhanced content/better quality	7	7	5	7	6	6	2	10	2	6	16
Better quality instruction	6	6	5	7	0	6	4	7	4	7	12
Other	2	n/a	4	2	0	2	2	0	6	2	n/a



Appendix C

(Rocky View County Population Age Distribution) 2016 Federal Census

				Age Distributio						
				(Source	Statistics Canada	2016)	-			
					North				Southeast without	
	All	Southwest	Northwest	West Central	Central	Northeast	East Central	Southeast	Langdon	Langdon
	%	%	%	96	96	96	96	96	96	96
0 to 4	4	4	4	4	4	6	4	7	4	8
5 to 14	14	14	13	14	12	13	12	19	11	21
15 to 19	8	9	7	8	8	7	8	7	8	7
20 to 24	6	7	5	6	6	6	8	4	6	4
25 to 44	20	16	19	17	21	21	21	29	20	33
45 to 64	35	37	37	36	35	33	35	28	38	24
65+	14	13	14	16	13	14	13	6	13	4
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	39,420	12,065	4,115	6,865	3,605	2,175	3,940	6,905	1,845	5,060



Page | 69

Appendix D

(Survey of Facilities in urban communities -5,000 to 10,000 population)

	Population	Parks	Pathways	Sport fields	Multi-purpose rooms/studios	Fitness facilities (public)	lce arena	aquatics	Travel Distance from Urban Centre (20,000)
Coaldale	8,215	1		~			1 rink	outdoor	18 minutes
Didsbury	5,268	~	~	~			2 rink	~	53 minutes
Drumheller	7,982	~	~	1	1	√	1 rink	~	94 minutes
Innisfail	7,847	~	~	~			2 rink	~	25 minutes
Olds	9,184	~	~	~			2 rink	~	44 minutes
Ponoka	7,229	~	~	~			2 rink	~	39 minutes
Stettler	5,952	~	~	1	1	~	2 rink	~	54 minutes
Taber	8,428	1	~	~			2 rink	~	37 minutes
Redcliff	5,600	~	~	~			1 rink	outdoor	9 minutes
Vegreville	5,708	~	~	~	1	1	1 rink	~	70 minutes
Westlock	5,101	~	~	~	1	1	1 rink	1	69 minutes



Appendix E (Residents' Perceptions of Service Provision Approaches)





Appendix F

(Publicly Accessible Facilities/Amenities) Facilities involved in spatial analysis.

Multi-Use Community Spaces

- 4031 Gym
- Airdrie (multiple facilities)
- Balzac Community Hall
- Bearspaw Christian School
- Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre
- Bearspaw Lions Hall
- Beiseker Community Centre
- Bragg Creek Community Centre
- Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors Fellowship
- Chestermere Regional Recreation Centre
- Cochrane (multiple facilities)
- Crossfield & District Community Hall
- Delacour Hall
- Elbow Valley Residents Club
- Gold Rod Hall
- I.O.O.F. Hall Langdon
- Indus Recreation Centre
- Irricana Recreation Centre
- Jumping Pound Community Hall
- Keoma Hall
- Langdon Fieldhouse
- Madden AG Society Community Hall
- Redwood Meadows Community Centre
- Springbank Equestrian Society Hall
- Springbank Heritage Club
- Springbank Park for all Seasons (dryland training and field house)
- Weedon Pioneer Community Hall

School Gymnasia

- Bearspaw Christian School
- Bearspaw School
- Beiseker Community School
- Bert Church High school
- Bow Valley High School
- Chestermere High School
- Chestermere Lake Middle School
- Cochrane High School
- Edge School
- George McDougall High School
- Indus School
- Langdon School
- Springbank Community High School
- W.H. Croxford High School
- Westbrook School (under development)

Indoor Ice Arenas

- Beiseker Arena (1 sheet)
- Calgary (west end various facilities Winsport, Shouldice, Optimist, George Blundun, etc.)
- Chestermere Regional Recreation Centre (1 sheet)
- Cochrane Arena (1 sheet)
- Cremona AG Society Community Arena (1 sheet)
- Edge School (2 sheets)
- Genesis Place (2 sheets)
- Indus Recreation Centre (1 sheet)
- Pete Knight Memorial Arena (1 sheet)
- Plainsman (1 sheet)
- Ron Ebbesen (2 sheets)
- Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sports Centre (3 sheets)
- Springbank Park for all Seasons (2 sheets and a covered outdoor rink)

Fitness Centres

(with cardio/weight equipment, there are other studio and wellness facilities in urban communities)

- Airdrie Fit Body Boot Camp
- Anytime Fitness Airdrie
- Anytime Fitness Chestermere
- Anytime Fitness Cochrane
- Big Sky Fitness
- Body Connect
- Chestermere Fit Body Boot Camp
- Cochrane Health & Fitness Club
- Cores & Effect Pilates
- CrossFit 403
- Crossfit Cochrane
- F45 Cochrane
- F45 Training Airdrie
- F45 Training Chestermere
- Fireside of Cochrane Fitness Centre
- Fit Body Boot Camp
- Fit4Less
- Fitness 1440
- GoodLife Fitness Airdrie Towerlane Centre
- GoodLife Fitness Cochrane Points West
- Orangetheory Fitness
- Push Cycling Inc.
- The Fitness Connection
- The Study
- Tri Fit Training



Seniors Centres/Halls

- Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors Fellowship
- Springbank Heritage Club
- Seniors on the Bow Centre
- Golden Years Town and Country Club
- Woodside Seniors Club
- Airdrie Over 50 Club
- Chestermere Whitecappers Association
- Crossfield Gold Key Club
- KIK Senior Citizens' Club

Athletic Sports Fields

- Bow Valley High School
- Chestermere Regional Recreation Centre
- Ed Eggerer Athletic Park
- Edge School
- Indus Recreation Centre
- Langdon Fieldhouse
- Matt Krol South Diamond
- Midford Park
- Springbank Park for All Seasons

Public Libraries

- Airdrie Public Library
- Beiseker Municipal Library
- Chestermere Public Library
- Crossfield Municipal Library
- Cochrane Public Library
- Irricana and Rural Municipal Library

Curling Rinks

- Airdrie Curling Club
- Chestermere Regional Recreation Centre
- Indus Recreation Centre
- Irricana Curling Club
- Madden AG Society Curling Club
- Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sport Centre
- Springbank Park for All Seasons

Indoor Rectangular Fields

- Calgary Soccer Centre (8 fields)
- Calgary West Soccer Centre (4 fields)
- Few other private facilities in Calgary
- Genesis Centre (2 fields)
- Genesis Place (2 fields)
- Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sports Centre (1 field)

Aquatic Facilities

- Bob Bahan Aquatic and Fitness Centre
- Brookfield Residential YMCA at Seton
- Crowfoot YMCA
- Didsbury Memorial Complex
- Genesis Place
- Killarney Aquatic and Recreation Centre
- Remington YMCA at Quarry Park
- Rocky Ridge Recreation Centre
- Saddletowne YMCA/Genesis Centre
- Shouldice Aquatic Centre
- Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sports Centre
- Strathmore Aquatic Centre
- Village Square Leisure Centre
- Vivo Calgary
- Westside Recreation Center

Appendix G

(Information from Community Profile Technical Report)

- Rocky View County is developing a Recreation Needs Assessment Study to assist long term community planning. The Needs Assessment Study will address a variety of issues such as how and where residents recreate, what comprises the recreation sector in the region, and whether community needs and expectations for recreation services are being met. This community demographic profile of Rocky View County will help inform these issues.
- The population of Rocky View County was 39,420 (Federal Census) in 2016 and, over the past two decades, there has been considerable growth. Much of the increase occurred prior to 2001 and over the past decade and a half growth has been steady at approximately 1.5% per year.
- The Rocky View County population is older with almost half of residents being at least 45 years of age (compared to about a third of Calgary and Alberta residents).
- When considering the age distribution of the population over the past two decades, it becomes apparent that the population overall is aging. For instance, in 1996, only a third of the population was at least 45 years of age. Further, the seniors population (65+) has steadily increased from approximately 1,400 in 1996 to over 5,300 in 2016. In comparison, the toddler population (under 5) has increased only slightly from about 1,500 o 1,700. With a large portion of the community being midaged adults (45 to 64), it is expected that the seniors population will continue to increase within the community.

		ation Characteris e: Statistics Canada 20		
		Rocky View		
		County	Calgary	Alberta
Рор	oulation	39,420	1,239,230	4,067,165
Ave	rage Household Size	3.0	2.6	2.6
		%	%	%
	0 to 4	4	6	7
s	5 to 14	14	12	13
Groups	15 to 19	8	6	6
50	20 to 24	6	6	6
Age	25 to 44	20	33	30
4	45 to 64	35	26	26
	65+	14	11	12
	Total	100	100	100







	Age Distribution across Rocky View County (Source: Statistics Canada 2016)										
		West South	West North	West Central	Central	East North	East Central	East South			
	All	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)			
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%			
0 to 4	4	4	4	4	4	6	4	7			
5 to 14	14	14	13	14	12	13	12	18			
15 to 19	8	9	7	8	8	7	8	7			
20 to 24	6	7	5	6	6	6	8	4			
25 to 44	20	16	19	17	21	21	21	29			
45 to 64	35	37	37	36	35	33	35	28			
65+	14	13	14	16	13	14	13	6			
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100			
Population	39,420	12,065	4,115	6,865	3,605	2,175	3,940	6,905			

- Even so, approximately a quarter of the population is under the age of 20, which is an important consideration for the Recreation Needs Assessment Study as the participation and interests in recreation among families with children or youth can be different from those of seniors, as well as mid-aged adults (without children/ youth at home).
- Data available from Statistics Canada (2016) shows that age distributions among the population throughout Rocky View County are fairly consistent except for the east south area (for the most part, known as Bow North). Within this area, the population tends to be younger and more likely to have families with children and youth.
- It is also worth noting that most of the population (58%) resides in the western portion of the County (west south, west north, and west central areas) with much of it located in the west south area.

Map of Federal Census Tracts (as identified in the above table)



						cteristics e: Statistics			ion							
				West South	Wes	st North	West	st Central		Central	Eas	t North	Eas	t Central	East South	
	All		(A) ²		(B)		(C)		(D)		(E)		(F)		(G)	
Рор	ulation density per	10		(east) 40 - 80		5		27		4		4		10		20
squ	are kilometre		, 	(west) 6		<u> </u>		27		-		· ·		10		20
				(east) \$229,205 -	1											
	lian total income of	\$ 1	52,866	\$264,192	Ś	118.784	Ś :	193.088	\$	111,787	Ś	94,144	\$	125,781	Ś	135,125
hou	seholds in 2015 (\$)	· -		(west) \$106,816	Ť		Ţ.	,	Ŧ	,	Ť	,	Ť		Ť	100,110
				- \$153,856												
		96		% (east) 12 - 21		%		%		%		%		96		96
	Work at home	18	3	(west) 14 - 18		22		16		16		21		17		12
	Work outside			(east) 1												
	Canada	1		(west) 1 - 2		1		0		1		1		0		0
	No fixed workplace	15		(east) 14 - 15	1	15		10		16		19		20		17
^k	address	1.	,	(west) 11 - 12		15		10		10		15		20		17
Š	Commute within	8		(east) 14 - 16		7		6		14		7		8		13
e o	census subdivision			(west) 7 - 9		·		Ŭ		14		·		0		15
Place of Work	Commute to a	56	5	(east) 45 - 55		51		65		51		45		52		53
-	different census		-	(west) 60 - 63		-				-						
	Commute to a	2		(east) 0 - 3		4		1		2		6		2		4
	different census			(west) 0 - 2		-				_				_		
	Commute to a	1		(east) 1		0		1		0		1		1		0
	different province or			(west) 1												
	Total	10	0	100		100		100		100		100		100		100

 Population density is higher in some areas (e.g. parts of west south, west central, and east south) of the County than others. The higher density areas typically are a result of country residential developments or, in the case of east south area, the hamlet of Langdon.



Source: Rocky View County, County Plan 2018.

- Household incomes within Rocky View County tend to be high (\$152,899 in 2015 compared to \$97,334 for Calgary and \$93,835 for Alberta); however, there is disparity of levels throughout the community. It is worth noting that average household spending for recreation has been decreasing in Alberta from \$5,236 in 2015 to \$4,680 in 2017.
- Overall, over half of workers are employed in locations outside of the immediate census subdivisions, but within the census division. It is assumed that these workers are mainly employed in Calgary and smaller urban centres such as Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, Crossfield, and Irricana. These data may suggest that travel for many residents is commonplace. Indeed, the average (mode) commute time for workers is 30 to 44 minutes. It is also worth noting that almost one in five workers work from home.



 There are 18 hamlets and country residential communities situated throughout Rocky View County.4 Most of these hamlets and communities have smaller populations. Langdon has a sizable population and is in the east south area of the County.

Hamlets within Rocky View County (Source: Rocky View County Municipal Census)							
	2018						
Hamlet	Population						
Bragg Creek	459						
Cochrane Lake	769						
Conrich	21						
Dalemead	29						
Dalroy	46						
Harmony	249						
Indus	32						
Kathyrn	13						
Keoma	89						
Langdon	5,364						
Madden	26						

- Within the Rocky View County, County Plan, the preferred residential growth areas for the community are the hamlets as most of these areas have not been fully developed. To retain their rural character, hamlets are not encouraged to grow beyond a population range of 5,000 to 10,000 residents.
- Notable increases in population are expected in certain areas of Rocky View County. The Springbank Area Structure Plan projects an approximate population of almost 25,000 over long term build out. The hamlet of Harmony is expected to eventually have a population of around 10,000 residents. Long term development has also been proposed for the Balzac, Cochrane North/Cochrane Lake, Glenbow Ranch, Greater Bragg Creek, and Langdon areas.

Appendix H

(Information from Stakeholder Engagement Findings Technical Report)

Trends to Consider

Municipalities and partners identified trends that Rocky View County should consider in its future planning of public recreation.

