SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD AGENDA

December 11, 2019

Rocky View County Council Chambers 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2

A CALL MEETING TO ORDER

B DEVELOPMENT APPEALS

9:00 AM APPOINTMENTS

1. Division 9 File: 06704007; PRDP20193520 Page 3

An appeal against the Development Authority's decision to REFUSE a development permit for a proposed commercial communication facility, type C, relaxation of the minimum front yard setback at 260016 Canning Lane (Plan A, Plan 2374 JK; SW-04-26-03-W5M) and located on the south side of Highway 1A, near the junction of Highway 1A and Canning Road.

Applicant/Appellant:Rogers Communications Inc. c/o LandSolutions LPOwners:Vi Luong Truong and Ka Man Leung

10:30 AM APPOINTMENTS

2. Division 4 File: 03223449; PRDP20193859 Page 207

An appeal against the Development Authority's decision to REFUSE a development permit for an existing accessory building (detached garage) addition (wood shed), constructed without permits; relaxation of the total building area; relaxation of the minimum rear yard setback requirement at 44 Wenstrom Crescent (Lot 57 Block 9 Plan 9712265; NW-23-23-27-W4M) and located within the hamlet of Langdon.

Applicant/Appellant:Jo-Ann Riddell (on behalf of the Owners)Owners:Darren and Lisa Paynter

3. Division 6 File: 07135004; PRDP20193996 Page 227

An appeal against the Development Authority's CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL for a development permit for General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory building at SW-35-27-26-W4M and located 2.5 miles northeast of the Town of Irricana and southeast of Highway 9.

Appellants/Owners: Bradi and Dan Hansen

SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT APPEAL BOARD AGENDA

December 11, 2019

Rocky View County Council Chambers 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2

1:00 PM APPOINTMENTS

4. Division 7 File: 06515002; PRDP20193746 Page 288

An appeal against the Development Authority's decision to APPROVE a development permit for a commercial communication facility, type C at 262008 Range Road 13 (SW-15-26-01-W5M) and located immediately north of Highway 566 and east of Range Road 13.

Appellants: Helen Ohlhauser and Kirsten Friesen

Applicant: Rogers Communications Inc. c/o LandSolutions LP

Owner: Archibald Franklin Bushfield

- C CLOSE MEETING
- D NEXT MEETING: December 20, 2019

Agenda Page 2 of 347



TO:

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Subdivision and Development Appeal Board

DATE:	December 11, 2019	DIVISION:	9	
FILE:	06704007		B-1; PRDP20193520	
			D-1, FKDF20195520	
SUBJECT:	Commercial Communications Facility,	Гуре С		
Facility, Typ	L: Commercial Communications be C, and relaxation of the minimum etback and side yard setback ts.		N : Located on the south side he junction of Hwy 1A and	
APPLICAT September	-	DEVELOPMENT AUT Discretionary – Refus		
APPEAL DATE: November 8, 2019		DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DECISION DATE: November 7, 2019		
APPELLANT: Brenden Smith (LandSolutions LP)		APPLICANT: Brenden Smith (LandSolutions LP)		
LEGAL DESCRIPTION : Block A, Plan 2374 JK, SW-04-26-03-05		MUNICIPAL ADDRESS: 260016 Canning Lane		
LAND USE District (AH	DESIGNATION : Agricultural Holdings	GROSS AREA : ± 6.9	acres	
DISCRETIONARY USE : A Commercial Communications Facility, Type C is a discretionary use within the Agricultural Holdings District.		DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE AUTHORITY : The Development Authority, where appropriate, may relax criteria established within Procedure 308.		
PUBLIC SU	JBMISSIONS:	LAND USE POLICIES	S AND STATUTORY PLANS:	
landowners one letter w application. notification	tion was circulated to 176 adjacent At the time this report was prepared, was received in objection to the Additionally, in response to the original completed by the Applicant (prior to submission) nine (9) letters were	 County Plan Land Use Bylaw Glenbow Ranch J 	Area Structure Plan	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

received in opposition and one in support.

On September 25, 2019, the Applicant submitted an application for a Development Permit for a Commercial Communications Facility, Type C. The subject lands is included in the Agricultural Holdings District, located on the south side of Highway 1A, near the junction of Hwy 1A and Canning Road.



The Federal Ministry of Industry is the approving authority for the development and operation of radio communication in Canada. However, Industry Canada requires that, in certain cases, the local approving authority and the public must be consulted for input regarding proposed telecommunication antenna structures. The County assess proposals for Commercial Communication Facilities against Policy 308 and Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities, and based on this review, issues a Development Permit (concurrence) or refusal (non-concurrence).

Procedure 308 provides direction on the design and development of Commercial Communication facilities and the decision of the Development Authority is based on its criteria being satisfied. Where appropriate, certain criteria can be relaxed at the discretion of the Development Authority.

In accordance with Procedure 308, Statement 3 (c)(i):

"Any tower proposed to be placed on a site abutting existing dwellings should be located no closer than 500 meters from those dwellings."

The proposed location of the tower is located on a parcel that contains a dwelling, which is approximately 50 m to the southeast. The tower is also located where 11 additional homes fall within the 500 m setback distance.

In accordance with Procedure 308, Statement 3 (c)(ii):

"Type B and Type C facilities should be located one half times the height of the facility from an existing or future road allowance."

The proposed self-supporting communications facility is 70.00 m in height; one half times the height would require a setback distance of 35.00 m from the road allowances. The minimum setback from the closest part of the tower to the road allowance is 5.6 m.

In accordance with Procedure 308, Statement 3 (c)(iii):

"Type B or Type C facilities should not be closer than 2,000 meters from other Type B or Type C facilities."

There is an existing Type C facility approved under 2002-DP-9650, which is located to the east of the proposed facility location and measuring from parcel to parcel, is approximately 880.00 m away.

In addition to the above, a 1.8 m (5.91 ft) x 2.4 m (7.87 ft.) equipment cabinet proposed for the facility is located 1.8 m from the front property line. The front yard setbacks are 45.00 m (147.64 ft.) from a County Road in the Agricultural Holdings District.

The tower is located approximately 48.5 m from Highway 1A right-of-way, which does not meet the 60 m setback required by the Land Use Bylaw. This would require relaxation of 19.17%, which could have been granted by the Development Authority. This was not provided as a reason for refusal.

In addition to the above criteria not being met, there is the potential for undue impact to adjacent properties in terms of aesthetic implications. There were several adjacent landowners in opposition to the placement of the Commercial Communications Facility that indicated potential impact to their lands (see Appendix A). It is the view of the Development Authority that relaxing the criteria in Procedure 308 for this proposal would materially interfere with and affect the use, enjoyment, and value of neighbouring properties; the application was therefore refused on October 1, 2019.

The Appellant appealed the decision of the Development Authority on November 8, 2019, with reasoning specified within the agenda package.

PROPERTY HISTORY:

No relevant property history.



APPEAL:

See attached report and exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

U

Sean MacLean Supervisor, Planning and Development Services

ON/IIt

APPENDICES:

APPENDIX 'A': Landowner Comments

Agenda Page 5 of 347

B-1 Page 4 of 204



Agenda Page 6 of 347



Agenda Page 7 of 347



Agenda Page 8 of 347



Agenda Page 9 of 347



Agenda Page 10 of 347



Agenda Page 11 of 347



Agenda Page 12 of 347



Agenda Page 13 of 347



Agenda Page 14 of 347

B-1 Page 13 of 204



Agenda Page 15 of 347

B-1 Page 14 of 204



Notice of Appeal

Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Enforcement Appeal Committee

Appellant Informatio	n	12 a f 15 b			
Name of Appellant(s) Rog	jers Commu	inicatio	ns Inc. c/o Land	Solutions LP	
Malling Address Suite 600, 322 - 11th Ave. SW			Municipality Calgary	Province AB	Postal Code T2R 0C5
Main Phone # 403.708.2736	Alternate Phone #		Email Address brendens@landsolutior	is.ca	
Site Information	niem styre efferer				
Municipal Address 260016 Canning Lane			Legal Land Description (lot, blo Plan 2374JK; Block A	ock, plan OR quarter-section	on-township-range-meridian)
Property Roll # 06704007		Developme PRDP20	ent Permit, Subdivision Application 193520	n, or Enforcement Order #	
I am appealing: (check o	one box only)				
Development Auth	ority Decision		sion Authority Decision Approval Conditions of Approval Refusal	Decision of Ent Stop Ord Complian	
Reasons for Appeal (a	attach senarate na	age if requ	ired)		
			Rock	NOV 1 2 2019	ATT ST

This information is collected for the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board or Enforcement Appeal Committee of Rocky View County and will be used to process your appeal and to create a public record of the appeal hearing. The information is collected in accordance with the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. If you have questions regarding the collection or use of this information, contact the Municipal Clerk at 403-230-1401.

2019-11-08

Appellant's Signature

Date

Last updated: 2018 November 13

Page 1 of 2

Agenda Page 16 of 347



B-1

Appeal Rationale

Re:Rogers File:W4585 North GlenbowLegal Land Description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Address:260016 Canning LaneCoordinates:Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

Reasons for Refusal per Development Authority's Notice of Decision, dated October 1, 2019:

- 1. The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C (equipment cabinet) exceeds the minimum front yard setback requirement as defined in section 43.6 of Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97.
- The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from an existing dwelling requirement as defined in Section 3(c) of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.
- The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from a road right-of-way requirement as defined in Section 3c of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.
- The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from an existing Commercial Communications Facility requirement as defined in Section 3(c) of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.

Please review the below responses to the above reasons for refusal:

1. The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C (equipment cabinet) exceeds the minimum front yard setback requirement as defined in section 43.6 of Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97.