Municipalities:

Fewer government-run facilities - More partner models are expected in the future; regional collaboration is needed to maximize funding as bedroom communities take advantage of neighbouring facilities.

- Aging Infrastructure with Growing Demand There is a need to maintain aging facilities as population grows.
- Higher demand facilities Pathways and significant multifunction facilities are expected to be demanded by residents.
- Aging population needs An aging population is demanding modified programs and place more stress on facilities to adapt.
- Youth at risk needs Youth struggling with social issues such as opioid addiction and gangs is expected to increase. Programs are needed to counter proactively.
- Higher facility costs Future infrastructure costs are not likely to be sustainable and facilities will be less able to accommodate out of town visitors.
- Lack of facilities With growing population and facilities already at capacity, aging facilities will require more maintenance.

Partners:

 Address segments in need - Providing programs and opportunities for families in need and other groups that would most benefit from participation and community.

- More multi-use facilities More multiuse facilities should be added as current buildings are at capacity and cannot accommodate anything new.
- Pickleball demand Pickleball courts are a current trend with seniors; but is becoming popular for all demographic groups.
- Consolidate and centralize activities Work with surrounding communities to consolidate and centralize activities for efficiency as opposed to developing piecemeal, stand-alone facilities.
- Spontaneous activities Be prepared for less organized sport demand and more spontaneous family and drop-in use.
- Indoor playgrounds Indoor playgrounds are becoming popular including bouncers for children.
- Youth at risk needs Provide programs for youth at risk to mitigate challenges such as opioid addiction and gangs.
- Regional collaboration Need for collaboration
 among all urban jurisdictions and Calgary.



Issues Needing to Address

Stakeholders were asked about recreation and culture issues, if any, that their organizations need to address in the next five to ten years.

Municipalities:

- Lack of Funding Less government funding combined with the economic downturn requires regional partnerships to maximize funding.
- Increasing demands Increasing population growth is contributing to more demands for services and programs. Efficiencies within facilities are needed to maximize services and reduce pressure.
- Infrastructure turnover Planning for aging infrastructure and buildings that are at the end of their lifecycle is required. Creative partnerships will be needed, as well as integration of services in centrally located areas (e.g. a regional pool instead of small pools, recognizing associated high costs for larger facilities).
- Social concerns Aging population and youth at risk require programing that proactively anticipates and addresses needs. Services need to be provided that are inclusive to serve the population that will benefit the most.

Partners:

- Increasing demands Capital planning and infrastructure as populations grow. Facilities are currently at capacity; there are waitlists for users and programs.
- Infrastructure turnover Some facilities in Rocky View County have served residents for many years and facility life cycle planning may be needed. For facilities that are near end of life, decisions may be needed about further investment, repurposing, building new, or considering other approaches for facility development (e.g. multiuse regional structures).

- Aging population An aging population is putting stress on services as seniors demand more userfriendly options.
- Decline of Volunteerism Volunteerism is waning, which results in a need for paid staff.
- Funding Shortages The economic downturn is affecting long-term decisions of how to maintain and upgrade facilities with a significant shortage of funding.

Service Providers:

- Aging infrastructure Facilities are aging and will need upgrades, repairs, and expansion.
- Revenue generation Some providers expressed concerns about the ability to generate sufficient revenues from various sources to ensure long term sustainability.
- Increasing demands Greater expectations from the community for (new) programming; particularly from among seniors. Also, there is a transition from agricultural/ farming-based areas to rural residential communities, which brings higher expectations for services that cannot necessarily be addressed when low density areas impede sustainability of services.
- Lack of Volunteers Challenges attracting volunteers to keep organizations active and relevant. Younger residents seem less interested in volunteering. Paid staff are needed to provide services; yet concerns about lack of funding being available.
- Increased vandalism/theft There seems to be increasing vandalism and theft associated with rural facilities.

Public Recreation Changes

Municipalities and partners offered changes that they foresee in public recreation in the future.

Municipalities:

- Regional service delivery Delivery of services using a regional perspective; larger facilities that bring in several communities and reduce the expenses of individual centres.
- Flexible spaces More flexible spaces developed in the design stages of buildings to maximize services being delivered.
- Redefinition of services Community associations are being redefined using social networks to provide a matrix of providers that share costs (instead of competing) on both capital and operational side.

Partners:

- Priorities in services offered Decisions may need to be made to prioritize programs for both residents and out of town visitors to be efficient and effective.
- More multipurpose facilities Multipurpose facilities are the most desired to accommodate a wide range of programs.
- Excess capacity in design of facilities The need to develop excess capacity to accommodate population growth.

Facilities being Considered

Municipalities were asked about new facilities being considered over the next five to ten years. Responses varied depending on the population size of the municipality.

Larger communities:

- Providing recreation in innovative ways that takes recreation outside traditional buildings and into the community.
- There is a strong desire to provide inclusive access to serve those who would most benefit from public recreation.
- Partnerships to develop pathways and parks with a regional perspective is desired

Smaller communities:

 These municipalities are generally concerned with sustainability: lifecycle, maintenance, and upgrades in order to be able to continue to provide recreation in a safe and welcoming manner.

Almost all municipalities indicated the need to expand ice rinks and ball diamonds within their communities. Airdrie is planning a multi-purpose facility in the community. Chestermere is working with other municipalities to develop a regional recreation centre.

More Collaboration

Municipalities and partners were asked about further collaboration with Rocky View County.

All municipalities expressed interest and openness to collaborate with surrounding communities and Rocky View County to maximize funding, services and space. Consideration should be given to identifying the strengths of each area and develop public recreation according to those strengths

Partners indicated a desire for greater clarity regarding the roles of Rocky View County and organizations; a mutual understanding of who runs the facilities and of shared goals.



Successful Organizations

Partners stated that the following factors make their organizations' successful in the provision of public recreation:

- Volunteers Dedicated volunteers and board members are recognized as the largest asset of organizations.
- Communication and collaboration Maintaining strong communication and good relationships with the community, user groups, and Rocky View County leadership.
- Inclusiveness and diversity Promoting inclusiveness and diversity within communities to welcome all users.
- Creative management Services need to be provided with creative fiscal management, and by collaborating with the community and groups from Calgary, in order to not rely too heavily on Rocky View County.
- User Engagement Understanding the value of keeping close assessment of programs to continue to meet the needs of the community.

Partners were also asked if there is anything beyond financial contributions that would help your organization become more successful in the provision of public recreation. The following comments were offered:

- Strategic planning Strategic planning support to continue to offer services in a safe and comfortable manner.
- Regional perspective Rocky View County counsellors' expertise should provide a regional perspective to help organizations understand the region's inventory when planning future delivery of services, bridging gaps, and reducing duplication of services.
- Funding consistencies It was suggested that an assessment of funding should be conducted to ensure that what is provided by Rocky View County is consistent with other jurisdictions to accommodate new developments and address future sustainability.
- Address barriers Work with partners to reduce barriers of access to recreation for all people, not just residents (including out of community users).

Service Provider Organizations

Customers:

Service providers were asked questions about their customers.

- The kinds of organizations participating in the engagement survey were wide-ranging since the number of customers varied from 17 to 500,000 (e.g. local community organizations that provide youth programs to regional facilities that serve recreation needs of many residents). Even so, the average number of customers among all the service providers was 500 (median). Some of the organizations, particularly those that serve higher volumes of customers, experienced significant growth in customers served over the past five years. On average (median), however, current levels of customers are similar to five years ago.
- For organizations located in Rocky View County, most of their customers originate from within the community. Some customers originate from Calgary, followed by urban communities within the County. Organizations located in urban communities within the County mainly service local residents, followed by Rocky View County residents.

Months of Operation:

Most of the organizations operate throughout the year; however, some appear to operate in months (or seasons) that typically correspond to the activities served (e.g. baseball in spring, summer, and fall; hockey in fall and winter, etc.).

	Service F	Providers	
	Number of Cu	ustomers (r	n=35)
		Today	5 Years Ago
Range of (customers		
All	Minimum	17	0
	Maximum	500,000	250,000
RVC	Minimum	17	12
	Maximum	194,000	84,000
Urban	Minimum	30	C
	Maximum	500,000	250,000
Average			
All	Median	500	500
	Mean	23,202	12,065
RVC	Median	350	900
	Mean	12,738	6,930
Urban	Median	575	475
	Mean	43,257	21,907

Location of Customers									
		Located							
		Rocky View	Urban						
	All (n=32)	County (n=20)	Communities (n=12)						
Rocky View County residents	55	71	27						
Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, Crossfield, and Irricana residents	29	10	60						
Calgary residents	11	13	8						
Residents of other areas	5	6	5						
Total	100	100	100						

Months of Operation												
		Lo	cated									
		Rocky View	Urban									
	All	County	Communities									
	(n=36)	(n=24)	(n=12)									
January	83	92	67									
Februrary	81	88	67									
March	86	96	67									
April	89	96	75									
May	92	92	92									
June	92	92	92									
July	83	79	92									
August	75	75	75									
September	86	88	83									
October	86	92	75									
November	78	88	58									
December	78	88	58									



Perceptions of Facilities Used:

 Most organizations indicated that the physical condition of facilities used and facilities being safe for participants was good. Some organizations rated the physical conditions of facilities as poor.

Staffing and Volunteers:

 On average, service provider organizations located in the County do not have full-time staff, but have one part-time staff and rely on volunteers (15 on average that provide approximately 875 hours).

Revenues and Expenses:

 Revenues for service provider organizations in the County are more likely to come from other sources rather than from users.

Future Capital Expenditures:

- Comments offered about future capital expenditures expected by service provider organizations included:
 - General annual maintenance
 requirements
 - Need to increase reserve funds for capital expenditures
 - Upgrades of:
 - Roof repairs
 - Plumbing/washrooms
 - Electrical upgrades
 - HVAC system upgrades
 - Ice plant upgrades
 - Concession expansions
 - Outdoor diamond expansions
 - Kitchen expansion
 - Field drainage improvements
 - Upgrading trails

	Perception	ns of Fac	ilities Us	ed			
		Very			Very	Don't	
		good	Good	Poor	Poor	know	Total
Ph	ysical condition of facilities						
p	All (n=35)	27	64	6	0	3	100
ocated	Rocky View County (n=23)	30	61	4	0	4	100
2	Urban communities (n=10)	20	70	10	0	0	100
Fa	cilities being safe for participants						
P	All (n=35)	39	58	0	0	3	100
ocated	Rocky View County (n=23)	48	48	0	0	4	100
2	Urban communities (n=10)	20	80	0	0	0	100

Staffing a		ers at Recrea verages)	ation Facilitie	s
	Full-Time	Part-Time		Volunteer
Facility	Staff	Staff	Volunteers	Hours
All (n=41)	3	13	38	1,439
Located in RVC (n=29)	<1	1	15	875
Located in Urban Communities (n=12)	7	34	50	1,596

Revenues and Expenses at Recreation Facilities

	(a	verages)				
Facility	Total Revenues	Revenues from Users	Other Revenues	Expenses		
All (n=24)	\$ 11,250	\$ 3,825	\$ 7,425	\$ 27,000		
Located in RVC (n=15)	\$ 8,500	\$ 1,800	\$ 6,700	\$ 13,425		
Located in Urban Communities (n=7)	\$120,000	\$ 85,650	\$ 34,350	\$123,250		

Source: RVC Survey of Service Providers

Note: Median averages shown to protect confidentiality of providers. Typically, recreation facilities operate at breakeven levels rather than deficits that might be implied in the table.

Appendix I

(Information from Community Survey Technical Report)

- Rocky View County conducted a survey of community residents to inform a Recreation Needs Assessment Study that will be used to develop long term planning for the community.
- Approximately half of respondents indicated satisfaction with the facilities and spaces in their local area/community. For comparative purposes, respondents were asked about their quality of life in the community. In this case, a significant majority of respondents stated satisfaction.





		Rocky	Ranch	Bearspaw-			Rocky			Chestermer	
	Average	View West	Lands	Glendale (n=385)		Crossfield	View Central	Beiseker	Rocky View East (n=139)	e-Conrich	Bow North
Your household's quality of life in t			1	(1-363)	(11-10)	(1-47)	Central	(11=30)	Edot (n=155)	(1-131)	North
Very Satisfied	48	n/a	57	64	43	52	46	52	36	33	n/a
Somewhat Satisfied	35	n/a	33	27	43	32	36	37	38	34	n/a
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	11	n/a	7	6	14	9	13	0	15	20	n/a
Somewhat Dissatisfied	5	n/a	2	2	0	7	4	11	7	9	n/a
Very Dissatisfied	1	n/a	1	2	0	0	1	0	4	3	n/a
Total	100	n/a	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	n/a
The facilities and spaces in your loc	al area/coi	nmunity. (c	onsider co	ndition, clea	anliness, ac	cessibility, co	ost, etc.).				
Very Satisfied	19	13	34	25	27	30	25	0	13	10	4
Somewhat Satisfied	34	37	37	37	18	27	37	56	28	34	30
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	25	25	18	25	27	32	24	24	29	28	21
Somewhat Dissatisfied	15	19	8	11	18	11	10	12	15	20	28
Very Dissatisfied	8	6	4	2	9	0	5	8	16	9	18
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100



 Overall, the survey data suggest that recreation is important to respondent households and it brings the community together. There is also acknowledgement that residents can benefit even if they do not use recreation services directly. Over a third of household respondents agreed that their household receives value for the annual contributions to recreation. Almost another third of respondent households was unsure of this assertion.



				Other Per	ceptions of Rec	reation					
	Average	Ranch Lands (n=213)	Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385)	Madden (n=18)	Crossfield (n=47)	Rocky View Central (n=163)	Beiseker (n=30)	Rocky View East (n=139)	Chestermere- Conrich (n=151)	Rocky View West	Bow North
Recreation is important to my quality of	of life.										
Strongly Agree	67	75	78	79	44	68	62	50	58	83	77
Somewhat Agree	29	21	18	21	47	29	35	43	39	13	21
Unsure	2	2	1	0	2	1	4	3	2	1	1
Somewhat Disagree	1	1	2	0	2	1	0	5	1	1	0
Strongly Disagree	1	1	2	0	5	0	0	0	1	2	0
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Recreation services brings the commun	nity together.										
Strongly Agree	49	54	43	29	49	48	36	47	47	63	74
Somewhat Agree	42	36	39	64	44	36	60	43	44	28	23
Unsure	6	8	12	7	3	13	4	6	5	6	1
Somewhat Disagree	2	1	3	0	5	3	0	2	3	2	2
Strongly Disagree	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Residents can benefit even if they do r	not use recrea	ition service	es directly.								
Strongly Agree	31	41	23	20	27	23	31	21	27	48	48
Somewhat Agree	41	36	43	33	48	49	31	53	50	31	37
Unsure	19	12	22	33	20	25	23	19	14	15	9
Somewhat Disagree	6	9	6	13	2	2	15	3	5	4	4
Strongly Disagree	3	4	5	0	2	2	0	4	5	3	2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Our household receives value for its \$1	L50 annual coi	ntribution to	o recreation.								
Strongly Agree	14	24	16	6	9	16	7	17	15	11	n/a
Somewhat Agree	24	25	23	13	28	22	37	19	26	21	n/a
Unsure	30	29	25	44	26	32	30	27	25	32	n/a
Somewhat Disagree	16	11	19	25	14	17	7	13	16	19	n/a
Strongly Disagree	17	11	17	13	23	12	19	23	19	17	n/a
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

- Participation in recreation activities over the past year was examined in the survey.
- Involvement in the activities was varied; however, the most common activity engaged in among respondent households was walking and jogging.
- Other common activities identified in the survey included attending community festivals/events/agricultural exhibitions/ rodeos, hiking, fitness (movement, stretching, cardio/weight training), camping, swimming, going to and community parks/playgrounds.





		Pa	rticination	in Recrea	tion Activiti	es.					
		Ranch				Rocky View		Rocky			
		Lands	Glendale	Madde	Crossfield	Central	Beiseker	View East	Chestermere	Rocky View	Bow
	Average	(n=213)	(n=385)	n (n=18)	(n=47)	(n=163)	(n=30)	(n=139)	Conrich (n=151)		North
Walking/jogging	66	71	77	53	57	79	60	55	62	70	73
Attend community festivals, events agricultural											
exhibitions/rodeos	54	62	46	59	63	50	52	52	46	n/a	n/a
Hiking	49	61	62	47	35	53	50	32	39	63	47
Fitness - movement stretching (aerobics, yoga, boot											
camp, etc.)	48	54	57	47	35	47	55	38	49	n/a	n/a
Camping	47	46	41	59	46	44	41	42	42	46	68
Swimming for pleasure or leisure	44	51	46	35	50	52	38	43	36		
Swimming lessons	15	15	17	12	13	15	17	15	16	45	62
Go to community park/playground	45	52	49	24	57	55	41	33	49	n/a	n/a
	45	49	61	24	23	42	41	30	49	46	50
Cycling/biking	41	49	40	41	45	38	45	30	45		
Go to/use a public library										n/a	n/a
Fitness - cardio/weight training	38	39	52	24	15	45	33	27	32	51	59
Dogwalking	38	44	43	47	28	36	21	29	29	47	51
Visiting a historic site, museum, art gallery, etc.	36	43	35	41	48	33	41	26	23	n/a	n/a
Go to musical performance/concert, live	32	33	38	35	43	37	14	24	31	n/a	n/a
theatre/opera/ballet, etc.											
Ride ATVs/off-road vehicles/motorized trail	31	33	24	28	30	36	48	28	22	n/a	n/a
biking/snowmobiling						50		20		11/3	
Hunting/fishing	31	36	28	44	28	22	43	28	19	23	35
Boating (all types)	27	30	34	6	26	27	38	24	24	24	39
Shooting (e.g. target, clay/skeet/trap, bullseye, long		10	4.4	44	20	47		24	45	-	- 1-
range)	23	19	14	44	20	17	33	24	15	n/a	n/a
Water sports (paddling, river surfing)	21	26	27	18	20	20	10	16	13	31	31
Equestrian (outdoor)	21	34	9	41	24	17	40	19	10	10	8
Hockey (structured/league)	19	15	17	24	26	17	17	16	14	20	28
Other performing arts programs/courses (music,											
theatre, etc.)	18	19	17	29	23	20	10	11	17	n/a	n/a
Visual arts, craft, and hobby programs/courses	16	15	17	12	17	12	10	11	11	30	25
Indoor gymnasium sports (basketball, volleyball,											
badminton)	16	12	24	12	6	15	7	21	13	22	25
Curling	15	9	9	41	9	14	21	11	8	n/a	14
Organized socials, meals, and events for seniors	- 15			41		14				11/4	14
(seniors centre)	14	13	7	12	20	9	13	15	25	n/a	n/a
· · · · ·											
Outdoor court/paved surface sports (street hockey,	14	8	16	22	13	10	7	16	9	15	24
basketball)											
Children/youth organizations (4-H Club, Scouts, Girl	14	13	9	12	20	10	24	14	8	n/a	n/a
Guides)											-
Softball/baseball/slo pitch	14	8	9	12	11	14	17	16	13	n/a	27
Cross-country skiing	12	22	19	18	7	11	10	6	7	n/a	n/a
Soccer (outdoor)	12	7	12	6	6	12	17	12	12	20	20
Personal development courses (continuing education,	12	14	11	12	17	10	7	15	10	n/a	n/a
financial planning, creative writing, ESL)	12	14	11	12	17	10	· ·	15	10	nya	nya
Ice skating program (figure skating, learn to skate, etc.)	11	8	16	6	6	14	3	11	9	15	22
Tennis/pickleball	11	8	14	12	6	9	21	7	8	13	8
Archery (target, field, flight, ski)	10	10	10	12	4	10	17	9	9	n/a	n/a
Equestrian (indoor)	10	20	6	6	15	9	7	12	6	n/a	n/a
Dance programs/courses	10	10	11	6	2	11	7	6	12	15	19
Day camps for children/youth	10	9	14	12	13	7	3	9	9	n/a	n/a
Skateboarding/BMXing/Scootering	8	9	13	6	4	10	3	5	6	11	17
Career/job skills development courses (resume writing,		-									
computer skills, business writing, etc.)	6	4	8	6	4	7	7	5	10	n/a	n/a
Gymnastics	5	8	7	0	0	4	0	4	5	12	13
Soccer (indoor)	5	4	10	0	4	7	3	9	7	n/a	0
Lacrosse	2	0	4	0	9	1	0	1	0	n/a n/a	2
	4		6	6	2		0	4	7		
Other	4	6	6	6	2	5	0	4	/	n/a	n/a

•



Numerous questions were asked about use of facilities, parks, and pathways/trails (all of which are referred to as facilities). From the results of these questions, it becomes apparent that respondent households are most likely to use facilities in urban centres (Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestermere, Cochrane, Crossfield, and Irricana) within Rocky View County, followed by facilities in Calgary.

Page | 87

	Use of Facilities, Parks, and Pathways/Trails										
		Ranch	Bearspaw			Rocky View	B alasha	Rocky	C 1	Rocky	P
	Average"	Lands (s-213)	Gleadale	Madde No11	Crossfield	Central	Beiseker	View East	Chestermere- Conrich (1-151)	View West	Bow North
Facilities in the County		10 0001	10 0001				11	10.000			
Average	7	7	7	4	6	7	4	9	7	nta -	n/a
Indoor ice rinks	19	14	15	22	23	21	17	20	15	n/a - la	n/a - I-
Playgrounds Other Community Halls	18 15	21 25	17	6 18	26 17	23 26	r 10	22 14	22 9	nta nta	nta nta
Non-school sport fields	12	25	8	6	17	13	7	14	19	nra n/a	nra n/a
Outdoor ice rinks	11	12	10	0 0	9	14	7	20	13	nia	n/a
Curling rinks	10	4	5	24	ž	10	10	3	9	n/a	n/a
Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre	3	10	55	0	0	5	0	2	0	n/a	n/a
School gymnasiums	8	5	9	0	9	8	7	14	9	nta	nta
School sport fields	7	6	11	0	4	10	3	14	7	nta	nta
Keoma Community Hall	6	2	1	0	2	7	14	20	2	nta	nta
Langdon Fieldhouse	5	1	0	0	2	1	0	18	19	nta	53
Delacour Community Hall	4	2	1	0	7	2	0	17	5	nta	nta
Springbank Park for All Seasons	4 3	6	5	6	2	2	7	1	1 2	nta	nta
Tennis courts School classrooms	3	5	4	0	7	6	0	4	2	nta ata	n/a ala
Dalroy Community Hall	2	0	0	0	4	1	0	8	9	nta nta	nta nta
Baroy Community Hall Bragg Creek Community Centre	2	8	5	0	0	2	0	° 1	3	nra nta	nra n/a
Jumping Pound Community Hall	2	13	1	0	0		0	1	1	5	nra n/a
4031 Gym	1	1	1	0	2	4	0	1	3	n/a	n/a
Springbank Heritage Club	1	1	1	6	0	1	Ő	- i	1	21	n/a
Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors' Lodge	1	2	1	Ő	ŏ	Ö	3	ŏ	1	24	n/a
Springbank Equestrian Society	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	n/a	n/a
Parks and Pathways in the County											
Average	6	8	7	3	5	7	3	7	7	ala -	n/a
Other pathways/trails	20	25	30	12	24	24	13	13	17	nta	nta
Other parks	16	27	26	17	9	19	10	12	9	nta	nta
Western Irrigation District Canal Pathway	5	2	2	0	0	3	3	7	20	nta	nta
Bottrel Park	4	17	1	6	7	1	0	2	0	nta	nta
Sharp Hill Park	4	0	0	0	9	11	7	3	1	nta	n/a
Langdon Park	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	17 7	11	n/a - la	69
Boulder Creek Park Lasso Trail	2	2	1 13	0	0	0	0	2	5	n/a 	n/a - l-
Prairie Royal Estates	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	3	nta nta	nta nta
Charles Butler Park	1 1	2	Ť	0	0	6	0	ő	1	nia	n/a
Facilities in Airdrie, Beiseker, Chestern	ere Cochr		sfield and		v			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		
Arerage	16	20	13	15	21	19	15	14	14	a/a	a/a
Pathways/trails	33	53	42	24	39	38	21	18	29	n/a	nta
Genesis Centre (Airdrie)	33	11	11	24	57	65	40	36	18	n/a	nta
Parks	31	42	32	35	38	38	21	16	26	nta	nta
Public libraries/services	29	37	19	35	43	27	28	24	22	nta	nta
Community halls	27	28	14	29	33	29	38	22	19	nta	nta
Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sports Centre (Cochr		68	44	18	15	20	3	11	3	nta	nta
Fitness facilities	21	31	19	24	17	32	17	12	15	nta	nta
Indoor ice rinks	19 19	17 24	14 13	18 12	28 30	20 21	33 21	14 14	11 17	nta	nta
Playgrounds Dog off Leash areas	13	24	21	29		14	21	14	11	nta nta	nta nta
Curling rinks	15	8	6	23	19	14	28	10	7	nra n/a	nra n/a
Chestermere Regional Community Association	12	2	3	0	13	6	0	24	51	n/a	n/a
Performing arts facilities	12	16	ĩ	18	22	15	7	7	3	n/a	n/a
Baseball/softball/slo pitch facilities	10	8	5	12	15	12	10	11	9	n/a	n/a
Seniors centres/facilities	3		3	12	11	4	13	7	15	n/a	n/a
Outdoor field facilities	8	9	8	0	4	14	10	10	11	nta	nła
Indus Recreation Centre (Bow Valley Ag. Society)	8	3	2	0	13	2	10	12	21	nta	nta
Gymnasiums	6	10	9	0	4	12	0	9	5	nta	nta
Skateboard parks	5	9	4	0	4	7	3	7	3	nta	nta
Indoor field facilities	4	5	6	0	9	9	0	5	2	nta	nta
Tennis courts	4	4	4	6	4	5	0	4	4	n/a	n/a
Facilities in Calgary	40			-	•	40		40	46		
Average Aquatic facilities	12 27	11 26	20 33	6	9 30	13 31	8 17	13 35	16 33	nta No	nia No
Aquatic facilities Pathways/trails	27	26	39	6	30	31 33	1r 21	35 24	33 27	nta Na	nta nta
Pathwaysrtraiis Parks	20	23	37	12	17	29	21	24	21	nta nta	nra n/a
Performing arts facilities	18	25	24	12	17	18	14	18	19	nra n/a	nra n/a
Fitness facilities	17	12	41	12	2	18	14	19	21	n/a	n/a
Public libraries/services	16	15	28	12	13	14	13	17	21	nta	n/a
Community halls	13	14	15	12	13	12	10	15	16	nta	n/a
Playgrounds	13	10	22	6	11	14	10	10	22	n/a	nta
Indoor ice rinks	12	10	20	6	11	11	10	12	15	nta	nta
Dog off leash areas	11	10	21	12	2	9	7	11	16	nta	nta
Outdoor field facilities	8	8	12	0	6	10	3	3	11	nta	nta
Gymnasiums	7	4	13	6	2	6	3	13	12	nta	nta
Baseball/softball/slo pitch facilities	6	4	8	0	11	7	0	10	8	nta	nta
Indoor field facilities	5	3	7	Ō	7	8	3	9	7	n/a	n/a
Seniors centres/facilities	4	3	2	0	0	2	3	5	15	n/a	n/a
Curling rinks	3	4	6	0	ŏ	6	0	4	4	n/a	n/a
Skateboard parks	2	3	4	0	ů ů	4	0	4	2	n/a	n/a
	1 Z		. 4	- V		+		•		101.0	1114
Tennis courts	2	1	5	0	0	2	0	2	3	nta	nta



 Various factors influence participation in recreation. Respondent households were most likely to identify physical health/exercise/fitness, fun/ entertainment, to enjoy nature, and relaxations/unwind/reduce stress as reasons for why they recreate.