The tower site was chosen with consideration of the landlord's existing and future use of their property, municipal setbacks to roads and provincial setbacks to highways. Roger's has endeavoured to meet setbacks to the best of their ability and within the constraints of its commercial and technical requirements. The proposed tower compound is approximately 45m to the Hwy. 1A RoW and the actual tower and equipment shelter would be greater than that distance. A Roadside Development Permit was approved by Alberta Transportation on September 30, 2019 (see attachments). The closest portion of the proposed tower is approximately 5.6m from the Range Rd. 34 and the equipment shelter (1.8m x 2.4m walk in cabinet) is approximately 2m east. We respectfully request the relaxation so as to lessen the impact upon the landlord's use and enjoyment of their property.

2. The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from an existing dwelling requirement as defined in Section 3(c) of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.

Despite our best intentions we were unable find a location that met the setback guideline from an existing dwelling. Rogers network planning and radiofrequency departments provided us a very limited area within which to locate the proposed tower, due to technical concerns. In addition, co-location on the nearby Altalink-owned tower was not possible. Highway setbacks, topography and landowner willingness also provided challenges to the location of the tower. Once we find a landowner willing to accommodate a facility, Rogers completes a variety of technical evaluations, including geotechnical studies, radiofrequency and transmission assessments and civil





Rogers Communications Inc. Appeal Rationale 70m Self-Support Telecommunications Facility November 8, 2019

engineering evaluations. For example, the minimum mounting height for the microwave antenna, which would connect this tower to Roger's network is 40m. Line-of-sight is required between this tower to another tower, in order to transmit data and connect transmissions to the greater network. The requested 70m tower height is needed to maximize coverage provided by cellular radios and to provide room for future technologies, which Rogers plans to deploy in the near term. Wireless radiofrequency has inherent range limitations and there is an inverse relationship with respect to range and data speed. Low frequency radio waves travel further than high frequency radio waves; however, transmit data slowly. High frequency radio waves have shorter ranges, but much faster data speeds. Through deployment of multiple antenna systems operating at different radio frequencies, Rogers provides greater network coverage and speed; however, requires its infrastructure to be close to the people and devices it serves.

Rogers is committed to providing a sophisticated wireless network throughout Rocky View County to enhance wireless connectivity and improve network capacity. In order to do this, Rogers needs the County's support to deploy its infrastructure within the context of technical and commercial constraints.

3. The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from a road right-of-way requirement as defined in Section 3c of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.

As noted above, we are working within the constraints of highway setbacks, road setbacks, our landlord's use and enjoyment of their property, technical requirements and a limited geographical location within which we must locate this important telecommunications facility. We respectfully request relaxation and County support to construct this facility.

4. The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from an existing Commercial Communications Facility requirement as defined in Section 3(c) of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.

Locating the proposed tower to meet this requirement would result in a location too close to existing Rogers towers, interference concerns, topographical challenges, commercial challenges and likely be within 2km of another tower. There are two existing Rogers towers located approximately 5.7km to the west and 6.5km to the east. In addition, there are additional towers proposed to the south of the Bow River, challenging topography along the river valley and sparsely populated areas to the north and south. It's hard to find a location that fits within this guideline and harder to find one that fits within Roger's network requirements. Locating the proposed tower close to an existing tower can result in interference challenges as there would be an overlap in frequencies. This can be managed; however, decreases the effectiveness of the proposed tower, limits the frequencies to be used and constrains Roger's ability to build a sophisticated and robust wireless network. Also, such a location would impact coverage and capacity provided to a geographic area, which may result in the need for multiple towers to be constructed to properly service an area. Locating a tower in sparsely populated areas and away from major transportation corridors translates to servicing less population and from a business perspective does not justify the significant investment that Rogers must invest to build the proposed telecommunications facility. Lastly, geographical challenges can occur where line-ofsight may not be achievable, or locating a tower within a low elevation results in the radio signals not extending as far as needed.





B-1

Conclusion

New telecommunications facilities are needed to keep pace with consumer demand for wireless service. Roger's proposal takes into consideration technical constraints, network requirements and is designed with respect to the local environment. The proposed facility will provide enhanced wireless coverage and capacity to the area, which will benefit residents, businesses, institutions, and improve access to emergency services. In addition, the proposed tower would provide an additional option to consumers for internet access.

On behalf of Rogers we respectfully request the County's support for this appeal and for the proposed telecommunications facility.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

Brank

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Additional Industry and Health & Safety Information:

- <u>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>
- http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf08792.html
- http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh
 - semt/pubs/radiation/radio guide-lignes direct-eng.php
- https://www.ctia.org/homepage/public-safety-channel
- <u>https://www.cwta.ca/for-consumers/health-safety/</u>
- http://www.rogers.com





Delivery Services, Transportation Southern Region, Calgary District 803 Manning Road Ne Calgary, Alberta T2E 7M8

Permit No.: RSDP027137 File Number: SW-4-26-3-W5M(04)

September 30, 2019

Rogers Communications Inc c/o LandSolutions LP 500-4 Ave SW, Suite 700 Calgary, AB T2P 2V6 Email: abmwacquisition@rci.rogers.com

Attention Rogers Communications Inc.:

Subject: Approval for the items identified below within Rocky View County

Regarding application for the following:

Construct a telecommunications tower adjacent to Highway 1A

Permit / File Number	Description	Location
RSDP027137-1	Construct a telecommunications tower site	Highway 1A
Development	adjacent to Highway 1A	SW-4-26-3-5
		Lot Block A Plan 2374JK

Alberta Transportation Permit No. **RSDP027137** is issued to **Rogers Communications Inc.** (Permittee) under the Highways Development and Protection Regulation authorizing the above noted development(s). Issuance of this permit does not excuse violation of any regulation, bylaw or act which may affect the proposed project. This permit is subject to the conditions shown and should be carefully reviewed.

- 1. This permit is subject to the provisions of Section 11-19 inclusive of the Highways Development and Protection Act (Chapter H-8.5 2004), amendments thereto, and Highways Development and Protection Regulation (Alberta Regulation 326/2009) and amendments thereto,
- 2. All highway accesses are to be considered temporary. No compensation shall be payable to the Permittee or their assigns or successors when the Department removes or relocates the temporary access or if highway access is removed and access provided via service road,
- 3. The Department is under no obligation to reissue a permit if the development is not completed before expiry of this permit,
- 4. The Permittee shall not place any signs contrary to Alberta Regulation 326/2009. The separate "SIGN APPLICATION" form shall be submitted for any proposed sign,
- 5. No additional highway access will be permitted.,
- 6. The Permittee shall maintain any highway access to the Operation Manager's satisfaction.,

Page 1 of 2

- Approval of companies having buried utilities shall be obtained prior to access construction or upgrading.,
- 8. The proposed development is to be set back approximately 108.3 metres from the centreline of the highway median, as shown on the attached site plan,
- 9. The Department accepts no responsibility for the noise impact of highway traffic upon any development or occupants thereof,
- 10. This permit is issued subject to the approval of the Municipality,
- 11. This permit approves only the development contained herein, and a further application is required for any changes or additions,
- 12. Use of existing highway access may continue on a temporary basis.,

Permission is hereby granted to **Rogers Communications Inc.** to carry out the development in accordance with the plan(s) and specifications attached hereto and subject to the conditions shown above.

If the development has not been carried out by **September 30, 2020** this permit expires and the Permittee must reapply for a new permit if they wish to proceed.

If you have any questions about the permit or any of the conditions, please contact the undersigned Development and Planning Technologist.

Signed:

Huish Digitally signed by Maggie Huish Date: 2019.09.30 10:02:45 -06'00'

Maggie Huish Asst. Dev. and Planning Tech.

cc: Rocky View County, planning@rockyview.ca

Page 2 of 2

Agenda Page 21 of 347

MICROWAVE ENGINEERING SITE QUALIFICATION

TO: HAO

The qualification for the following site has been completed by Microwave Engineering Department. The site qualification details are as follows:

PROJECT:	<u>NORTH GLENBOW –</u>	New Site	Project#: <u>7206-585</u>
SITE NAME: COORDINATES: ELEVATION:	<u>NORTH GLENBOW</u> Lat: 51 11 04.63 N, Lon <u>1319m</u>	ng <u>114 22 24.84</u>	CODE: <u>W4585</u> W (NAD 83, SCIP)
SITE VISITED:	YES	NO	
LOS CONFIRMED	BY: <u>Alireza</u>		
SITE ACCEPTED:	YES	NO	
CONDITIONS:	YES	NO	
(If yes, details must be provi	ided)		

DETAILS: Line-of-sight was confirmed between the proposed NORTH GLENBOW and the preferred tie-in site, BEARSPAW (CGY) (W1658), using 15GHz band. The minimum required antenna height at both NORTH GLENBOW and BEARSPAW (CGY) is 40m (AGL).

Regards,

Alireza Torabian (Red Oak Technologies) May 23, 2019

Agenda Page 22 of 347

MICROWAVE ENGINEERING MICROWAVE LINE-OF-SIGHT QUALIFICATION

PATH SURVEY REPORT

PROJECT TITLE:	NORTH GLI	ENBOW	-			PROJECT #: <u>7206-585</u>
SITE A (LOC): SITE B (LOC):	<u>NORTH GLI</u> BEARSPAW					
LINE-OF-SIGHT CO LOS PHOTOS ATTA		YES D	V	NO	NO ☑	
THE FOLLOWING	DETAILS MUS	ST BE P	ROVI	DED, RI	EGARD	LESS OF LOS

METHOD OF DETERMINING LINE-OF-SIGHT:

LOS confirmed by google earth.

CRITICAL POINT LOCATIONS AND ELEVATIONS:

n/a

GENERAL DESCRIPTION ALONG SURVEYED PATH:

Path crosses over rural area as well as treed areas.

RECOMMENDED ANTENNA LOCATIONS (ATTACHED DIAGRAMS):

At NORTH GLENBOW, one HSX4-144 antenna should be mounted at a height of 40m (AGL) onto the new 85m Self-Support Tower.

At BEARSPAW (CGY) (W1658), one HSX4-144 antenna should be mounted at a height of 40m (AGL) onto the existing 59m Guyed Tower.