	Motivations for Recreation Participation													
		Ranch Bearspaw-				Rocky View		Rocky	Chestermere-		D			
	Average	Lands (n=213)	Glendale (n=385)	Madden (n=18)	Crossfield (n=47)	Central (n=163)	Beiseker (n=30)	View East (n=139)	Conrich (n=151)	Rocky View West	Bow North			
Physical health/exercise/fitness	77	82	88	65	61	81	76	73	74	75	93			
Fun/entertainment	75	76	76	82	76	78	72	60	70	66	88			
To enjoy nature	62	75	69	65	63	64	62	45	47	65	62			
Relaxation/unwind/reduce stress	55	62	63	65	47	59	34	50	44	58	68			
To be with friends	47	54	47	41	57	55	28	39	50	46	53			
Improve skills and/or knowledge	38	44	33	41	41	35	34	31	37	38	49			
Meet new people	29	32	24	29	35	31	7	25	36	28	46			
Experience a challenge	23	31	25	12	24	19	24	18	20	32	30			
Help the community	18	22	14	17	34	18	10	22	17	14	17			
Competition	18	20	21	12	9	21	14	19	19	18	24			
Satisfy curiosity	8	11	10	6	9	8	10	5	11	8	4			
Other	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	n/a	n/a			

- The most common barrier to recreation identified among respondent households was lack of proximity to facilities/programs and services. However, other priorities or interests were also commonly identified such as too many family/work commitments and already too busy with other activities.
- Cost and fees, as well as lack of awareness of opportunities, were also frequently identified by household respondents as barriers to recreation.



		Barrie	rs to Recrea	ation Parti	icipation						
		Ranch	Bearspaw-			Rocky View		Rocky	Chestermere-		
		Lands			Crossfield	Central	Beiseker	View East	Conrich	Rocky View	Bow
	Average		(n=385)	(n=18)	(n=47)	(n=163)	(n=30)	(n=139)	(n=151)	West	North
Facilities/programs/services are located too far away	32	16	20	18	21	16	38	41	41	35	77
Too many family/work commitments	28	31	27	29	35	28	28	24	21	n/a	n/a
Already too busy with other activities	28	38	40	24	26	33	14	24	25	n/a	n/a
Activities too costly	23	20	18	29	9	25	28	26	29	n/a	n/a
Not aware of what is available/do not have enough information	19	9	19	17	26	18	13	20	19	22	29
Better opportunities elsewhere/have own equipment	17	9	14	6	4	12	3	9	17	44	56
Program fees	14	10	11	17	13	16	21	13	20	5	15
Do not have the physical ability/fitness level	13	7	6	18	28	9	20	17	12	4	6
Poor/inadequate facilities/equipment	12	2	6	6	0	7	10	12	15	26	41
Transportation limitations (cost/availability)	11	9	4	12	2	5	21	9	13	5	34
Hours of operation (schedule of programs/facility)	11	10	9	0	15	9	10	11	15	10	23
Cannot afford admission fees into facilities	11	9	7	6	9	12	14	14	21	4	11
Current programs/facilities are full	9	9	9	0	17	12	0	9	5	9	17
Not interested in what is available	7	6	8	0	7	6	3	5	5	11	17
Not motivated enough to get involved	6	2	3	6	13	5	0	7	9	n/a	n/a
Safety concerns	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	6	3
Poor instruction	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	2	4
Other	2	2	2	0	4	2	0	2	3	n/a	n/a



Respondent households identified various options for improving or changing programs to encourage greater participation. Most common of these options included greater variety, improved marketing, more convenient schedules/longer hours, less cost, and better space and equipment.

Im	provemen	its/Chai	nges to Enco	ourage Pa	rticipation	in Programs					
		Ranch	Bearspaw-			Rocky View		Rocky	Chestermere-		
		Lands	Glendale	Madden	Crossfield	Central	Beiseker	View East	Conrich	Rocky View	Bow
	Average	(n=213)	(n=385)	(n=18)	(n=47)	(n=163)	(n=30)	(n=139)	(n=151)	West	North
Greater variety	22	11	18	17	11	18	33	16	21	24	49
Improved marketing of programs	21	16	25	24	17	23	3	17	22	28	32
More convenient schedule/longer hours	20	19	23	12	21	19	17	17	18	20	39
Less cost	20	22	21	12	15	22	24	19	32	9	21
Better space and equipment	20	7	14	6	2	15	24	22	26	25	55
Offered more frequently	13	14	12	6	13	15	10	9	17	14	23
Need to accommodate more participants	9	7	6	6	9	17	3	6	9	6	24
Different skill levels available	9	9	10	0	13	7	10	6	7	11	15
Enhanced content/better quality	7	5	7	6	6	2	10	2	6	7	16
Better quality instruction	6	5	7	0	6	4	7	4	7	6	12
Other	2	4	2	0	2	2	0	6	2	n/a	n/a



 Fitness programs were identified as needing to be more readily available in the local area/ community, followed by outdoor skill pursuits, and sports. It was more common for respondent households to identify fitness for adults than for children/youth/ teens.

		Programm	ing Needs			
	Fitness	Outdoor skill pursuits	Sports (non- spontaneous activities)	and	Nature interpretation	History and heritage
Children (newborn to 5 years)	9	9	9	10	7	4
Youth (6-12 years)	15	17	18	14	13	9
Teens (13-18 years)	19	20	20	16	11	10
Adults (19-39 years)	23	18	18	15	13	9
Adults (40-64 years)	38	26	21	20	16	15
Seniors (65+ years)	27	15	13	16	13	15
Average	22	18	16	15	12	10

			Programm	ning Need	s						
			Bearspaw-			Rocky View		Rocky	Chestermere-		
	Average	Lands (n=212)	Glendale (n=374)	Madde n (n=18)	Crossfield (n=47)	Central (n=158)	Beiseker (n=30)	View East (n=138)	Conrich (n=150)	Rocky View West	Bow North
Fitness											
Children (newborn to 5 years)	9	6	4	0	4	7	13	9	7	15	27
Youth (6-12 years)	15	9	11	6	2	11	10	18	11	26	46
Teens (13-18 years)	19	13	13	12	9	10	21	25	11	31	50
Adults (19-39 years)	23	9	15	24	11	19	17	24	22	33	55
Adults (40-64 years)	38	31	33	29	28	29	53	39	29	45	65
Seniors (65+ years)	27	20	24	24	22	22	17	30	37	30	46
Outdoor skills/pursuits											
Children (newborn to 5 years)	9	5	4	0	4	6	7	7	8	17	32
Youth (6-12 years)	17	10	13	6	9	11	7	18	16	32	50
Teens (13-18 years)	20	15	14	6	17	10	21	20	15	33	52
Adults (19-39 years)	18	14	11	12	11	15	14	14	19	29	45
Adults (40-64 years)	26	21	23	29	15	21	31	25	19	34	45
Seniors (65+ years)	15	12	14	12	13	10	7	17	13	23	31
Sports (non-spontaneous activities)											
Children (newborn to 5 years)	9	4	5	0	0	6	3	7	11	16	37
Youth (6-12 years)	18	8	16	12	4	13	14	17	9	30	56
Teens (13-18 years)	20	11	16	12	9	9	17	22	17	30	57
Adults (19-39 years)	18	7	12	12	9	16	17	16	17	25	50
Adults (40-64 years)	21	13	16	22	9	15	17	22	17	27	48
Seniors (65+ years)	13	8	9	12	9	6	3	11	16	17	35
Artistic and creative pursuits											
Children (newborn to 5 years)	10	4	4	0	2	6	10	10	10	17	35
Youth (6-12 years)	14	6	8	6	4	10	10	15	9	26	50
Teens (13-18 years)	16	11	9	12	9	6	14	13	13	24	47
Adults (19-39 years)	15	12	7	22	6	9	13	9	15	19	37
Adults (40-64 years)	20	20	15	24	13	14	14	19	13	24	42
Seniors (65+ years)	16	15	13	12	11	11	10	20	15	20	37
Nature interpretation											
Children (newborn to 5 years)	7	6	2	0	0	5	3	6	8	17	26
Youth (6-12 years)	13	11	7	6	2	8	7	14	8	26	39
Teens (13-18 years)	11	9	6	0	4	4	10	12	6	22	35
Adults (19-39 years)	13	8	5	12	6	8	17	9	12	19	30
Adults (40-64 years)	16	16	10	18	9	15	10	15	13	21	33
Seniors (65+ years)	13	13	11	6	11	12	3	17	15	18	26
History and heritage											
Children (newborn to 5 years)	4	2	2	0	0	3	7	4	3	6	13
Youth (6-12 years)	9	7	5	0	2	6	10	9	3	14	29
Teens (13-18 years)	10	8	5	0	9	4	13	12	5	14	31
Adults (19-39 years)	9	8	4	0	9	6	7	9	8	12	29
Adults (40-64 years)	15	16	10	12	4	14	13	19	8	16	35
Seniors (65+ years)	15	14	8	18	20	9	13	12	13	14	28

 Approximately half of respondent households indicated there is a need for new/enhanced facilities, parks, and pathways in their local areas/communities.



				N	eed for Nev	v/Enhanced I	Facilities				
		Ranch	Bearspaw-			Rocky View		Rocky		Rocky	
		Lands	Glendale	Madden	Crossfield	Central	Beiseker	View East	Chestermere-	View	Bow
	Average	(n=213)	(n=385)	(n=18)	(n=47)	(n=163)	(n=30)	(n=139)	Conrich (n=151)	West	North
Yes	51	44	46	29	37	48	38	50	66	78	72
Unsure	28	25	26	53	22	32	41	24	19	16	20
No	21	31	28	18	41	20	21	26	16	6	8



For indoor facilities, fitness/wellness facilities, walking/running tracks, and ice arenas were most identified as needed. Paved and non-paved trail system, cycling routes and nature/interpretive trails were most commonly identified for outdoor facilities.



	_		_	_		Der	raine	d Ner	w/Enb	ancod	Faciliti	or			_					
			Ra	nch	Bear	spaw-	Cerve	u wev	v/enn	anced		es / View			Ro	cky				
				nds	1	ndale	Mar	lden	Cross	sfield		ntral	Roic	eker		/ East	Cheste	rmere-	Rocky	
	Ave	rage		213)		385)		:18)		:47)		163)		30)		139)	Conric	h (n=151)	View West	Bow North
Indoor	Yes	Uns.	<u>`</u>	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	<u>`</u>	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Yes
Fitness/wellness facilities	23	9	15	3	25	8	13	13	29	7	23	8	30	22	24	9	22	5	47	41
Walking/running tracks	20	7	16	7	19	8	0	0	22	11	16	10	22	9	29	5	32	5	32	40
Ice arena facilities	18	4	8	2	18	5	27	7	14	11	22	5	8	0	24	4	21	0	17	44
Seniors centre	12	7	10	5	9	7	7	7	14	4	9	10	13	8	15	6	24	6	11	18
Leisure ice surfaces	9	4	9	2	11	4	7	13	4	4	16	3	4	0	15	3	7	2	12	21
Art studios/practice space	9	7	10	3	8	5	7	13	4	15	4	5	17	9	12	4	11	4	26	18
Climbing Walls/bouldering facilitie	9	1	11	3	12	3	7	0	7	0	9	2	0	0	9	3	16	0	24	15
Library space	8	4	5	3	11	2	0	14	4	7	11	2	9	0	13	1	16	6	32	23
Gymnasium type spaces	8	3	7	1	13	6	0	7	0	4	6	2	4	0	21	2	11	2	23	31
Youth centre	8	1	8	1	7	0	13	0	4	4	3	3	4	0	13	1	10	1	17	26
Community hall/banquet facilities	7	2	7	2	5	2	0	0	4	4	10	4	13	4	10	1	11	1	10	9
Indoor agricultural facilities	7	3	10	5	2	1	7	ō	14	4	9	4	13	4	4	5	2	1	4	6
Court sport spaces	7	3	6	1	11	3	0	7	4	7	9	3	13	0	7	1	10	1	17	19
Indoor child playgrounds	7	2	11	3	8	3	0	0	4	Ó	5	2	4	4	8	1	16	2	n/a	22
Dance/program/martial arts rooms	6	4	5	2	3	3	7	0	0	ō	3	2	13	21	4	2	12	2	n/a	10
Indoor field surfaces	5	1	7	1	8	1	0	ō	ō	ō	5	2	0	0	10	1	11	2	14	18
Performing spaces	5	3	9	4	5	2	0	ō	4	11	2	2	8	ō	5	0	4	2	13	8
Curling rinks	5	2	3	1	3	1	0	ŏ	15	7	5	1	0	0	6	3	6	1	7	7
Classrooms/meeting spaces	4	1	4	1	2	1	0	ŏ	0	Ó	7	2	4	4	4	2	8	0	4	5
Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	7	12
Other	7	0	2	1	2	1	7	ō	14	0	4	1	4	0	10	1	15	1	n/a	n/a
Outdoor	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Uns.	Yes	Yes
Paved and non-paved trail system	24	7	22	4	29	9	20	7	19	11	23	11	26	4	27	9	23	5	56	50
Cycling routes	18	9	24	11	22	10	7	Ó	11	18	17	9	17	22	21	3	26	2	38	30
Nature/interpretive trails	16	10	18	9	15	8	20	27	18	7	11	12	17	8	15	7	15	3	32	26
Dog off leash areas	12	5	16	5	16	4	20	13	4	Ó	9	5	4	8	17	5	9	2	22	34
Mountain bike trails	11	4	18	6	22	7	7	7	11	0	8	4	4	0	11	3	9	2	22	20
Water spray parks	11	3	7	3	8	4	7	7	7	4	11	2	8	0	16	4	21	2	19	42
River access	10	6	13	4	22	9	7	14	4	11	5	4	4	0	11	2	12	4	38	n/a
Open spaces	9	4	13	3	8	3	7	0	3	7	8	8	4	0	11	2	17	6	21	23
Community gardens	8	3	12	3	8	3	0	0	19	7	9	6	0	0	6	2	17	5	15	10
Ball diamonds	8	1	5	1	4	1	0	0	19	ó	12	3	13	0	11	2	9	0	8	21
	8	4	8	5	6	4	7	7	7	4	6	5	4	0	11	5	13	2	13	16
Picnic areas	6	4	8 5	3	7	4	0	0	4	4	16	2	4	0	9	1	6	0	13	16
Outdoor boarded skating rinks	6	3	5	3	3	2	0	0	4	4	8	2	4	9	9	1	12	1	12	7
Event spaces	6	3	3	1	3	3	0	0	4	4	8 5	2	22	9	3	1	7	1	12	10
Outdoor fitness equipment	6	3 7	2	1	4	3	7	13	4	10	5	2	4	4	3	4	8	2	4	4
Pickleball courts	5	2		1 2	-	2	7	13	3	10	3	2	4		5	4	8	2	4	4
Bike skills park	-	-	10	-	11	-	7	-	0	4	-		4	0	-	-	9	1		12
Playgrounds	5	3	6	1	6	3		0	4		8	3		8	2	2	-	_	11	
Sports fields (e.g. soccer, football)	5	1	8	0	8	1	0	0		4	3	2	0	0	12 4	2	6	1	10	19 7
Skeet shooting venues	5	5	7	2	3	2	7	20	0	7	5	5	9	0		6		0	5	-
Tennis courts	4	1	1	0	11	1	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	9	1	9	1	11	11
Outdoor skating (non-boarded)	4	3	3	1	7	0	0	14	7	4	7	1	0	0	5	1	6	1	4	8
Hardcourts	3	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	7	0	11	0	6	n/a
Other	3	1	4	1	3	1	7	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	5	1	7	0	n/a	n/a
Track and field spaces	3	0	5	1	1	1	0	0	4	0	2	1	4	0	3	0	6	0	7	10
Beach volleyball courts	3	1	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	6	1	6	0	8	2
Skateboard parks	2	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	3	1	6	6
Uns.=Unsure																				

The average (mode) longest time that respondent households were willing to travel to access most recreation facilities was between 10 and less than 20 minutes. For playgrounds, however, the longest travel time for respondent households was less than 10 minutes.

•



				Longest	Time	Minutes) V	Nilling	to Travel t	o Acce	ss Facilities								
	A	/erage		ch Lands	Bea	arspaw- lale (n=385)		den (n=18)		field (n=47)	Roc	ky View ral (n=163)	Beise	e ker (n=30)		View East		termere- ich (n=151)
Indoor	Use	Mode	Use	Mode	Use	Mode	Use	Mode	Use	Mode	Use	Mode	Use	Mode	Use	Mode	Use	Mode
Pathways/trails	66	10 to <20	85	20 to <30	83	10 to <20	71	30 to <40	71	10 to <20	80	10 to <20	67	20 to <30	64	<10	74	<10
Indoor aquatic facility	64	10 to <20	75	10 to <20	71	10 to <20	65	20 to <30	70	10 to <20	74	10 to <20	78	10 to <20	72	10 to <20	68	10 to <20
Park	61	10 to <20	81	20 to <30	75	10 to <20	65	30 to <40	57	10 to <20	78	10 to <20	63	10 to <20	59	10 to <20	75	10 to <20
Public library	60	10 to <20	75	10 to <20	63	10 to <20	75	20 to <30	64	10 to <20	65	10 to <20	67	10 to <20	63	10 to <20	68	10 to <20
Fitness gym	57	10 to <20	70	10 to <20	71	10 to <20	47	10 to <20	48	10 to <20	67	10 to <20	88	10 to <20	62	<10	60	<10
Fitness studio/room for group exercises	53	10 to <20	67	10 to <20	64	10 to <20	47	10 to <20	38	<10	63	10 to <20	84	10 to <20	57	<10	59	10 to <20
Indoor ice arena	45	10 to <20	55	10 to <20	54	10 to <20	53	10 to <20	51	10 to <20	48	10 to <20	43	10 to <20	47	10 to <20	52	10 to <20
Arts or cultural facility	44	10 to <20	63	20 to <30	54	10 to <20	41	20 to <30	50	20 to <30	51	10 to <20	43	10 to <20	44	10 to <20	44	10 to <20
Seniors centre	42	10 to <20	50	20 to <30	34	10 to <20	59	10 to <20	39	<10	51	10 to <20	35	10 to <20	50	10 to <20	60	10 to <20
Gymnasium	41	10 to <20	50	10 to <20	56	10 to <20	35	10 to <20	35	10 to <20	48	10 to <20	48	10 to <20	48	10 to <20	47	<10
Playground	41	<10	52	10 to <20	49	<10	35	<10	40	10 to <20	49	<10	39	<10	47	<10	54	<10
Outdoor sport field	40	10 to <20	45	10 to <20	43	10 to <20	41	10 to <20	45	10 to <20	50	10 to <20	38	20 to <30	46	10 to <20	50	10 to <20
Indoor field house	30	10 to <20	35	10 to <20	42	10 to <20	24	10 to <20	20	20 to <30	37	10 to <20	25	20 to <30	44	10 to <20	41	10 to <20
Use=% of resondents that would use the facility. Mode=Mode average distance among responses.								•										



- Respondent households were posed various queries to examine how recreation resources may be allocated within the community.
- For instance, different criteria were presented to respondent households to gauge how one funding request or project might be higher in priority than another. Among the criteria measured, responding to community needs, benefiting the largest group of district County residents, and focusing on basic skill development for children/youth were identified as the most important to consider.



		_		Priori	tization Crit	eria	_				
	Average	Ranch Lands (n-213)	Bearspaw- Glendale (n-385)	Madden	Crossfield	Rocky View Central (n-163)	Beiseker	Rocky View East	Chestermere- Conrich (n-151)	Hocky Vie v ∀est	Bow North
Responds to community need	ds _										
Very Important	53	55	50	47	49	52	50	57	44	60	70
Somewhat Important	36	30	35	33	49	39	45	31	36	31	27
Neither Important nor Unimportant	7	10	10	20	3	8	0	6	7	7	3
Somewhat Unimportant	2	2	2	0	0	2	5	1	5	1	0
Very Unimportant	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	6	8	1	0
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Benefits the largest group of											
Very Important	44	41	41	53	56	39	57	37	42	29	47
Somewhat Important	39	34	39	40	38	50	35	33	36	46	42
Neither Important nor Unimportant	12	16	12	7	6	8	9	21	13	16	10
Somewhat Unimportant	3	7	4	0	0	2	0	5	7	6	1
Very Unimportant	1	2	4	0	0	1	0	4	2	2	0
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Focuses on basic skill develo	opment for	childrer	lyouth								
Very Important	51	45	38	50	63	43	71	45	46	49	59
Somewhat Important	31	34	36	36	25	34	29	29	29	32	30
Neither Important nor Unimportant	11	15	16	14	9	13	0	16	7	14	8
Somewhat Unimportant	3	1	5	0	0	5	0	6	6	4	1
Very Unimportant	4	5	5	0	3	5	0	5	12	2	2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Eligible for grants/funds from											
Very Important	36	32	23	38	25	34	47	38	44	30	50
SomewhatImportant	41	40	43	31	56	45	42	38	30	42	37
Neither Important nor Unimportant	18	20	22	25	16	17	11	14	18	23	11
Somewhat Unimportant	3	5	5	6	3	3	Ö	4	4	3	1
Very Unimportant	3	3	7	Ö	Ö	2	0	6	4	3	1
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Service is currently not avail					100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Very Important	40	35	35	46	33	32	37	40	42	46	58
Somewhat Important	35	39	34	23	33	42	53	37	30	35	28
Neither Important nor Unimportant	18	20	19	15	27	20	11	19	22	14	12
	4	20	4	15	21	5	0	1	1	3	12
Somewhat Unimportant	2	4	7		0	2	0	3	5	2	
Very Unimportant	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Is the most effective option			07		47		50		20		
Very Important	38	29	27	62	47	36	56	36	39	23	30
Somewhat Important	37	40	46	31	23	43	28	39	41	40	41
Neither Important nor Unimportant	18	26	20	8	23	15	11	19	10	24	20
Somewhat Unimportant	5	5	6	0	7	5	6	4	4	11	7
Very Unimportant	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	3	6	3	2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Focuses on seniors program											
VeryImportant	31	36	26	38	28	34	33	34	33	26	26
Somewhat Important	44	43	39	50	42	41	44	42	41	45	48
Neither Important nor Unimportant	17	13	20	13	19	17	22	13	11	20	19
Somewhat Unimportant	4	3	7	0	0	8	0	5	10	5	5
Very Unimportant	4	4	8	0	11	0	0	5	6	4	2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Able to bring in users (and re	venue) fro	m peopl	e outside th	e County							
Very Important	25	21	15	7	31	25	47	23	24	16	43
Somewhat Important	40	34	39	53	43	35	37	39	39	37	40
Neither Important nor Unimportant	18	22	25	13	9	22	11	24	17	26	12
Somewhat Unimportant	11	11	11	27	11	12	0	8	7	15	3
Very Unimportant	6	12	10	0	6	6	5	6	12	6	1
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Capacity to operate without I											
Very Important	31	25	21	40	47	25	47	31	24	22	26
Somewhat Important	39	42	48	27	26	48	26	43	38	41	51
Neither Important nor Unimportant	23	27	24	33	20	19	20	17	24	26	19
Somewhat Unimportant	5	4	3	0	6	5	5	5	6	6	5
Very Unimportant	2	2	4			2	0	4	7	4	2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
rotar	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	1 100	100	000	100	1 100



 Most respondents agreed that Rocky View County should continue to develop agreements with other municipalities/organization for recreation service provision and that paved and non-paved pathways and trails should be developed for connectivity and recreation use.



		Perce	eptions of Red	reation Appro	aches/Concepts					
Average	Ranch Lands (n=213)	Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385)	Madden (n=18)	Crossfield (n=47)	Rocky View Central (n=163)	Beiseker (n=30)	Rocky View East (n=139)	Chestermere- Conrich (n=151)	Rocky View West	Bow North
develop agre	ements with	n other municipa	alities/organi	zations so resid	ents can access ser	vices that are	unavailable in t	the County.		
51	57	51	33	56	59	54	50	39	56	52
35	32	34	47	33	29	38	33	44	27	30
12	9	11	20	12	12	8	10	15	12	10
2	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	2	4	4
1	1	2	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	4
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
ils should be a	leveloped fo	or connectivity a	nd recreation	nal use.						
50	53	56	40	37	52	31	43	42	71	77
31	30	31	53	30	28	38	27	40	17	16
11	11	6	7	16	14	15	16	12	4	4
4	5	3	0	7	5	0	10	3	5	2
5	2	4	0	9	1	15	5	4	3	2
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
cted to comm	unity organi	izations that pro	vide services	with high degre	ees of program avai	lability and a	cessibility to Co	ounty residents		
32	34	29	20	21	36	19	37	33	44	51
41	41	39	60	42	44	31	38	45	36	32
22	21	25	20	30	16	46	16	15	15	14
6	9	6	13	2	2	15	3	5	4	4
3	4	5	0	2	2	0	4	5	3	2
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
munity hub t	ype arrange	ment (e.g. cluste	ers of facilitie	s rather than st	and alone).					
30	28	25	20	20	29	19	33	35	44	52
41	40	39	60	36	39	62	39	39	29	26
30	29	25	44	26	32	30	27	25	32	n/a
16	11	19	25	14	17	7	13	16	19	n/a
17	11	17	13	23	12	19	23	19	17	n/a
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	develop agre 51 35 12 2 1 100 ils should be of 50 31 111 4 5 100 cted to comm 32 41 22 6 3 100 munity hub t 30 41 30 16 17	Lands (n=213) develop agreements with 51 57 35 32 12 9 2 1 1 1 100 100 is should be developed for 50 53 31 30 111 11 4 5 5 2 100 100 cted to community organistic 32 34 41 41 41 22 21 6 9 3 4 100 100 munity hub type arrange 30 30 28 41 40 30 29 16 11 17 11	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=283) Average (n=213) Gevelop agreements with other municipies 51 57 35 32 34 12 9 11 2 1 1 2 1 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 3 50 53 50 53 50 53 51 3 50 53 51 3 50 54 50 53 51 2 4 5 5 2 4 39 22 24 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 </td <td>Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=18) develop agreements with other municipalities/organi 51 57 51 33 35 32 34 47 12 9 11 20 2 1 2 0 1 1 2 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 13 30 31 53 111 11 6 7 4 5 3 0 5 2 4 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 22 21 25 20 6 9 6 13 3 4 5 0 100 100 100<td>Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Average Madden (n=23) Crossfield (n=47) Crossfield (n=47) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so resid Crossfield (n=47) Crossfield (n=47) 51 57 51 33 56 35 32 34 47 33 12 9 11 20 12 2 1 2 0 0 11 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 4 5 3 0 7 5 2 4 0 9 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 2 4 0 9 60 42</td><td>Lands (n=283) Giendale (n=385) Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access ser 51 53 56 59 35 32 34 47 33 29 12 9 11 20 12 12 2 1 2 0 0 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 1 2 0 0 1 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 0 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 14 4 5 3 0 7 5 5 2 4 0 9 1 100 100 100 100 100</td><td>Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=305) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access services that are 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 12 9 11 20 12 12 8 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 14 15 50 53 56 40 37 52 31 31 30 31 53</td><td>Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=41) Crossfield (n=41) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access services that are unavailable in 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 50 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 33 12 9 11 20 12 12 8 10 2 1 2 0 0 10 2 100</td><td>Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=28) Madden (n=10) Crossfield (n=41) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) Chestermere- Conrich (n=15) 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 50 39 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 33 44 12 9 11 20 02 0 0 0 55 2 1 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100</td><td>Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=2t3) Madden (n=3t3) Crossfield (n=3t3) Rocky View (n=3t3) Rocky View</td></td>	Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=18) develop agreements with other municipalities/organi 51 57 51 33 35 32 34 47 12 9 11 20 2 1 2 0 1 1 2 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 13 30 31 53 111 11 6 7 4 5 3 0 5 2 4 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 22 21 25 20 6 9 6 13 3 4 5 0 100 100 100 <td>Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Average Madden (n=23) Crossfield (n=47) Crossfield (n=47) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so resid Crossfield (n=47) Crossfield (n=47) 51 57 51 33 56 35 32 34 47 33 12 9 11 20 12 2 1 2 0 0 11 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 4 5 3 0 7 5 2 4 0 9 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 2 4 0 9 60 42</td> <td>Lands (n=283) Giendale (n=385) Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access ser 51 53 56 59 35 32 34 47 33 29 12 9 11 20 12 12 2 1 2 0 0 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 1 2 0 0 1 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 0 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 14 4 5 3 0 7 5 5 2 4 0 9 1 100 100 100 100 100</td> <td>Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=305) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access services that are 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 12 9 11 20 12 12 8 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 14 15 50 53 56 40 37 52 31 31 30 31 53</td> <td>Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=41) Crossfield (n=41) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access services that are unavailable in 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 50 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 33 12 9 11 20 12 12 8 10 2 1 2 0 0 10 2 100</td> <td>Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=28) Madden (n=10) Crossfield (n=41) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) Chestermere- Conrich (n=15) 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 50 39 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 33 44 12 9 11 20 02 0 0 0 55 2 1 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100</td> <td>Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=2t3) Madden (n=3t3) Crossfield (n=3t3) Rocky View (n=3t3) Rocky View</td>	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Average Madden (n=23) Crossfield (n=47) Crossfield (n=47) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so resid Crossfield (n=47) Crossfield (n=47) 51 57 51 33 56 35 32 34 47 33 12 9 11 20 12 2 1 2 0 0 11 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 4 5 3 0 7 5 2 4 0 9 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 2 4 0 9 60 42	Lands (n=283) Giendale (n=385) Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access ser 51 53 56 59 35 32 34 47 33 29 12 9 11 20 12 12 2 1 2 0 0 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 1 2 0 0 1 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 0 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 14 4 5 3 0 7 5 5 2 4 0 9 1 100 100 100 100 100	Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=305) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access services that are 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 12 9 11 20 12 12 8 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 11 11 6 7 16 14 15 50 53 56 40 37 52 31 31 30 31 53	Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=41) Crossfield (n=41) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access services that are unavailable in 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 50 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 33 12 9 11 20 12 12 8 10 2 1 2 0 0 10 2 100	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=28) Madden (n=10) Crossfield (n=41) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) Chestermere- Conrich (n=15) 51 57 51 33 56 59 54 50 39 35 32 34 47 33 29 38 33 44 12 9 11 20 02 0 0 0 55 2 1 1 2 0 0 100 100 100 100 100	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=2t3) Madden (n=3t3) Crossfield (n=3t3) Rocky View (n=3t3) Rocky View