OTHER COMMENTS:

REPORTED BY: <u>Alireza Torabian</u>

APPROVED BY:

DATE:

May 23, 2019

DATE:

B-1 Page 22 of 204



Agenda Page 24 of 347

B-1 Page 23 of 204

	NORTH GLENBOW	Bearspaw (Cgy)
Latitude	51 11 04.63 N	51 10 36.80 N
Longitude	114 22 24.84 W	114 16 55.40 W
True azimuth (°)	97.62	277.69
Vertical angle (°)	-0.10	0.06
Elevation (m)	1319.27	1310.07
Antenna model	HSX4-144 (TR)	HSX4-144 (TR)
Antenna file name	1206_	1206_
Antenna gain (dBi)	42.70	42.70
Antenna height (m)	40.00	40.00
TX line unit loss (dB/100 m)	88.88	88.88
TX line length (m)	0.90	0.90
TX line loss (dB)	0.80	0.80
Miscellaneous loss (dB)	0.50	0.50
Circulator branching loss (dB)	1.50	1.50
Frequency (MHz)	1500	0.00
Polarization	Horiz	contal
Path length (km)	6.	46
Free space loss (dB)	132	2.19
Atmospheric absorption loss (dB)	0.	18
Net path loss (dB)	52.57	52.57
Radio model	TN 15/2X A263Y/256X	TN 15/2X A263Y/256X
Radio file name	tn 15_2x a263y_256x	tn 15_2x a263y_256x
TX power (dBm)	24.00	24.00
Emission designator	36M8D7W	36M8D7W
EIRP (dBm)	63.90	63.90
RX threshold criteria	BER 1e-6	BER 1e-6
RX threshold level (dBm)	-66.50	-66.50
Receive signal (dBm)	-28.57	-28.57
Thermal fade margin (dB)	37.93	37.93
XPD fade margin - multipath (dB)	32.80	32.80
Flat fade margin - multipath (dB)	31.64	31.64
Dispersive fade margin (dB)	40.00	40.00
Dispersive fade occurrence factor	0.4	50
Effective fade margin (dB)	31.33	31.33
Climatic factor	1.(
Terrain roughness (m)	6.4	
C factor	3.2	
Average annual temperature (°C)	0.4	
		E-003
Fade occurrence factor (Po)		
Fade occurrence factor (Po) Worst month multipath availability (%)	99.99941	99.99941

Agenda Page 25 of 347

B-1 Page 24 of 204

	NORTH GLENBOW	Bearspaw (Cgy)
Annual multipath availability (%)	99.99990	99.99990
Annual multipath unavailability (sec)	32.37	32.37
Annual 2 way multipath availability (%)	99.99	9979
Annual 2 way multipath unavailability (sec)	64.	75
Polarization	Horiz	ontal
Rain region	Calgary,	Alberta
Rain rate (mm/hr)	100	.71
Flat fade margin - rain (dB)	36.	94
Rain attenuation (dB)	36.	95
Annual rain availability (%)	99.99	9949
Annual rain unavailability (min)	2.6	9
Annual rain + multipath availability (%)	99.99	9928
Annual rain + multipath unavailability (min)	3.7	77

Multipath fading method - Vigants - Barnett Rain fading method - Crane

Agenda Page 26 of 347

MICROWAVE TOWER LOADING

SITE NAME: NORTH GLENBOW

LOC CODE: W4585

#	Antenna ID	Antenna Type	Height - Centre Line (m)	Azimuth (°)	Line Type	Line Length (m)	Status
1	BEARSPAW (CGY)	HSX4-144	40	97.62	2xLDF4-50	TBD	Initial

Notes: The height of the future microwave dishes are only estimated and for the purpose of tower loading calculation.

Agenda Page 27 of 347



Agenda Page 28 of 347

ROCKY VIEW COUNTY

403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca **B-1**

REFUSAL

Rogers Communications Inc. c/o LandSolutions LP (Brenden Smith) Suite 600, 322 – 11th Ave SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5

Development Permit #: PRDP20193520

Date of Issue: November 7, 2019

Roll #: 06704007

Your Application dated September 25, 2019 for a Development Permit in accordance with the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97 of Rocky View County in respect of:

Proposed Commercial Communication Facility, Type C, relaxation of the minimum front yard setback requirement

at Bock A plan 2374 JK, SW-04-26-03-W05M; (260016 Canning Lane)

has been considered by the Development Authority and the decision in the matter is that your application be **REFUSED** for the following reasons:

 The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C (equipment cabinet) exceeds the minimum front yard setback requirement as defined in Section 43.6 of Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97.

Permitted: 45.00 m (147.64 ft.); Proposed: 1.80 m (5.91 ft.).

 The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from an existing dwelling requirement as defined in Section 3.c of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.

Discretionary: 500.00 m (1,640.42 ft.); Proposed: 290.00 sq. m. (951.44 ft.)

 The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from a road right-of-way requirement as defined in Section 3.c of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.

Permitted: 35.00 m (114.83 ft.); Proposed: 5.6 m. (18.37 ft.)

4) The proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C exceeds the minimum setback from an existing Commercial Communications Facility requirement as defined in Section 3.c of Procedure 308 – Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities.

Discretionary: 2,000.00 m (6,561.68 ft.); Proposed: 880.00 m. (2,887.14 ft.)

Matthew Wilson Manager, Planning and Development Services

NOTE: An appeal from this decision may be made to the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board of Rocky View County. Notice of Appeal to the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board from this decision shall be filed with the requisite fee of \$350.00 with Rocky View County no later than 21 days following the date on which this Notice is dated.

> Agenda Page 29 of 347

	B-1
20193520	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
ROCKY VIEW COUNTY	\$2150.00 06704007
Cultivating Communities APPLICATION FOR A	Date of Receipt Receipt #
DEVELOPMENT PERMIT	Sept 30/19/2019022271
	endens@landsolutions.ca
Mailing Address Suite 600, 322 - 11th Ave. SW Calgary, AB	T2D 005
Postal Code	The second second star line account of a constraint a second second
Telephone (B) 403.708.2736 (H)	
For Agents please supply Business/Agency/ Organization NameBrenden Smith,	
Registered Owner (if not applicant) Vi Luong Truong and Ka Man Leung	
Mailing Address	
Postal Code	
Telephone (B) (H)	Fax
1. LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LAND	
a) All / part of the <u>Ptn. SW</u> ¼ Section <u>4</u> Township <u>26</u> Range <u>3</u>	
b) Being all / parts of Lot Block A Registered Plan Numbe	r2374JK
c) Municipal Address <u>260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, AB</u>	
d) Existing Land Use Designation <u>AH</u> Parcel Size <u>6.9ac</u>	Division 9
2. APPLICATION FOR 70m lattice-style self-support telecommunications facility - Commercial Communications Facility Type C	
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	
a) Are there any oil or gas wells on or within 100 metres of the subject property(s)?	Yes No X
 b) Is the proposed parcel within 1.5 kilometres of a sour gas facility? (Sour Gas facility means well, pipeline or plant) 	Yes No _X
c) Is there an abandoned oil or gas well or pipeline on the property?	Yes No _X
d) Does the site have direct access to a developed Municipal Road?	Yes X No
4. REGISTERED OWNER OR PERSON ACTING ON HIS BEHALF	
I BRENDEN SMITH hereby certify that I am the register (Full Name in Block Capitals)	red owner to act on the owner's behalf
and that the information given on this form is full and complete and is, to the best of my knowledge, a true statement of the facts relating to this application.	Affix Corporate Seal here if owner is listed as a named or numbered company
	See Letter of Authorization
Date September 18, 2019 Date	

Development Permit Application

Page 1 of 2

Agenda Page 30 of 347

5. RIGHT OF ENTRY

I hereby authorize Rocky View County to enter the above parcel(s) of land for purposes of investigation and enforcement related to this Development Permit application.

Applicant's/Owner's Signature

Please note that all information provided by the Applicant to the County that is associated with the application, including technical studies, will be treated as public information in the course of the municipality's consideration of the development permit application, pursuant to the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A 2000 Chapter M-26, the Land Use Bylaw and relevant statutory plans. By providing this information, you (Owner/Applicant) are deemed to consent to its public release. Information provided will only be directed to the Public Information Office, 262075 Rocky View Point, Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2; Phone: 403-520-8199.

BRENDEN SMITH

I, ______, hereby consent to the public release and disclosure of all information contained within this application and supporting documentation as part of the development process.

Signature

September 18, 2019

Date

Development Permit Application

Page 2 of 2

Agenda Page 31 of 347

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Application:		
General Location:		

Agenda Page 32 of 347



Rocky View County Planning Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 Attention: Mr. Evan Neilsen, Planning Call Representative

Dear Evan,

LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers Communications Inc. (Rogers), is pleased to submit to you the captioned package for your review and processing.

FINAL SUBMISSION – Commercial Communications (Type C) Facility Application

Rogers File:	W4585B North Glenbow
Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
Address:	260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, AB
Coordinates:	Lat. 51.184605° N; Long. 114.373521° W

LandSolutions LP is following Rocky View County's Policy and Procedure Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL/PRO-#308) and Innovation and Science and Economic Development Canada's Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems CPC-2-0-03-i5. Per Rocky View County protocols, area landowners within 1,600 meters of a proposed Type C Facility were notified. In addition, we have notified the Bearspaw Glendale Community Association, Glenbow Ranch Foundation, area councillor, Member of Parliament and local ISED office. Also, invitations were sent by Rogers to other carriers to elicit interest in collocating on the proposed tower. Having completed public consultation, we respectfully request issuance of municipal concurrence for the proposed telecommunications facility.