Respondent households were asked to allocate \$100 to maintaining or building facilities, parks, and pathways/trails to further identify their priorities for resource allocations. Based on this query, respondent households were more likely to allocate funds to maintaining these assets than building new ones.

					Funding A	lloc	ations									
			Ranch	E	Bearspaw-											
			Lands		Glendale	N	ladden	Crossfield		Rocky View	E	Beiseker	Ro	cky View	0	Chestermere-
	A	verage	(n=213)		(n=385)		(n=18)	(n=47)	0	Central (n=163)		(n=30)	Ea	ist (n=139)	- (Conrich (n=151)
Maintaining existing outdoor facilities/amenities	\$	14.76	\$ 13.19	\$	10.48	\$	14.93	\$ 18.44	\$	13.33	\$	22.10	\$	14.47	\$	11.18
Maintaining existing indoor facilities/amenities	\$	20.99	\$ 15.32	\$	12.53	\$	33.33	\$ 23.70	\$	14.23	\$	28.16	\$	21.88	\$	18.73
Maintaining trails/pathways	\$	11.70	\$ 13.86	\$	17.62	\$	10.33	\$ 10.34	\$	12.63	\$	10.85	\$	8.89	\$	9.08
Maintaining parks	s	9.39	\$ 11.80	\$	10.56	\$	11.97	\$ 9.71	\$	8.62	\$	4.69	\$	7.91	\$	9.84
Maintaining playgrounds/tot lots	s	4.97	\$ 4.69	\$	4.55	\$	4.94	\$ 7.91	\$	4.53	\$	4.39	\$	4.89	\$	3.89
Building more outdoor facilities/amenities	\$	5.39	\$ 6.37	\$	6.65	\$	0.59	\$ 5.47	\$	8.02	\$	4.00	\$	5.92	\$	6.11
Building more indoor facilities/amenities	\$	14.97	\$ 10.57	\$	10.70	\$	9.42	\$ 13.81	\$	14.05	\$	15.84	\$	20.78	\$	24.59
Building more trails/pathways	\$	11.44	\$ 14.86	\$	18.49	\$	6.19	\$ 6.29	\$	17.01	\$	7.58	\$	11.53	\$	9.55
Building more parks	\$	4.11	\$ 6.09	\$	4.96	\$	7.72	\$ 2.83	\$	3.69	\$	0.97	\$	2.32	\$	4.26
Building more playgrounds/tot lots	\$	2.29	\$ 3.26	\$	3.46	\$	0.59	\$ 1.51	\$	3.87	\$	1.43	\$	1.41	\$	2.77
Total	\$	100.00	\$ 100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00	\$ 100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00	\$	100.00



 About half of respondents indicated they would not support an increase in annual property taxes to ensure that community needs for recreation facilities in their local area/community can be better met. Of those who would support an increase, most would be willing to pay up to \$100 more annually.



			Property Tax	Increases						
	Ranch Lands	Bearspaw- Glendale	Madden	Crossfield	Rocky View	Beiseker	Rocky View	Chestermere-	Rocky View	
Average	(n=213)	(n=385)	(n=18)	(n=47)	Central (n=163)	(n=30)	East (n=139)	Conrich (n=151)	West	Bow North
32	29	30	24	33	40	32	34	41	34	24
11	13	13	-	9	14	7	9	11	20	12
3	4	2	-	-	3	-	5	1	8	6
2	0	2	6	-	-	-	2	-	5	5
52	54	53	71	59	44	61	51	46	33	53
100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	32 11 3 2	Lands (n=213) 32 29 11 13 3 4 2 0 52 54	Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Giendale (n=385) 32 29 30 11 13 13 3 4 2 2 0 2 52 54 53	Ranch Lands (n=213) Bearspaw- Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=18) 32 29 30 24 11 13 13 - 3 4 2 - 2 0 2 6 52 54 53 71	Lands (n=2t2) Glendale (n=385) Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=17) 11 13 13 24 33 11 13 13 - 9 3 4 2 - - 2 0 2 6 - 52 54 53 71 59	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=213) Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) 32 29 30 24 33 40 11 13 13 - 9 14 3 4 2 - - 3 2 0 2 6 - - 52 54 53 71 59 44	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=213) Madden (n=18) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) 32 29 30 24 33 40 32 11 13 13 - 9 14 7 3 4 2 - - 3 - 2 0 2 6 - - - 52 54 53 71 59 44 61	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=2t3) Madden (n=80) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) 32 29 30 24 33 40 32 34 11 13 13 - 9 14 7 9 3 4 2 - - 3 - 5 2 0 2 6 - - 2 2 52 54 53 71 59 44 61 51	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=213) Madden (n=80) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) Chestermere- Conrich (n=151) 32 29 30 24 33 40 32 34 41 111 13 13 - 9 14 7 9 111 3 4 2 - - 3 - 5 1 2 0 2 6 - - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - 2 - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - - 2 - - -	Ranch Lands Bearspaw- Glendale (n=2t3) Madden (n=80) Crossfield (n=47) Rocky View Central (n=163) Beiseker (n=30) Rocky View East (n=133) Chestermere- Conrich (n=151) Rocky View West 32 29 30 24 33 40 32 34 41 34 11 13 13 - 9 14 7 9 11 20 3 4 2 - - 3 - 5 1 8 2 0 2 6 - - 2 - 5 5 1 8 2 54 53 71 59 44 61 51 46 33

 The preferred method of being informed about recreation was brochures and posters in community facilities, followed by community newsletters and signs.



				Comm	unications						
		Ranch Lands	Bearspaw- Glendale	Madden	Crossfield	Rocky View	Beiseker	Rocky View	Chestermere-	Rocky View	Bow
	Average	(n=213)	(n=385)	(n=18)	(n=47)	Central (n=163)	(n=30)	East (n=139)	Conrich (n=151)	West	North
Brochures and posters in community facilities	53	66	34	47	57	59	66	60	50	38	53
Community Newsletters	48	58	66	47	52	62	17	48	50	39	41
Community signs	39	30	56	47	38	42	38	35	41	28	34
E-newsletters	32	27	36	24	34	35	24	31	39	26	41
Local newspapers	24	23	19	18	30	20	43	28	24	17	22
Country website	21	24	18	24	11	29	14	25	29	13	19
Other	6	8	6	0	6	7	3	10	5	n/a	n/a

2019 Phase Questionnaire

ROCKY VIEW COUNTY		Online Survey Access Code: NNNN	NN Survey
		INSTRUCTIONS	
This survey is about rec	reation, cult	ure, and parks programs, services and f	acilities for Rocky View County residents.
The questionnaire should household.	be <u>completed</u>	by the most knowledgeable person in you	r household and asks about members of your
If you do not know the an	swer to a que	stion or it does not apply to your situation, p	please do not respond to it.
All information that you pr	ovide in the s	urvey will be kept strictly confidential.	
Throughout this questionr	aire, there ar	e references to:	
	nunity' - This	erm is meant to represent you and the othe term represents the district of Rocky View	r members of your household. County (and hamlets) in which you live or own
Information collected from this	survey is use	d to inform future recreation planning for Roc	ky View County. The development of facilities,
		curs over time, following the appropriate Cou	
A. Living in the County			
A1. Does your household liv (Choose one response only		liew County?	
☐, Yes, live in the Cou □, Yes, live in the Cou			e County, but own property in it.
A2. Please indicate in which (Choose one response only the back of the introduction	, see map to ri	e or own property?	Cen Desition Desiter
Ranch Lands Rearspaw-Glendale Rocky View West Madden Crossfield	Beiseke Rocky	/iew East mere-Conrich	Rocy View East
		Hpaty Value View	Christense - Conver
B. Recreation Participatio			Valence Biologiantia Biologiantia Biologiantia
B1. In which of the following your household member (Location does not matter.)	s participate	in the past 12 months?	Tende View Meet
D, Archery (target, field, fli	ght, ski)	#Fitness - movement stretching	□Personal development courses
Attend community festiva agricultural exhibitions/	COLUMN AND COLUMN	(aerobics, yoga, boot camp, etc.) □, Fitness - cardio/weight training	(continuing education, financial planning, creative writing, ESL)
□ Boating (all types)		□ Gymnastics	□ Ride ATVs/off-road vehicles/
Camping		□ "Go to community park/playground	motorized trail biking/snowmobiling
Career/job skills develop		□ _a Go to musical performance/	□ shooting (e.g. target, clay/skeet/
courses (resume writing		concert, live theatre/opera/ballet, etc.	trap, bullseye, long range)
skills, business writing,		Griffic to/use a public library	Skateboarding/BMXing/Scootering
Children/youth organiza Club, Scouts, Girl Guid		□ _≈ Hiking □ _∞ Hunting/fishing	□ _{**} Softball/baseball/slo pitch □ _{**} Soccer (outdoor)
Club, Scouts, Gin Guid		□ _a Hockey (structured/league)	□ _* Soccer (indoor)
, Cross-country skiing		□ _a lce skating program (figure	□ Swimming for pleasure or leisure
Cycling/biking		skating, learn to skate, etc.)	□ Swimming lessons
Dance programs/cours	es	□ _a Indoor gymnasium sports	□ , Tennis/pickleball
Other performing arts p courses (music, theatre	rograms/	(basketball, volleyball, badminton)	Visiting a historic site, museum, art gallery, etc.
Day camps for children		□ aCrosse □ aCrosse Socials, meals, and	□ Visual arts, craft, and hobby
Du Dog walking		events for seniors (seniors centre)	programs/courses
□ Equestrian (outdoor)		□ a Outdoor court/paved surface	□. Walking/jogging
. Equestrian (indoor)		sports (street hockey, basketball)	□. Water sports (paddling, river surfing)



 What are the main reasons member (Select all that apply) 								
□₁ Competition □₂ Experience a challenge □₃ Fun/entertainment □₄ Help the community	□ ₆ Meet new □ ₇ Physical h □ ₈ Relaxation □ ₉ Satisfy cu □ ₁₀ To be wit	ealth/exercis n/unwind/red riosity	uce stress			joy nature (please s		
3. What, if anything, prevents you or s (Select all that apply)		1.11 Sec. 14		ating in re	creation	programs	and acti	vities?
 □, Already too busy with other activities □, Activities too costly □, Better opportunities elsewhere/have own equipment □, Cannot afford admission fees into facilities □, Current programs/facilities are full □, Do not have the physical ability/fitness level 	too far a □₀ Hours o program □₀ Not awa have er □₁₀ Not inte □₁₀ Not mo	way f operation (s is/facility) ine of what is nough inform erested in wh tivated enoug adequate fac	available/do r	not	□ ₁₅ Safe □ ₁₅ Too com □ ₁₇ Tran (cos	gram fees ety concer many fam mitments isportatior t/availabil er (please	ns nily/work n limitatio ity)	
. Programming								
□1 Better quality instruction	□ ₆ Improved	marketing of	programs		12 Other	(please s	pecify):	
□₃ Different skill levels available □₄ Enhanced content/better quality □₅ Greater variety	□, Need to a □, Offered n ify the types o	ccommodate nore frequent f programmi	dule/longer hor more participatly thy ng, for each ag	urs ants ge group,	that shou	uld be mo	re readily	y
□₃ Different skill levels available □₄ Enhanced content/better quality □₅ Greater variety 2. Using the chart below, please identi	■ More conv □ Need to a □ Offered n ity the types con ity, based on indicate progra	ccommodate nore frequent f programmi the needs o m type and age	dule/longer hor more participa dy ng, for each ag f your househo group)	urs _ ants ge group, old.				
 Jifferent skill levels available Enhanced content/better quality Greater variety Using the chart below, please identiavailable in your local area/communic Program Type 	■ More conv ■ Need to a ■ Offered n in Offered n inty, based on indicate progra Children (0 to 5 years)	ccommodate nore frequent f programmi the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years)	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo group) Teens (13 to 18 years)	urs ants ge group, old. Aduits (19 to 39 ye		Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
 □ J Different skill levels available □ A Enhanced content/better quality □ S Greater variety 2. Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commun (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness 	More conv Need to a Need to a Noffered m in Offered m intro offered m indicate progra Children (to 5 years) □	ccommodate nore frequent of programmin the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years)	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo group) Teens (13 to 18 years)	urs ants ge group, old. Aduits (19 to 39 ye		Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
 □ 3 Different skill levels available □ 4 Enhanced content/better quality □ 5 Greater variety 2. Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commun (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage 	More conv Need to a Offered n in Offered n inty, based on indicate progra Children (0 to 5 years) □	ccommodate nore frequent f programmi the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years)	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo group) Teens (13 to 18 years)	urs ants ge group, old. (19 to 39 ye		Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
 Different skill levels available Enhanced content/better quality Greater variety Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commun (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation 	More conv Need to a Need to a Noffered m in Offered m intro offered m indicate progra Children (to 5 years) □	ccommodate nore frequent of programmin the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years)	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo group) Teens (13 to 18 years)	urs ants ge group, old. Aduits (19 to 39 ye		Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
 □₃ Different skill levels available □₃ Different skill levels available □₄ Enhanced content/better quality □₅ Greater variety 2. Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commun (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage 	More conv Need to a Noffered n in Offered n inty, based on indicate progra Children (0 to 5 years) □ □	ccommodate hore frequent of programmi the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years)	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo group) (13 to 18 years)	urs ants ge group, old. (19 to 39 ye		Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
 Different skill levels available Enhanced content/better quality Greater variety Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commun (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation Outdoor skills/pursuits 	More conv Sed to a 10 Offered n in offered n indicate progra Children (0 to 5 years) □ □ □	ccommodate hore frequent of programmi the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years)	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo group) Teens (13 to 18 years) 	urs ants ge group, old. (19 to 39 ye 		Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
□₃ Different skill levels available □₄ Enhanced content/better quality □₅ Greater variety 22. Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commur (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation Outdoor skills/pursuits Artistic and creative pursuits Sports (non-spontaneous activities) 33. What other programs, if any, should (Please identify programs along with app 0. Satisfaction	■ More conv ■ Need to a ■ Offered m in Offered m inty, based on indicate progra Children (0 to 5 years) ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	ccommodate nore frequent of programmin the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dule/longer hor more participa ity ng, for each ag f your househo group) Teens (13 to 18 years) (13 to 18 years) (14 to 18 years) (15 to 18 years)	urs ants ge group, old. (19 to 39 ye	ars) (40	Aduits to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
□₃ Different skill levels available □₄ Enhanced content/better quality □₅ Greater variety 22. Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commur (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation Outdoor skills/pursuits Artistic and creative pursuits Sports (non-spontaneous activities) 33. What other programs, if any, should (Please identify programs along with app 0. Satisfaction	■ More conv ■ Need to a ■ Offered m in Offered m inty, based on indicate progra Children (0 to 5 years) ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	ccommodate nore frequent of programmin the needs o m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo a group) Teens (13 to 18 years) (13 to 18	urs ants ge group, old. Aduits (19 to 39 ye area/comm area/comm	ars) (40	Aduits to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s
 Different skill levels available Different skill levels available Enhanced content/better quality Greater variety Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commum (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation Outdoor skills/pursuits Artistic and creative pursuits Sports (non-spontaneous activities) What other programs, if any, should (Please identify programs along with app or stills for the second s	More conv Sed to a Sed to a In Offered m fig the types on indicate progra Children (0 to 5 years) Children Children (0 to 5 years) Sed Sed to a	ccommodate nore frequent of programming the needs of m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo e group) Teens (13 to 18 years) (13 to 18	urs ants ge group, old. Adults (19 to 39 ye area/comr	ars) (40 nunity?	Aduits to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s rs)
 Different skill levels available Different skill levels available Enhanced content/better quality Greater variety Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commur (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation Outdoor skills/pursuits Artistic and creative pursuits Sports (non-spontaneous activities) What other programs, if any, should (Please identify programs along with app Satisfaction To what extent are you satisfied or other the state of the stat	A More conv B More conv B Need to a	ccommodate nore frequent of programming the needs of m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dule/longer hor more participa ily ng, for each ag f your househo a group) Teens (13 to 18 years) (13 to 18	urs ants ge group, old. Aduits (19 to 39 ye area/comr	ars) (40 nunity?	Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s rs) Very ssatisfied
 Different skill levels available Different skill levels available Enhanced content/better quality Greater variety Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commur (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation Outdoor skills/pursuits Artistic and creative pursuits Sports (non-spontaneous activities) What other programs, if any, should (Please identify programs along with app Satisfaction To what extent are you satisfied or other for the second spaces in your local area and spaces	A More conv B More c	ccommodate nore frequent of programmin the needs of m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years) C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	dule/longer hor more participa ity ng, for each ag f your househo a group) Teens (13 to 18 years) (13 to 18	urs ants ge group, old. Adults (19 to 39 ye area/comr area/comr	ars) (40 nunity?	Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s rs) Very ssatisfier S
 Different skill levels available Different skill levels available Enhanced content/better quality Greater variety Using the chart below, please identi available in your local area/commur (Please select the appropriate boxes that Program Type Fitness History and heritage Nature interpretation Outdoor skills/pursuits Artistic and creative pursuits Sports (non-spontaneous activities) What other programs, if any, should (Please identify programs along with app Satisfaction To what extent are you satisfied or other the state of the stat	A More conv B More conv B More conv B More to a B More to a B More to a B More to	ccommodate hore frequent of programming the needs of m type and age Youth (6 to 12 years) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	dule/longer hor more participa ity ng, for each ag f your househo group) Teens (13 to 18 years) 	urs ants ge group, old. Adults (19 to 39 ye area/comr area/comr	ars) (40 nunity?	Adults to 64 years)	Senior (65+ yea	s rs) Very ssatisfier S

E. Current Utilization

E1. Please indicate:

a) if household members have used or visited the following facilities in the past 12 months

b) if so, how frequently someone in your household used/visited the facility to participate in a program or activity. (Classroom use is assessed in order to determine the demand for spaces for creative and artistic activities and after school programs.)

itv/	Space	a. Ø if used/visited	1 to 9 Uses/Visits	b. 2 frequency 10 to 20 Uses/Visits	21 or m Uses/Vi
	Bearspaw Lifestyle Centre	☐ If yes→			
doten, and Spring tar hyj	Bragg Creek Community Centre	□ If yes→			0,
	Dalroy Community Hall	□ If yes→	0,		
F	Delacour Community Hall	☐ If yes→	Di		
H	Jumping Pound Community Hall	☐ If yes→	0,		
H	Keoma Community Hall	☐ If yes→	0,		
H	Other Community Halls (other than those presented above)	☐ If yes→			
		☐ If yes→			
	Bragg Creek Snowbirds Seniors' Lodge				
	Springbank Heritage Club	☐ If yes→	0,	02	0,
2	Springbank Park for All Seasons	☐ If yes→	0,	02	03
	Indoor ice rinks (other than those presented above)	☐ If yes→		D ₂	03
1	Outdoor ice rinks	☐ If yes→			03
uppoen, maoden	Curling rinks	□ If yes→			03
	4031 Gym	□ If yes→			03
	Langdon Fieldhouse	☐ If yes→			
	Springbank Equestrian Society	☐ If yes→			03
	Playgrounds	☐ If yes→	O 1		
	Tennis courts	☐ If yes→			03
	Non-school sport fields (ball/slo pitch diamonds, soccer/football fields)	☐ If yes→			3
	School classrooms (for recreation purposes)	☐ If yes→	01		03
	School sport fields (for recreation purposes - ball diamonds, soccer/football fields)	☐ If yes→	D 1		0,
	School gymnasiums (for recreation purposes)	☐ If yes→			
T	Boulder Creek Park	☐ If ves→			
-	Bottrel Park	☐ If yes→	0,	0,	0,
	Charles Butler Park	☐ If yes→	01		
- 14	Lasso Trail	☐ If yes→	Di		
-	Langdon Park	☐ if yes→			
	Prairie Royal Estates	☐ If yes→			
	Sharp Hill Park				
Н		☐ If yes→			
H	Western Irrigation District Canal Pathway	☐ If yes→	01	02	03
Н	Other parks (other than those presented above)	☐ If yes→	D 1	02	D 3
+	Other pathways/trails (other than those presented above)	☐ If yes→	Ο,	02	
H	Genesis Centre (Airdrie)	□ If yes→		02	
H	Chestermere Regional Community Association	□ If yes→		D ₂	۵,
	Indus Recreation Centre (Bow Valley Ag. Society)	□ If yes→	Ξ,		•
	Spray Lakes Sawmills Family Sports Centre (Cochrane)	□ If yes→			03
H	Baseball/softball/slo pitch facilities	□ If yes→			D ₃
	Community halls	□ If yes→			03
	Curling rinks	☐ If yes→			03
	Dog off Leash areas	☐ If yes→			D 3
	Fitness facilities (cardio, weights, studios, running tracks, martial arts)	☐ If yes→			0,
	Gymnasiums (basketball, volleyball, badminton, etc.)	☐ If yes→	D 1		
Γ	Indoor field facilities (indoor soccer, football, lacrosse fields)	□ If yes→			
	Indoor ice rinks	□ If yes→	O1		0,
Γ	Outdoor field facilities (soccer, football, rugby, field hockey, etc.)	☐ If yes→			03
F	Parks	☐ If yes→	0,		0,
F	Pathways/trails	□ If yes→			
F	Performing arts facilities (music, live theatre, dance, etc.)	☐ If yes→			
F	Playgrounds	☐ If yes→	0,		
	Public libraries/services	☐ If yes→	0,		
F	Seniors centres/facilities	☐ If yes→			
+			0,		0,
110	Skateboard parks Tennis courts	☐ If yes→			
F					



			le serve d	b. 🛛 frequency	
acilit	ity/Space Aquatic facilities (flat water pools, wave pools, water slides, etc.) Baseball/softball/slo pitch facilities Community halls Curling rinks Dog off leash areas Fitness facilities (cardio, weights, studios, running tracks, martial arts) Gymnasiums (basketball, volleyball, badminton, etc.) Indoor field facilities (indoor soccer, football, lacrosse fields) Indoor ice rinks Outdoor field facilities (soccer, football, rugby, field hockey, etc.) Parks Pathways/trails Performing arts facilities (music, live theatre, dance, etc.) Playgrounds Public libraries/services Seniors centres/facilities Skateboard parks Tennis courts Specify:	a. ☑ if used/visited	1 to 9 Uses/Visits	10 to 20 Uses/Visits	21 or more Uses/Visite
	Aquatic facilities (flat water pools, wave pools, water slides, etc.)	□ If yes→			D 3
	Baseball/softball/slo pitch facilities	☐ If yes→			D)
	Community halls	□ If yes→			
	Curling rinks	☐ If yes→			D 3
	Dog off leash areas	☐ If yes→	0,		03
	Fitness facilities (cardio, weights, studios, running tracks, martial arts)	□ If yes→			03
	Gymnasiums (basketball, volleyball, badminton, etc.)	□ If yes→	0,		D 3
	Indoor field facilities (indoor soccer, football, lacrosse fields)	□ If yes→	01		Ο,
any	Indoor ice rinks	□ If yes→	Or I		
Calgary	Outdoor field facilities (soccer, football, rugby, field hockey, etc.)	□ If yes→	D 1	02	0,
-	Parks	□ If yes→			0,
	Pathways/trails	□ If yes→	01		۵
	Performing arts facilities (music, live theatre, dance, etc.)	□ If yes→			03
	Playgrounds	□ If yes→	O ₁		03
	Public libraries/services	☐ If yes→			03
	Seniors centres/facilities	□ If yes→			03
	Skateboard parks	□ If yes→			3
	Tennis courts	□ If yes→	D 1		03
-	Specify:	☐ If yes→			D ₃
Other	Specify:	□ If yes→			D 3
0	Specify:	□ If yes→			

F. New and/or Enhanced Facilities

F1. Do you think that there is a need for new and/or enhanced recreation facilities, parks, pathways, or sports fields (indoor and/or outdoor) to be developed in your local area/community?