The following attachments are included this this submission package:

- Cheque for payment of application fee
- Summary report and justification, following this cover letter
- Copy of the initial public notification provided to Rocky View County staff, sent on
- on July 25, 2019
- Copy of revised public notification provided to Rocky View County staff, sent on August 10, 2019
- Summary of public consultation (spreadsheet)
- Copies of all public feedback received
- Copies of response letters used throughout the process
- Transport Canada's Aeronautical Assessment Form for Obstruction Evaluation
- NAV Canada's letter of no objection
- Letter of Authorization
- Certificate of Title and non-financial caveats
- Information on proximity to gas wells, etc.
- Site Photos
- Preliminary design drawings
- Plan of Survey

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

nder of

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca



W4585 North Glenbow



SUMMARY REPORT AND JUSTIFICATION

Executive Summary

The proposed 70m tall telecommunications facility is located at 260016 Canning Lane, designated Agricultural Holding District. The subject lands include an existing residential dwelling (landlord's residence), unclassified ephemeral wetland areas and residual lands. The tower site was chosen with consideration of the landlord's existing and future use of their property, municipal setbacks to roads and provincial setbacks to highways. Roger's has endeavoured to meet setbacks to the best of their ability and within the constraints of its commercial and technical requirements. The proposed tower compound is approximately 45m to the Hwy. 1A RoW and the actual tower and equipment shelter would be greater than that distance. Initial discussions with AT indicated that a slight relaxation of their 50m setback from highway RoW would be possible, pending detailed review. A Roadside Development Permit was submitted to Alberta Transportation (AT) and we are awaiting their response. The closest portion of the proposed tower is approximately 5.6m from the Range Rd. 34 and the equipment shelter (1.8m x 2.4m walk in cabinet) is approximately 2m east. It's our understanding that a relaxation would be required from the County (req. 15m setback). We respectfully request the relaxation so as to lessen the impact upon the landlord's use and enjoyment of their property.

The proposed site was the only commercially reasonable and technically feasible location found, where a willing landlord would accommodate the proposed telecommunications facility and within the context of Roger's network and radiofrequency engineering requirements. For further information about the design and federal regulatory requirements, please refer to the attached public notification and response letters.

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.





The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)

Geographic coordinates: 51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation





Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation



4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like.




Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation

Artist's Rendering



View to the South from approximately 250m North of the proposed





View to the Northwest from approximately 445m Southeast of the proposed

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.





(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.







5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

(c) Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.



¹



In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting or markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>



² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

B-1 Page 40 of 204

Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Proposed Telecommunications Facility September 19, 2019

(C) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

Summary of Public Consultation

Notification packages were provided to Rocky View County on July 24, 2019 and later revised and provided to County staff for renotification on August 10, 2019. Notifications were sent to 88 landowners identified by County staff as residing within a 1,600m consultation radius. The end of the public comment period was August 15th and September 9th respectively. In addition, notification packages were provided to the County, including the area Councillor (Div. 9), MP, ISED, Bearspaw Glendale Community Association and Glenbow Ranch Foundation. In addition, invitations for interest in collocating on the proposed tower were sent to other carriers (no responses received at time of writing this report). Ten (10) area landowners responded in writing and their response letters are included in this submission package. One (1) landowner is supportive of the proposed tower and nine (9) are opposed. Public concerns included:

- Questions relating to the location proposed and why alternative locations are not possible
- · Aesthetics of the tower and impact to resident's view of the mountains & countryside
- Property value & marketability impacts
- Health concerns
- Need for the facility
- Contesting the accuracy of the photo-simulation provided in the notification packages
- Questions relating to the process and policies followed and timing over summer
- Concern and questions as to how the tower is compatible with Agricultural Holding District and the Glenbow Ranch ASP
- Concern with the tower site being located within the Springbank Airport's approach plane
- Environmental concern, light pollution, questioned by no assessment required
- Proposed tower would block the ability of one resident to fly their helicopter to and from their property

Summary of Responses to the Public

Co-Location & Sharing Existing Structures:

Rogers has considered co-location of its equipment on existing nearby structures; however, could not find any suitable locations. The closest existing tower, a 45m tall AltaLink tower, located approximately 875m to the east of the current proposal was investigated for co-location. This tower is part of AltaLink's critical communications network. Space on this tower is required for AltaLink's network reliability enhancement plans. This is in support of AltaLink's obligations as a Transmission Facility Owner under the Independent System Operator Alberta Reliability Standards. We contacted AltaLink about the potential for sharing the tower, but were informed that there is no available space for Roger's equipment.

One resident suggested building a second tower on the same site as the existing AltaLink tower. Based on our past experience this would not be feasible for the following reasons:

- possible interference with guy wires
- possible interference or ghosting of radio signal
- highly unlikely to gain support from AltaLink (based on previous interactions)
- a portion of those lands and adjacent lands to the north and east are outside of Roger's search area, which means locating there would have a detrimental impact on the ability to enhance wireless connectivity to the area





The proposed tower is the best option for balancing technical challenges, commercial feasibility and to enhance wireless connectivity to the area.

Rogers endeavours to share existing towers, buildings and other support structures whenever possible and has business agreements with other telecommunications companies to facilitate co-location on their own towers. Although co-location on an existing structure was not feasible at this time, Rogers welcomes co-location on the proposed tower.

Location

The proposed tower location was chosen in response to increased demand for wireless services and to improve both coverage and capacity of the Rogers network. Additional telecommunications facilities are needed to ensure the delivery of fast and reliable wireless services. The proposed tower would address the growing coverage and capacity challenges that our modern society faces as people and machines become increasingly dependent upon wireless communication.

Roger's Network Planning Department issued a search area map along with direction to find a site that would enhance coverage and improve wireless service. The search area is focused on lands along Highway 1A, which include agricultural, road Right of Way and residential uses. A minimum height of 70m is required to satisfy network requirements.

The following factors affect site selection:

- Wireless radiocommunication facilities have inherent limitations in their broadcasting range
- Telecommunications facilities need to be close to wireless users
- Sites are determined in conjunction with existing and planned network facilities
- Co-location on existing towers or buildings was not feasible
- Developments in the area provide physical obstacles (walls of the buildings, trees, etc.) that hinder the strength of radio signals emitted by cellular antennas
- There is a growing number of users that simultaneously use the wireless network, resulting in capacity challenges for existing telecommunications facilities and necessitating the addition of more facilities
- The public and businesses (e.g. point-of-sale transactions) increasingly demand ubiquitous, highspeed, low latency and reliable wireless service

LandSolutions' reviewed the search area and contacted landowners for the most practical locations. Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308) discourages telecommunications facility development in residential lands. Most of the lands within the search area are residential, whereas the proposed site location is designated as Agricultural Holdings District (AH). The current proposed location was chosen in part because it provides a greater separation from most residential properties in the area. Locations outside of Roger's search area were not explored, as this site meets Rogers coverage objectives for this search area.

The current proposed location is a preferred location per County policy, and we believe this is the best location possible that balances competing interests, policy, political sensitivities and satisfies technical, and network requirements.

Aeronautical Approvals

Proponents of telecommunications facilities must send proposals to Transport Canada and NAV CANADA. Transport Canada performs an assessment with respect to the potential hazard to air navigation and notifies proponents of any painting or lighting requirements for the facility. NAV CANADA will comment on whether the proposal has an impact on the provision of their national air navigation system, facilities and other services located off-airport (Sec. 7.5, CPC-2-0-03).







The Transport Canada Aeronautical Assessment Form for Obstruction Evaluation assesses whether the proposed facility complies with applicable Airport Zoning Regulations (e.g. Springbank Airport) and identifies marking or lighting requirements to ensure aviation safety. Transport Canada determined that the proposed tower requires marking and/or lighting per Standard 621. As discussed in the below section, Rogers may use lighting in lieu of paint. In addition, Transport Canada confirmed on September 12th by telephone that the proposed tower is below the Springbank Airport approach plane.

We have yet to receive NAV CANADA's approval; however, it is unlikely that there will be a conflict with the national air navigation system as the proposed facility is approximately 8km north of the Springbank Airport.

Aesthetic Concerns

Regarding the aesthetics of the proposed tower, the tower height is needed for optimum antenna placement and broadcast of radiocommunication, which requires line of sight to the devices served by the antennas. The tower location provides a buffer to most nearby residential properties. The buffer is only intended to minimize the aesthetic impact that a tall tower would have upon nearby low-height residences. Lowering the height of the tower would negatively impact Roger's ability to enhance service to the area and may result in additional telecommunications facilities being needed in the area. The design of the tower is called a lattice-style self-support tower, which is needed, due to the technical requirements of the facility. Aesthetically, the design of a lattice tower offers transparency between the individual structural elements, as opposed to a solid structure and will blend better into the surrounding environment.

As introduced in the above section, Transportation Canada requires lighting or marking of the tower to ensure the safety of aviators. Aeronautical lighting may be used in place of painting the tower. This typically includes a dual flashing red/white medium intensity lighting system used for daytime (white) & night-time (red). The light fixtures are shielded from the ground to reduce the nuisance to nearby properties. Below are two additional photo-simulations of the proposed tower, which illustrate a grey structure compared to a painted orange and white striped structure.

The photo-simulations provided in an above section are an artist's rendering of the proposed tower; however, take into consideration the scale of the existing environment compared to the location where the photograph was taken.

Property Value

Many factors influence property values, including location (e.g. proximity to amenities), land area (lot size), age of the building, interior space, supply & demand, aesthetics, redevelopment and investment potential. We have learned from our interaction with the public that many home buyers seek out neighbourhoods that have exceptional wireless coverage, as many people work from home and depend on a reliable wireless network (i.e. voice & internet services) to conduct business. In addition, many people rely exclusively on mobile telephones for wireless data and voice service and appreciate the security of having improved access to emergency services.

At the time of writing this letter, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) considers property value concerns to be irrelevant per CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2. This is because research to date has been inconclusive in showing a relationship between property value resulting from proximity to telecommunications facilities.





Need for the facility

Rogers would not propose a considerably expensive infrastructure project if there was no need for improved wireless service in the area. Network planning and radiofrequency engineering analyses are confidential and cannot be shared with the public. Per ISED's CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2 concerns relating to the need for a facility is considered unreasonable.

Remuneration

Questions regarding financial remuneration for the facility is confidential information, subject to the Privacy Act of Canada.

Engineering Practices and Structural Adequacy

The proposed tower will be designed and constructed according to good engineering practices and structural adequacy that includes wind loading. At the time of writing this letter Rogers has performed a study of wind patterns over the past ten years and the study included recommendations relating to the loading impact for the proposed structure.

<u>Health Canada's Defective Link</u> Thank you for alerting us to this defective link. The revised link is: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/pubs/radiation/radio_guide-lignes_direct-eng.php.</u>

Health and Safety

All radiocommunication sites in Canada must comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 (SC6) (2015), which establishes safety limits for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields for all age groups on a continuous basis. The limits consider total exposure from all sources of radiofrequency energy and incorporates large margins of safety. The code is based on peer-reviewed scientific research and is consistent with the science-based standards used in other parts of the world, including the United States, the European Union, Japan, Australia and New Zealand. The code is periodically revised to reflect new knowledge and was last updated in 2015 to incorporate scientific literature published up to August 2014.

Health Canada recognizes that a few international jurisdictions (cities, provinces or countries) have applied more restrictive limits to radiofrequency field exposures from cell towers; however, there is no scientific basis to support the need for such restrictive limits. In addition, these more restrictive limits aren't applied equally to other wireless devices operating within the same jurisdictions. For more information on SC6, please refer to this link: <u>https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/radiation/understanding-safety-code-6.html</u>

ISED manages the radio communications spectrum in Canada and enforces Safety Code 6 compliance. Rogers performs radiofrequency energy analyses of its equipment and reports to ISED to ensure SC6 compliance throughout the lifetime of the telecommunications facility. Several websites are listed at the end of this letter that detail the measures Rogers, ISED and Health Canada undertake to ensure public safety.

Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan (ASP)

The proposed tower site is located within Build Area G, which is an area intended for a variety of land uses that are ancillary and complementary to hamlet residential development (incl. commercial, institutional, public utilities, etc.) The most relevant policy statement in this ASP is Section 20.21 of Shallow Utilities and Commercial Communications, which states "Commercial Communications Facilities shall be located within the *Build Areas*; not within *Conservation Areas*" (P. 79, Glenbow Ranch ASP). The proposed tower site is defined as a Commercial Communications Facility – Type C and is supported





by the ASP. It will also be an important part of the infrastructure required to support future developments and ensure wireless network reliability now and in the future.

Federal and Municipal Policies

Proposed telecommunications facilities are subject to federal and municipal policies that relate to siting and other considerations. The Minister of Industry and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) have the sole authority for approving proposed radiocommunication/telecommunications facilities in Canada, which derives from Section 5 of the Radiocommunication Act. Their policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems (CPC-2-0-03) provides policy guidance and process that proponents must follow. This includes consulting with a local Land Use Authority (i.e. Rocky View County) and following their policies related to the siting of telecommunications facilities. While it is true that ISED has the ultimate decision-making authority, we must consult with Rocky View County and the public following their policy, titled Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities. This public consultation process and the proposed location respects local policy guidelines, while understanding the inherent challenges to finding feasible locations to accommodate important telecommunications infrastructure within Rocky View County. For more information, please refer to the following links:

- <u>https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf08777.html</u>
- <u>https://www.rockyview.ca/Portals/0/Files/BuildingPlanning/Development/DP-Package-</u> Telecommunication-Facilities.pdf

Impact to nearby Heliport

One resident informed us that the proposed tower would make it impossible for him to access his property with a helicopter. Through discussions with the landowner, it was found that the heliport was unregistered, which explains why the site was not identified by Transport Canada during their hazard assessment. Regarding the impact to the heliport, it is our understanding that aircraft often can manoeuvre around tower sites and that Transport Canada's lighting or marking requirements ensure that a tower site is visible to aviators. In addition, no caveats were found on the subject land indicating that this or any nearby landowner has a registered interest protecting the airspace of the landlord's property. Therefore, it's our understanding that the landlord is entitled to the use and development of their land, subject to development approvals. In addition to the response letter sent to the owner of the unregistered heliport, LandSolutions called the nearby landowner on September 18, 2019 to inform him of Roger's intent and need to move forward with the proposed tower development.

Conclusion and Request for Concurrence

New telecommunications facilities are needed to keep pace with consumer demand for wireless service. Roger's proposal takes into consideration technical constraints, network requirements and is designed with respect to the local environment. The proposed facility will provide enhanced wireless coverage and capacity to the area, which will benefit residents, businesses, institutions, and improve access to emergency services. The proposed facility will adhere to all federal health and safety requirements. Rogers has performed significant effort to find a technically feasible location that respects federal and local policies. Our modern society expects high quality, fast and reliable wireless telecommunications services. The proposed facility would ensure the reliability and performance of Roger's network.

Having completed public consultation, we respectfully request issuance of a Letter of Concurrence to support Roger's objectives to enhance wireless connectivity to the area.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.





Brdn &

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca



W4585 abadata 1

B-1 Page 46 of 204



AbaLata Page 48 of 347

W4585 abadata 2

B-1 Page 47 of 204



Page 49 of 347



LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION

Date:

May 13,2019

To:

WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Legal Description:

Plan 2374JK; Block A (Ptn. SW 4-26-3 W5M)

I/We, Vi Luong Truong and Ka Man Leung, as owners of the above-mentioned property, hereby give Rogers Communications Inc. and its agents permission to act as our agent to acquire the necessary permits, drawings and/or buildings structural blue-prints, hydro information from the public utility and information from the municipality or other authorities concerned, needed to approve the construction of the telecommunications site at the address indicated above.

Sincerely,

Vi Luong Truong

Man Leung

Rogers' File: W4585A North Glenbow

Agenda Page 50 of 347







		Summary					
Roll Number		06704007					
Legal Desc		SW-04-26-03-W05M					
Divison		09					
Lot Block Plan		Block:A Plan:2374 JK					
Linc Number		35669100					
Title Number		191052380					
Parcel Area		6.90000					
Municipal Address		260016 CANNING LANE					
Contact Information		Truong, Vi Luong					
		Cochrane AB T4C 1A2					
Land Use Information	ı	AH (AGRICULTURAL HOLDING)					
		Plan					
Planning Applica {There is no related A		1					
Area Structure PI	an						
Plan Name	an	RV Number					
	an	RV Number					
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch Conceptual Sche	me						
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch	me						
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch Conceptual Sche	me						
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch Conceptual Sche	me						
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch Conceptual Sche {There is no related C Building Permit Permit Number Permit	me Conceptual Scheme} ermit Type	Permit Date Issued					
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch Conceptual Sche {There is no related C Building Permit Permit Number Permit	me Conceptual Scheme}	Permit					
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch Conceptual Sche {There is no related C Building Permit Permit Number Per 1998-BP-12270 Ba Development Per	me Conceptual Scheme) ermit Type uilding mit Information	Permit Date Issued Fri Jun 26, 1998					
Plan Name Glenbow Ranch Conceptual Sche {There is no related C Building Permit Permit Number Per 1998-BP-12270 Br	me Conceptual Scheme} ermit Type uilding mit Information Da	Permit Date Issued					

Alert

ps://parcelinfo.mdrockyview.ab.ca/app/PrintFriendly.aspx?EntityUID=06704007_260016 CANNING LANE 3. of 347

						B-1	
		Monday	,Monday			Page 52 of 204	0:
PDEV	SandraK		August 27, 2018			Deemed Stamp of Compliance issued 1	
PDEV	JohnsonK	Friday, August 16, 2019		0		The County received emails in regards to a proposed telecommuncation facility on the subject property. However the County has yet to receive any permit and/or notice about the proposed facility as of 1 August 16, 2019. Development Assistants will create a folder to keep track of all the letter submissions in the meantime.	
					Geosp	patial Boundary	
Boundary	/					Category	
Division						9	
Area Strue	cture Plan					Glenbow Ranch	
Conceptu	al Scheme					No Conceptual Scheme	
IDP						No IDP	
Airport Vir	ncinity					No APVA	
Engineer						Milan Patel	
Water Co	ор					ROCKY VIEW WATER CO-OP LTD.	
Gas Coop	Service					ATCO GAS	
No.of Lots	s Within 600 M					23	
No.of App	Subdiv Within	600 M				0	
Develope	d Road Allowa	nce				Yes	
Riparian A	Area					Yes	
School						No School Boundary	
Recreatio	n					Bearspaw-Glendale	
Fire Distri	ct					BEARSPAW	
Primary F	ire Station					103	
Secondar	y Fire Station					151	

Tertiary Fire Station 102 Agenda ps://parcelinfo.mdrockyview.ab.ca/app/PrintFriendly.aspx?EntityUID=06704007_260016 CANNING LANE 3470

		Page 53 of 204
Boundary	Category	Distance
Closest Highway	HWY1A ROCKYVIEW	14.72
Closest Gravel Pit	Cochrane Pit	5818.59 M
Sour Gas	No Sour Gas Pipe passes	From closest sour pipe:14534.86 M
Closest Road Name	CANNING LN(Surface Type:Gravel)	9.85 M
Closest Railway	CPR	2487.8 M
Closest Western Irrigation Districts	Within 10Km	
Closest Waste Water Treatment	Within 10Km	5918.12 M
Closest Waste Transfer Site	Within 3 Km	
Closest Municipality	TOWN OF COCHRANE	3264.77 M
Closest Confined Feeding Operation		9229.01 M

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

W4585B – North Glenbow

PHOTOGRAPH # 1



PHOTOGRAPH # 2



Agenda Page 56 of 347



SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPH # 3



PHOTOGRAPH # 4



SITE PHOTOGRAPHS





PHOTOGRAPH #6



3 Agenda Page 58 of 347

PHOTOGRAPH # 5

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPH # 7



PHOTOGRAPH # 8



Agenda Page 59 of 347

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPH # 9



Agenda Page 60 of 347

B-1

PageA58cofT20

bythee



Serving a world in motion **navcanada.ca**

September 10, 2019

Your file W4585B Our file 19-2523

Ms. Karly Cussigh Rogers Communications Inc. 500 4th Ave SW, Suite 700 Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

RE: Communication: Self-support Tower - Rocky View County, AB (N51° 11' 04.578" W114° 22' 24.674" / 278.8714' AGL / 4608.1037' AMSL)

Ms. Cussigh,

NAV CANADA has evaluated the captioned proposal and has no objection to the project as submitted. A new submission is required for any cranes that will be used in the construction of this tower.