□1 Yes □2 Unsure □3 No (If "No," please proceed to Question F3, below)

F2. If you answered "Yes" or "Unsure" to the previous question, please select up to five (5) indoor and outdoor recreation facilities or facility components that should be more readily available or enhanced in your local area/community to satisfy your household's recreational needs.

	ect up to 5 Indoor Facilities	Se	lect up to 5 Outdoor Facilities
	Art studios/practice space (e.g. pottery rooms)		Ball diamonds
	Classrooms/meeting spaces		Beach volleyball courts
	Climbing Walls/bouldering facilities		Bike skills park
	Community hall/banquet facilities		Community gardens
	Court sport spaces (e.g. racquetball, squash)		Cycling routes
	Curling rinks		Dog off leash areas
	Dance/program/martial arts rooms		Event spaces (e.g. amphitheatres, band shelters)
כ	Fitness/wellness facilities (e.g. exercise/weight room)		Hardcourts (e.g. basketball)
	Gymnasium type spaces (e.g. basketball, volleyball,		Mountain bike trails
	badminton)		Nature/interpretive trails
	Gymnastics spaces/parkour rooms		Open spaces (e.g. parks, greenspaces)
	Ice arena facilities (e.g. hockey, figure skating)		Outdoor boarded skating rinks
	Indoor agricultural facilities (equestrian, agriplex)		Outdoor fitness equipment
	Indoor child playgrounds		Outdoor skating (non-boarded)
כ	Indoor field surfaces (e.g. soccer, football)		Paved and non-paved trail system
	Leisure ice surfaces (non-hockey)		Pickleball courts
	Library space		Picnic areas
	Performing spaces (theatres)		Playgrounds
	Seniors centre		River access
	Walking/running tracks		Skateboard parks
	Youth centre		Skeet shooting venues
	Other (specify):		Sports fields (e.g. soccer, football)
			Tennis courts
			Track and field spaces
			Water spray parks
			Other (specify):

F3. What is the longest amount of time that your household members would be willing to travel by personal vehicle to get to the following facilities to regularly (e.g. at least once a week) participate in activities? Please disregard travel required for "away games" or "competitions".

	Would		1000		Minutes			
Facility Type	not use	<10	10 to <20	20 to <30	30 to <40	40 to <50	50 to <60	60+
Arts or cultural facility			03	04	⊡s		07	Da
Fitness gym (cardio/weights)			3	D 4	۵s		07	
Fitness studio/room for group exercises			3	D 4	D ₅	06		Os
Gymnasium (basketball, volleyball, badminton)	D ₁		D 3				07	
Indoor aquatic facility (swimming pool)	D 1		03		D 5		07	Da
Indoor field house (indoor soccer, football)			D ₃	D 4			07	
Indoor ice arena			3		D 5		07	
Outdoor sport field (ball diamond, soccer/football/etc. fields, etc.)			□3	□4	05	06	07	D e
Park							D 7	
Pathways/trails	01		03	04	۵s		07	Da
Playground	0,		D ₃		□ ₅		07	
Public library			03	04			07	Da
Seniors centre			03				07	

G. Prioritization

G1. The following list includes possible criteria that could be used to prioritize recreation service supply. Please rate the importance of each criterion when prioritizing multiple recreation requests/projects.

"A funding request or project will be a higher priority if it is one that ... "

	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Neither Important nor Unimportant	Somewhat Unimportant	Very Unimportant
Focuses on basic skill development for children/youth	01		□3		05
Focuses on seniors programming	01		□3	04	Os
Responds to community needs	Ο,		□3	04	05
Eligible for grants/funds from other sources (over and above County support)	01	02	□3	□4	05
Able to bring in users (and revenue) from people outside the County	•	02	۵	04	05
Service is currently not available in the local area/community	0,		03	04	D 5
Capacity to operate without County financial support			□3	04	D 5
Is the most cost effective option	0,		D 3	04	Ds .
Benefits the largest group of district County residents	0,		03	04	05

H. Public Value

H1. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

and the second	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Unsure	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Recreation is important to my quality of life.					05
Residents can benefit even if they do not use recreation services directly.	Π,	02	□3	04	05
Recreation services brings the community together.	D 1		03	0 4	05
Rocky View County should continue to develop agreements with other municipalities/organizations so residents can access services that are unavailable in the County.	Π,	02	03	0.	□s
Paved and non-paved pathways and trails should be developed for connectivity and recreational use.		02	•	04	□ _s
Facilities should be developed in a community hub type arrangement (e.g. clusters of facilities rather than stand alone).		02	□3	0,	□ s
County funding support should be directed to community organizations that provide services with high degrees of program availability and accessibility to County residents	Π1	02	۵	.⊡₄	05
Our household receives value for its \$150 annual contribution to recreation.	Π,	02	□3	0,	05

- 5 -



I. Communications

11. What are the best three (3) methods to get information to your household about recreation programs and events?

Brochures and posters in community facilities	□₄ E-newsletters □₄ Local newspapers	□s Other (please specify):
□ ₂ Community Newsletters	□ ₆ County website	
□ ₃ Community signs		

J. Funding Issues

J1. Public funds are spent throughout the County to ensure residents have access to recreation opportunities. Given the issues considered in this questionnaire, if you were given \$100 to spend, how much would you allocate to the following in your local area/community?

Maintaining existing outdoor facilities/amenities (e.g. outdoor courts, rinks, sport fields, fair grounds, festival sites, etc.) Maintaining existing indoor facilities/amenities (e.g. community halls, ice rinks, curling rinks, etc.) Maintaining trails/pathways Maintaining parks Maintaining playgrounds/tot lots Building more outdoor facilities/amenities Building more indoor facilities/amenities Building more trails/pathways Building more parks Building more playgrounds/tot lots \$100.00 Total (Please be sure that your allocations add up to \$100)

- J2. To ensure that community needs for recreation facilities in your local area/community can be better met, how much of an increase in annual property taxes would you support?
 - □1 Up to a \$100 annual property tax increase
 - □2 A \$101 to \$200 annual property tax increase
 - □₃ A \$201 to \$300 annual property tax increase
 - □, Over a \$300 annual property tax increase
 - I would not support an increase in annual property taxes

K. General Comments

K1. Please use the space provided for any other comments about recreation needs in your local area/community.

L. General Demographic Information

This last section of the questionnaire will help us understand characteristics of Rocky View County residents. Your answers will be grouped with those provided by other participants. All of your answers will be **kept strictly confidential**.

L1. Including yourself, how many household members are in the following age groups?

(Please differentiate between ger	nder and indicate the	number of household	d members for each cate	egory)	

		Male	Female	Other		1 1 1 1	Male	Female	Other
Newborn to 9	years					50 to 59 years			
10 to 19 year	s					60 to 69 years			
20 to 29 year	S				1 1 1	70 to 79 years			
30 to 39 year	S				8	80+ years			
40 to 49 year	s					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

L2. Which of the following most closely describes your household?

Couple - with children living at home Couple - without children living at home	□ ₃ Single parent household □ ₄ Living alone	□ ₅ Living with roommate(s) □ ₆ Living with extended family

On behalf of Rocky View County, thank you for taking the time to participate in this important survey. Please remember to enter the prize draw to win a \$100 gift card.

- 6 -

Appendix J

(Summary of Focus Group Discussions)

- Four focus groups were conducted for the Rocky View County to consult with community members on their perceptions of the state of recreation service provisions. The following presents highlights of the focus group discussions.
- Participants were asked to identify a word that they thought described recreation in Rocky View County:
 - Accessibility Adequate
 - Needing

Lively

Outdoor

Pathways

- Available
 - Nil (2) Non-existent
- Communication . .
- Connections

Enough

Fitness

- Conundrum
- Diversity
 - Scattered Secretive
 - .
 - Self-serving .
- Hiking . Lacking

.

.

.

.

- Short drive . Space
- Limited
- . Sporadic Unclear (2)

Public Recreation:

- Many participants have seen an influx of newcomers over the past ten years and suggested that their areas have become "bedroom communities" resulting in a loss of the sense of community. Some participants suggested that the County should take an active role in creating opportunities that enable neighbours to come together in the form of community events and activities in shared spaces. This may include having an active or leading role in the development of facilities and programs that might be developed in the community.
- . Other participants suggested that they were inadequately informed of the availability of recreation in the community and indicated that the County could support communicates about recreation that is available to residents.

- Lack of communication has led a few participants to believe that there is some level of apathy on the part of the County. Some of these participants indicated that the recreation needs assessment has been an effective way to begin to negate these ideas and appreciation was expressed for the consultation.
- Important issues suggested for the County to address:
 - Connecting pathways/trails
 - Developing facilities for residents
 - Enabling diversity of opportunities throughout the community
 - Ensuring recreation infrastructure is developed
 - Ensuring safety of residents who are currently using roads as pathways/ trails
 - Facilitating the development of multi-use facilities that address all age groups over time with changing demographics
 - Fostering a sense of community among residents
 - Maintaining the rural character and lifestyle of the County
 - Supporting affordable opportunities for residents
 - Supporting local initiatives for facility development



	Factors that Influence Choice of Different Facilities Types of Facilities							
Factors	Fitness gym/ studio program	Pathways/ trails	Park/ playground	Arts/ performance facility	Senior Centre			
Availability of opportunities at facility	13	4	14	8	14			
Cost of program/ activity/Involvement	14	1	1	10	10			
Location of facility/amenity/ site/supplier	24	20	19	10	12			
Reputation of facility/supplier/ instructor/presenter	4	2	2	8	4			
Schedules/operation hours	2	4	3	3	4			
Support services available	3	6	5	3	4			
Who will be there	2	5	7	2	7			
Other		3			1			

Factors around choice of recreation participation in activities:

- Various factors contribute to choosing a recreation service provider:
 - Location is an important factor, but it might include residence, employment, school, shopping, and other places.
 - The availability of other opportunities that might be offered by a service provider can be important in choice. Sometimes, suppliers that offer more equipment, options, better instruction, etc. are preferable over another supplier.
 - Cost of service is also an important influence, especially if high prices are involved (e.g. fitness centre, arts/ performance event, etc.

Defining local community:

- Local community varied depending on location in the community.
 - In the western and north central region of the County, larger centres were identified such as Airdrie, Cochrane, or Calgary where participants typically shop, work, go to school, or use amenities. They prefer that the character of the local rural setting remain as that is what attracted them to live in the community.
 - In the eastern region of the County, a few participants consider Chestermere to be their local community as it is a resource centre for the region. However, other participants considered the communities of Keoma, Irricana, Delacour, Indus, Conrich and Langdon as their local communities due to the sense of community that has developed with neighbours.

Travel time to service:

- Most participants shared the perception that 20 minutes is the maximum time commitment to travel for recreation. The most common reason given was the time spent in the car is already onerous. Extended travel (more than 20 minutes) for recreation was perceived to negate the benefits that might be obtained from the activities. Participants with young families suggested that they are already pressed for time and are not willing to spend any more time driving.
- When participants were asked about where they go for recreation and how far they were willing to travel, the overwhelming answer depended on where they work and/or where their children go to school, and the activities children were engaged in. Often, school and after school activities influenced sense of community for participants, more so than location of residence, at least for families with children or grandparents who attend the activities of their grandchildren.
- Participants indicated that they typically do not shop in the same areas that they recreate.
 Shopping is done en-route after work, or a big shop once a week. However, sometimes it can be an influence, particularly if it is near where children recreate (parents shop while children are at program or service).

Meeting Perceived Needs - Location and scope of services:

- The greatest perceived need in rural and country residential areas among participants were pathways and trails for walking/jogging and biking safely. Playgrounds, seniors' centres and community halls overlapped in importance for hamlets of all sizes. Larger, more costly facilities, such as pools and indoor sports/ice surfaces, were suggested to remain in urban communities because they require a critical mass of market for sustainability.
- Conversely, some participants justified indoor ice arenas in the County, while acknowledging the cost, because of the value to the smaller community and being able to utilize the space year round. However, this was disputed for smaller areas due to perceived demographic changes in the future and not as many team sports expected in the future as families grow.

- Connected pathways/trails was commonly discussed among participants. A typical concern was that many paths end abruptly and do not link to other areas. Ideally, there should be paths similar to those of Calgary with separate lanes for walking and cycling to facilitate all manner of outdoor exercise. Safety of walkers/joggers/cyclists was also a common concern. Participants highlighted that they are forced to walk or cycle in ditches or the sides of busy roads to get to existing trails and paths, which is perceived as being unsafe.
- Using what already exists, in unique and shared ways, was commonly suggested by participants. However, if new facilities are planned, it should be multi-use and keeping in mind future trends and demographics.
- Many participants had difficulty understanding why there was not as much access to gymnasium facilities at schools as they perceived should be. These were perceived to be available community assets that could be used for public recreation purposes.
- Perceived top three locations where facilities should be situated:
 - Rural and Country Residential
 - Pathways/trails (17)
 - Community Halls (8)
 - Playgrounds (3)
 - Hamlets/villages <1300
 - Playgrounds (14)
 - Seniors Centres (12)
 - Community Halls (12)
 - Hamlets 5,000-10,000
 - Fitness Gym with cardio, weight equipment (12)
 - Seniors centres (11)
 - Indoor ice arenas & Gymnasium (9)
 - Airdrie, Chestermere, Cochrane
 - Indoor aquatic facility (18)
 - Indoor field house (14)
 - Performing arts centre (12)
 - Calgary
 - Performing Arts Centre (9)
 - Indoor field house (9)
 - Indoor aquatic centre (4)



- Choices for rural/country residential options were mainly based on value for money such as costs, what exists now, population (density), and existing tax base. Resources that incorporate the natural environment were perceived to offer the greatest value for money such as pathways/trails and playgrounds.
- Participants, especially in the western region, stressed that the reason for living out of the city (urban communities like Calgary) was to enjoy the natural area and they did not want to see large structures built and attracting a lot of people to the area, agreeing that large facilities belong in larger urban communities (Airdrie, Chestermere, and Cochrane).
- Some participants suggested that future service provision should accommodate all age groups, without too heavy an emphasis on one particular group, especially organized sports activities, as it was perceived that demand will change as demographics change.
- A few participants stated that it is more difficult for retired people to find or establish community with other people. Connecting seniors to the community and facilitating space for them was an important aspect to some participants, especially as the growing demographic of younger seniors are more active and demand more opportunities for physical, cultural, creative, rather than solely social activities.
- A couple of participants from the eastern region of the community perceived the western region has more or better facilities and amenities. Overall, all participants tended to agree that facilities need to be placed where the greatest use will be, however the smaller populated areas should be included and considered.
- Affordability surfaced as an area of concern among a few participants in the eastern area of the County. It was suggested that seniors, people on AISH, and low- income families should be given consideration in the delivery of recreation.
- Some participants indicated that the County could help rural areas by providing assistance to existing community halls to organize programs and activities. It was suggested that volunteers lack the capacity to organize these kinds of opportunities for residents and the County could help to foster programs and activities in existing local facilities.