In the interest of aviation safety, it is incumbent on NAV CANADA to maintain up-to-date aeronautical publications. **To assist us in that end, we ask that you notify us upon completion of construction.** This notification requirement can be satisfactorily met by returning a completed, signed copy of the attached form by e-mail at <u>landuse@navcanada.ca</u> or fax at 613-248-4094. In the event that you should decide not to proceed with this project or if the structure is dismantled, please advise us accordingly so that we may formally close the file.

If you have any questions, contact the Land Use Department by telephone at 1-866-577-0247 or e-mail at <u>landuse@navcanada.ca</u>.

NAV CANADA's land use evaluation is valid for a period of 12 months. Our assessment is limited to the impact of the proposed physical structure on the air navigation system and installations; it neither constitutes nor replaces any approvals or permits required by Transport Canada, other Federal Government departments, Provincial or Municipal land use authorities or any other agency from which approval is required. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada addresses any spectrum management issues that may arise from your proposal and consults with NAV CANADA engineering as deemed necessary.

This document contains information proprietary to NAV CANADA. Any disclosure or use of this information or any reproduction of this document for other than the specific purpose for which it is intended is expressly prohibited except as NAV CANADA may otherwise agree in writing.

Olivier Meier | NAV CANADA Manager – AIM Land Use

cc NOPR - Northern and Prairie Region, Transport Canada (2019-735) CYBW - SPRINGBANK CKC4 - K. COFFEY RESIDENCE (HELI)

Z-LDU-105 Version 19.3

5 April 2019

Agenda Page 61 of 347



Serving a world in motion Au service d'un monde en mouvement www.navcanada.ca

	File Info	rmation							
NC File No	TC File No		nt File No						
19-2523	2019-735	W4585B							
1601 To Ottawa E-mail :	ANADA, Land Use om Roberts Ave. , ON K1V 1E5 Ianduse@navcanada.ca 3-248-4094	From: Ms. Karly Cussigh Rogers Communications Inc. 500 4th Ave SW, Suite 700 Calgary, AB T2P 2V6							
	Nearest town:	Rocky View County, AB							
	Latitude (N)	N51° 11' 04.578"							
	Longitude (W)	W114° 22' 24.674"							
Site Information:	Ground (above sea level)	4329.2323	ft						
	Structure Height (above ground level)	278.8714	ft						
	Total Height (above sea level)	4608.1037	ft						
	Constructio	on Timeline							
	In the interest of aviation safety, NAV CANADA must be notified upon completion of construction. Please enter the date of completion of construction in the space provided below along with the lighting and marking information (as required by								
Construction com	pletion date:								
Estimated removal (if temporary structu									
	Structure Lighting	g and/or Marking							
navigation require m	ss of their height, that have been assessed arking and/or lighting in accordance with th ed to meet the standards specified in <u>CAR 6</u>	e CARs (Canadian Aviation Re							
Structure is lighted	d: Yes	No							
Structure is marke	d: Yes	No 🗌							
I hereby certify that herein to be true and	the location, height/elevation, construction of accurate.	dates, as well as lighting and ma	arking information contained						
Name		Signature							
Title		Date							
	`								
	OFFICE U	SE ONLY:							
	PD - Edmonton FIR No	Advise AIS: Yes							

September 10, 2019

B-1 Page 61 of 204



Transport Transports Canada Canada

AERONAUTICAL ASSESSMENT FORM FOR OBSTRUCTION EVALUATION

Transport Canada number	
2019-735	
Applicant number	
W4585B	

SECTION 1									
Owner's Name			Contact Perso	on					
Rogers Communications	Karly Cussigh								
Address									
700, 500 - 4th Avneue	SW								
City		Province						Postal Co	de
Calgary	Alberta						T2P 2V	6	
Telephone number (999-999-9999) Fax number (999-999-9999) Email Address									
403-730-2991	403-730-2971	abmwaco	uisition@	rci	.rogers.	com			
ECTION 2									
Applicant's Name			Contact Perso	on					
LandSolutions LP			David Zad	che	r				
Address	*								N
Suite 600, 322 11 Ave	SW								
City		Province						Postal Coo	le
Calgary		Alberta						T2R OC	
Telephone number (999-999-9999)	Fax number (999-999-9999)	Email Addre						ILA UC.	-
403-290-0008	403-290-0050		landsolut	ion					
ECTION 3	405-250-0050	uaviuze	Tanusorut.	.100	10.0a				
Description of Proposal (or as attach	(her			_					
85m Self Support Teleo		er							
ECTION 4								-	
Geographic Coordinates 🗸 NAD	83 NAD27 WGS8	34	N Latitude d	lea	51	min	11	sec	04.578
For multiple structures in a grouping, seperate spreadsheet (e.g. windfarm	submit geographical coordinat		W Latitude			min	22	sec	
ECTION 5				-					
Nearest Community			Province						
Rocky View County			Alberta						
ECTION 6									
Nearest Aerodrome									
Spring Bank Airport									
ECTION 7									
Have you contacted the aerodrome?									
O Yes Ø No									
ECTION 8									
Notice of									
<u> </u>	e to existing structure								
ECTION 9									
Ouration Ouration Tempo	brary								
6-0427E (1412-05)	and the state of the second	3						(anad
age 1 of 4								C	anadä
								_	Age
								P	age 63 of

B-1 Page 62 of 204

Transport Canada number

SECTION 10 Proposed Construction Date Beginning (yyyy-mm-dd) 2019-12-24 **SECTION 11 Temporary Structure** From date (yyyy-mm-dd) To date (yyyy-mm-dd) **SECTION 12** Marking and Lighting Proposed (refer to Standard 621) Red and M.I. white lights White M.I. lights Red lights and paint No painting White H.I. lights Red and H.I. white lights Paint marking only Other (provide description) ✓ No lighting **SECTION 13 Visual Inspection** Remote indicator Monitoring to Standard 621, article 4.7 **SECTION 14** Catenary/Cable Crossing Shore markers Cable marker spheres Paint supporting structures Cable marker lights Support structure lighting Structure with an addition **SECTION 15** Feet Metres Structure alon 1319.55 A Ground Elevation (AMSL) B B Height of an addition to a structure С 85 С Total structure height including B (AGL) 1404.55 Overall height (A plus C) (AMSL) **SECTION 16** Does the proposal comply with Airport Zoning Regulations? Yes No O N/A Where the location of the object is on lands affected by Airport Zoning Regulations, a legal survey is required with the submital. I hereby certify that all the above statements made by me are true, complete and correct to the best of my knowledge. Also, I agree to mark and/or light and maintain the structure with established marking and lighting standards as necessary. Brandon Virginillo Name of person filing notice 2019-06-25 Date (yyyy-mm-dd) Signature TRANSPORT CANADA ASSESSMENT Marking and lighting required (as per Standard 621) Temporary Lighting Required No Lighting or marking required X Marking Required X Lighting Required Comments (Transport Canada use Only) To ensure Aviation Safety mark and light as per Standard 621 Completion of this form does not constitute authorization for construction nor replace other approvals or permits. See instruction D and E. Date (yyyy-mm-dd Signature **Civil Aviation Inspector** 2019-07-02 Marilyn King

Note 1: This assessment expires 18 months from the date of assessment unless extended, revised, or terminated by the issuing office. Note 2: If there is a change to the intended installation, a new submittal is required.

Canada

Page 64 of 347

Agenda

Page 2 of 4

26-0427E (1412-05)



51°11'04.6"N 114°22'24.7"W

W4585_North Glenbow_Truong & Leung



Imagery ©2019 CNES / Airbus, DigitalGlobe, S. Alberta MD's and Counties 100 m



51°11'04.6"N 114°22'24.7"W

51.184605, -114.373521

C



Range Rd 34, Cochrane, AB T4C 0B8

5JMG+RH Cochrane, Alberta

. https://www.google.com/maps/place/51°11'04.6"N+114°22'24.7"W/@51.1846083,-114.3757097,1180m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m5!3m4!1s0x0:0x0!8m2!3d51... Agenda Page 65 of 347 6/21/2019

atlas.gc.ca/gcviz-1.4.1/gcviz/print/defaultSave-eng.html

Toporama



atlas.gc.ca/gcviz-1.4.1/gcviz/print/defaultSave-eng.html

1/2



TRANSPORT TRANSPORTS CANADA CANADA

Construction Progress Notification

C File No TC # 2018-	NavCan	Ρ	Proponent File No								
Lighting / Marking Required		(Chec	<mark>k one</mark>)		Ye	S		No		
То		I	From								
Transport Canada											
Attn.: Terry Stewart											
1100-9700 Jasper Avenue Edmonton, AB, T5J4E6											
Edmonton, AB, 1554E6											
Fax: 780-495-5190		Fax:									
Email: terry.stewart@tc.gc.ca		Email	•								
		Linai	•								
Site Information											
Nearest City/Town/Community											
Province / Territory Latitude (N)											
Longitude (W)											
Ground Elevation (m)(ft)											
Structure Height (m)(ft)											
Type (tower, bldg, flare stack, windfarm, pow	ver line etc.)										
	Construction P	rogroco	Timo	lino							
Construction Not Started	Within One Yea	ar of the	e Asse	essme	nt or	Proied	ct Ca	ncell	ed		
New Aeronautical Assessment Form		Yes			No				neck c	ne)	
Date New Sent to TC								\ -		- /	
Person Notifying TC											
Project Cancellation Sent to TC		Yes			No			(Cł	neck c	ne)	
Date Project Cancelled Sent											
Person Notifying TC	Constru	atiana Cu	- ut								
Construction Start Date	Construe	ction St	an								
Date Sent to TC											
Person Notifying TC											
		0									
Construction Completed Date	Constructio	n Com	pleted								
Date Sent to TC											
Person Notifying TC											
	-	0									
Estimated Removal Date	Temporar	y Struc	ture								
Date Sent to TC											
Person Notifying TC											
Actual Removed Date											
Date Sent to TC											
Person Notifying TC											
	For TC		าไห								
Date Open			пу								
Assessment Valid To Date											
Assessment Cancelled Date											
RDIMS No											
ccmM No											
Date Closed											
Comments											

Agenda Page 67 of 347



September 18, 2019

TELUS Communications Company 3030 – 2nd Ave SE Calgary, AB T2A 5N7

RE: PROPOSAL TO CO-LOCATE WITH ROGERS COMMUNICATIONS INC. ROGERS FILE: W4585 North Glenbow LOCATION: Block A; Plan 2374JK

Rogers Communications Inc. is in the process of completing the site acquisition process for the above proposed site in order to enhance wireless coverage in the area. A site has been acquired for the purpose of building a new telecommunications tower.

Local land use authorities require telecommunication carriers' site-share whenever technically feasible. Rogers Communications Inc. therefore wishes to confirm if other carriers hold an interest in co-locating at the above site.

Address:	Block A; Plan 2374JK
Coordinates:	Lat. N 51.184605 Long. W -114.373521
Proposed Tower Configuration:	Self Support / Next Avaliable Height: TBC
Estimated Construction Start:	ТВС

If this site location is compatible with the requirements of your network plan, and a co-location is of interest, please sign the below in acknowledgement. Efforts to complete the approvals for the site will then continue with the understanding that another carrier will be joining Rogers Communications Inc. in sharing the tower site.

Please forward your confirmation and any questions or comments in this regard no later than October 2, 2019 in order to continue to progress with the project in a timely manner. Please complete the attached application form and return to our office via e-mail to <u>abmwacquisition@rci.rogers.com</u> no later than April 5, 2012.

ROGERS COMMUNICATIONS INC.

#700, 500 – 4 Ave. S.W. Calgary, AB T2P 2V6 Tel: 403.730.2600 Fax: 403.730.2997



Sincerly,

AB MW Acquisition Rogers Communications Inc.

By signing below I acknowledge a co-location is of interest.	Please contact me to discuss.
Namo	
Name: Title:	
Company Name:	
Dato	
Date: Site Ref #:	

I decline to co-locate at this site.

Name:

Title:

Company Name:

Date:_____

Please forward the signed letter to abmwacquisition@rci.rogers.com.

ROGERS COMMUNICATIONS INC.

#700, 500 – 4 Ave. S.W. Calgary, AB T2P 2V6 Tel: 403.730.2600 Fax: 403.730.2997

Consultation Summary - W45	585 North (Glenbow							
				End of					
Tania	Nome	Data	Natas	Response					Page 68 of 204
Торіс	Name Rocky	Date	Notes	Period					
	View				Additional discussions and correspondence between LS & RVC				
Pre-Consultation	County	6/14/2019	Initial discussions & feedback	N/A	throughout public consultation process; tel. call 9/11 w Ms. Xin Deng				
Public Notification Sent		2019-07-24 & 2019-8-10	sent to RVC to mail to area residents	2019-08-15 & Sep 9th	Revised notification with corrections sent 8/10, revised end of public comment period to 9/10				
Newspaper Ad.	N/A	2015-07-24 & 2015-8-10	sent to RVC to mail to area residents	Sep Still					
Open House (if applicable)	N/A								
LUA & Other Groups									
Name	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Address	Notice Sent	Response Date	Concerns	Acknowledged	Responded	Note
Nume			Address	Notice Sent		concerns	Acknowledged	nesponded	
									9/11 Tel. call updates and next steps,
	(403) 520-			25 1 1 4 0					discussed heliport, questioned
LUA - Xin Deng	3911	XDeng@rockyview.ca ic.spectrumcalgary-		25-Jul-19	revised notification & response letter sent 8/10				rebuil/extend existing tower
ISED - Southern AB Office		calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca		25-Jul-19	revised notification sent 8/10				
									info.blc@bearspawlc.ca'
•									(info.blc@bearspawlc.ca) was
Community Association	502	admin@bearspawlc.ca	253220 Bearspaw Road Calgary, Alberta T3L 2	25-Jul-19	revised notification sent 8/10				rejected upon emailing (not found)
Glenbow Ranch Foundation		experienceglenbow@grpf.ca			revised notification sent 8/10				
MP - Blake Richards		blake.richards@parl.gc.ca	1	25-Jul-19	revised notification sent 8/10				1
Councillor - Crystal Kissel,									1
Div 9		Ckissel@rockyview.ca		25-Jul-19	revised notification sent 8/10				
Inivitation to Colocate sent									Rogers handles communication to
to other carriers				18-Sep-19					other carriers. LS requested 9/18
					LS contacted with questions - TC response telephone conversation Sep 13th (Drew Barnes, Civil Aviation Safety Inspector - Aerodromes				
					and Air Navigation:				
Transport Canada - Drew					1. springbank airport approach - tower requires lighting or marking				
Barnes, Civil Aviation					and is located below the flight approach (no issue)				
Safety Inspector -					2. nearby unregistered heliport - unless there is a caveat/easement				
Aerodromes and Air Navigation	780-495- 5640	drew.barnes@tc.gc.ca		N/A	on title of subject parcel, no impact to subect landlord's ability to use their land				
Public Feedback	5040	diew.barnes@te.ge.ea		N/A					
				.				End of Counter	
				Date					
Name	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Address	Date Received	Concerns	Acknowledged	Responded	Response Period	Note
Name	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Address		need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies),	Acknowledged	Responded		Note
Name	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Address		need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of	Acknowledged	Responded		Note
Name	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Address		need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies),	Acknowledged	Responded		Note
	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail			need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will	Acknowledged	Responded		included 2nd (revised) notification
Eric Carstens and Anita	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Address Kingston, ON	Received	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas			Response Period	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON	Received 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A	08-Aug	10-Aug	Response Period	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail		Received	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas			Response Period	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON	Received 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A	08-Aug	10-Aug	Response Period	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON	Received 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A	08-Aug	10-Aug	Response Period	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach,	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location,	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, 1st Letter - aesthetics (view of mountains), RVC councillors away on	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location,	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, summer break in August and unable to consult with respective	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, 1st Letter - aesthetics (view of mountains), RVC councillors away on summer break in August and unable to consult with respective councillors, Springbank Airport hasn't been notified and statement that TC approved is misleading (only applies to markineg requirements), tower is within approach surface of airport, missing	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, 1st Letter - aesthetics (view of mountains), RVC councillors away on summer break in August and unable to consult with respective councillors, Springbank Airport hasn't been notified and statement that TC approved is misleading (only applies to markineg requirements), tower is within approach surface of airport, missing diagram, messaging about ISED decision-making viewed as a bully	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, 1st Letter - aesthetics (view of mountains), RVC councillors away on summer break in August and unable to consult with respective councillors, Springbank Airport hasn't been notified and statement that TC approved is misleading (only applies to markineg requirements), tower is within approach surface of airport, missing diagram, messaging about ISED decision-making viewed as a bully tactic, colocation and why not make altalink tower structurally	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, 1st Letter - aesthetics (view of mountains), RVC councillors away on summer break in August and unable to consult with respective councillors, Springbank Airport hasn't been notified and statement that TC approved is misleading (only applies to markingg requirements), tower is within approach surface of airport, missing diagram, messaging about ISED decision-making viewed as a bully tactic, colocation and why not make altalink tower structurally capable or use that site?; old SC6 link not valid/doesn't work; concern	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with
Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs Orvel Miskiw	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	Received 08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AltaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, 1st Letter - aesthetics (view of mountains), RVC councillors away on summer break in August and unable to consult with respective councillors, Springbank Airport hasn't been notified and statement that TC approved is misleading (only applies to markineg requirements), tower is within approach surface of airport, missing diagram, messaging about ISED decision-making viewed as a bully tactic, colocation and why not make altalink tower structurally	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with health response
Eric Carstens and Anita	Tel. Nbr.	E-Mail	Kingston, ON 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B	08-Aug-19 08-Aug-19 15-Aug-19	need (no documentation supporting claim of wireless deficiencies), questioned remuneration to landlord, location and lack of documentation supporting this location, why not on lands north of Hwy 1A?; 45m AttaLink tower-why no colocation?; extend tower?; missing diagram showing antennas, property value, 5G small cells will replace towers in near future, should move/focus on populated areas to the north of HWY 1A None, supportive aesthetics, property value, health, need 2nd letter - photosim inaccurate & misrepresents tower, no consultation with Springbank Airport & within flight approach, questioned TC approval, notifications over summer, misrepresent federal policy, mountain view, aesthetics, questioned rebuilding altalink tower or build second/replacement tower, location-move to 2.5km south in commercial ASP location, 1st Letter - aesthetics (view of mountains), RVC councillors away on summer break in August and unable to consult with respective councillors, Springbank Airport hasn't been notified and statement that TC approved is misleading (only applies to markineg requirements), tower is within approach surface of airport, missing diagram, messaging about ISED decision-making viewed as a bully tactic, colocation and why not make altalink tower structurally capable or use that site?; old SC6 link not valid/doesn't work; concern with structural adequacy - if it accounts for wind velocity; locatd in	08-Aug yes	10-Aug 14-Aug 16-Aug	Response Period N/A N/A	included 2nd (revised) notification and explanation in email, copied RVC on email included revised notification tel. call 8/16 and email 8/15 resent revised response letter with

					B-1
		aesthetics, environmental, health; view of mountaints, light pollution, disturbed that environmental assessment is not required, health-full			Page 69 of 204
		time exposure in uncontrolled environment with second source of RF			Fage 05 01 204
103 - 100 Gran <u>de Blvd</u>		from nearby existing tower, cited uncertainty of RF impacts	No, responded		
Cochrane, AB	15-Aug-19	associated with cell phone use applies to proposed antennas	instead	16-Aug	
					9/10 sent request for clarification to
		location of tower blocks his ability to fly helicopters in and out of his			Transport Canada
260078 Glendale Rd.	18-Aug-19	land/unregistered heliport	yes	9/17/2019	9/18 tel. call with resident
		aesthetics, impede view of mountaints, property values, difficulty to	No, responded		
30 Glendale Estates Manor	09-Sep-19	sell, additional consultation, location	instead	9/17/2019	
			No, responded		
2 Glendale Estates Manor	06-Sep-19	property value, mountain view, aesthetics, location	instead	9/17/2019	
			No, responded		
26 Glendale Estates Manor			instead	9/17/2019	
		aesthetics/mountain view, property value, location move 500m east,	No, responded		
18 Glendale Estates Manor	27-Aug-19	no service issues, airport approach	instead	9/17/2019	

Agenda Page 71 of 347

			ROGGEF		SITE PHOTO
SHEET	DESCRIPTION	REV	ROGERS SITE #: W4585	ROGERS CONSTRUCTION MANAGER	1
T-1	TITLE SHEET	1	ROGERS SITE NAME: NORTH GLENBOW	NAME: MATTHEW DOWNTON CONTACT: MATTHEW.DOWNTON@RCI.ROGERS.COM	
C-1	AREA PLAN	0	APPLICATION FILE #: TBD	LANDSOLUTIONS LP ADMINISTRATOR NAME: DAVID ZACHER	
C-2	SITE PLAN	1	PROJECT DESCRIPTION: PROPOSED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SITE	CONTACT: (403)-290-3575	Township Rd 260
C-3 C-4	COMPOUND LAYOUT TOWER PROFILE & ANTENNA DETAILS	1	STRUCTURE TYPE: 70m SELF SUPPORT	CIVIL ENGINEER NAME: VM STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING	OT4C 0B8
C-4 C-5	ANTENNA & COAX SCHEDULE	1	SITE ADDRESS: 260016 CANNING LANE BEARPAW, AB	CONTACT: EUGEN VERESIU P. Eng, P. E. PHONE: (519)-767-6500 EXT: 301	LOCATION MAP
C-6	WALK IN CABINET DETAILS	0	T4C 0B8	LTE PROJECT MANAGER	
			JURISDICTION: COMMUNITY OF BEARSPAW	NAME: LONG TELECOM ENGINEERING CONTACT: DAN LONG	COORD
			AREA OF COMPOUND: 260.0 SQ. METERS	PHONE: 780-907-8162	LATITUDE: I LONGITUDE: V
			CURRENT ZONING: WEST / AB / CALGARY / URBAN	PROPERTY OWNER NAME: VI LUONG TRUONG & KA MAN LEUNG	GROUND E
			CURRENT LANDUSE: RESIDENTIAL	CONTACT: VI LUONG TRUONG & KA MAN LEUNG PHONE: 403-903-3358	1319
			PROJECT INFORMATION	CONTACT INFORMATION	GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION
			ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING CODES. NOTHING IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE CONSTRUED TO PERMIT WORK NOT CONFORMING TO THE LATEST EDITIONS OF THE FOLLOWING:	APPROVAL SIGNATURE DATE	FROM CALGARY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: HEAD WEST ON AIRPORT RD NE 0.03KM SLIGHT LEFT TO STAY ON AIRPORT RD NE 0.80KM CONTINUE STRAIGHT 0.35KM CONTINUE STRAIGHT 0.076 AIRPORT RD NE 0.19KM CONTINUE STRAIGHT ONTO AIRPORT RD NE 0.19KM SLIGHT LEFT TOWARD 96 AVE NE / AIRPORT TRAIL NE V TURN LEFT ONTO 96 AVE NE / AIRPORT TRAIL NE W 1.90
			1. CSA-S16-09	CONSTRUCTION	USE THE RIGHT LANE TO MERGE ONTO DEERFOOT TR/ MERGE ONTO DEERFOOT TRAIL / AB-2 N 2.90KM USE THE RIGHT 2 LANES TO TAKE EXIT 271 FOR ALBER
			 CSA-S37-13 CANADIAN ELECTRIC CODE ALBERTA BUILDING CODE 	MICROWAVE	 MERGE ONTO STONEY TRAIL NW / AB-201 W 16.10KM TAKE EXIT 41 FOR ALBERTA 1A W / CROWCHILD TRAIL N MERGE ONTO CROWCHILD TRAIL NW / AB-1A W 10.60KN
				REAL ESTATE	TURN LEFT ONTO GLENDALE RD / TOWNSHIP RD 260 0.9 TURN RIGHT ONTO RANGE RD 34 0.16KM
INDEX OF	SHEETS		CODE COMPLIANCE	APPROVALS	DRIVING DIRECTIONS

COPYRIGHT - DUPLICATION, OR DISCLOSURE AND USE, IS PROHIBITED.






COPYRIGHT - DUPLICATION, OR DISCLOSURE AND USE, IS PROHIBITED.

		B-1
LOT 1 PLAN 031 1783	PREPARED BY:	ago / 2 or 20 ≓]
·		5
	Long Telecom A division of 1811	Engineering 724 Alberta Ltd.
	PROJECT:	
	W4 NORTH G 260016 CAN BEARP	LENBOW NING LANE
	T4C	
		2
		LUTIONS / the experts
	REV DATE DRW'N CHK'D 0 27JUN19 JPS LTE 1 22JUL19 JPS LTE	DESCRIPTION PRELIMINARY PRELIMINARY
	1 220019 JFS LIE	FRELIWIWART
	SEAL:	
	SITE	E PLAN
	^{DWG.#.}	PROJ.#: 19035
		LAST UPDATE: 9 2019-07-15 age 74 of 347





COPYRIGHT - DUPLICATION, OR DISCLOSURE AND USE, IS PROHIBITED.

	B-1
	PREPARED BY:
202	PROJECT: W4585 NORTH GLENBOW 260016 CANNING LANE BEARPAW, AB T4C 0B8
° *	Image: Non-Structure REV DATE 0 27JUN19 JPS LTE
	1 22JUL19 JPS LTE PRELIMINARY
	SEAL:
	TITLE: TOWER PROFILE, PLAN & ANTENNA DETAILS DWG.#: C4
	LAST UPDATE: 9 2019-07-15 Page 76 of 347

				AN	ITENNA/COA	AX SHEDULE					
#	ANT	ENNA	MANUFACTURER	MOUNTING	AZIMUTH ° (TN)	# OF RRUS		CABLE	CABLE	MECH.	OTATU
#	TECHNOLOGY	LABEL	(MODEL #)	HEIGHT (m) *		TOWER LOADING	INITIAL INSTALL	TYPES	LENGTH (m)	D-TILT	STATUS
1	LTE	LTE-1	AS14516R0v07	69	60	3	3	H&S	<3	0	INITIAL
2	LTE	LTE-2	AS14516R0v07	69	180	3	3	H&S	<3	0	INITIAL
3	LTE	LTE-3	AS14516R0v07	69	300	3	3	H&S	<3	0	INITIAL
4	LTE OFFSET	OFFSET-1	AS14516R0v07	69	0	3	3	H&S	<3	0	INITIAL
5	LTE OFFSET	OFFSET-2	AS14516R0v07	69	120	3	3	H&S	<3	0	INITIAL
6	LTE OFFSET	OFFSET-3	AS14516R0v07	69	240	3	3	H&S	<3	0	INITIAL
7	GPS	GPS-1	GPSGLONASS-36-N-S	70	-	-	-	TBD	-	-	INITIAL
8-13	5G	TBD	AIR6488 / AIR5322	66	TBD	3X3	0	TBD	TBD	TBD	FUTURE
14-19	TBD	TBD	TBD	63	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	FUTURE
20-22	TBD	TBD	TBD	60	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	FUTURE
23	MICROWAVE	BEARSPAW (CGY)	HSX4-144	40	97.62	-	-	2XLDF4-50	TBD	-	INITIAL

* CENTRE: FOR PANEL ANTENNA; BOTTOM FOR WHIP ANTENNA

COPYRIGHT - DUPLICATION, OR DISCLOSURE AND USE, IS PROHIBITED.



JRE

L

PREPARED BY:	a ge-re-er-∡v ≓]
Long Telecom A division of 1811	Engineering 724 Alberta Ltd.
PROJECT:	
W4 NORTH G 260016 CAN BEARP T4C	LENBOW INING LANE AW, AB
CONSULTANT:	
6	
	LUTIONS / the experts
REVDATEDRW'NCHK'D027JUN19JPSLTE	
SEAL:	
TITLE: ANTE COAX S	NNA AND SCHEDULE
^{dwg.#:}	PROJ.#: 19035
	LAST UPDATE: 9 2019-06-27 age 77 of 347

B-1



		SCH	EDULE "B"		Pa	age 77 of
	TO		OORDINATE TABLE			
			0 83 (CSRS)			
LOCATION		Geogra			UTM	
	51.184605	-	Degree Min. Sec. 51°11'04.578" N		CM = 1 5673633.	64
Premises Centre	114.373521		114°22'24.674" W		683552.	
NE Corner	51.184615	6.01	51°11'04.613" N		5673634.	
	114.373406		114°22'24.262" W 51°11'04.335" N		683560. 5673625.	
SE Corner	114.373406		114°22'24.263" W		683561.	
SW Corner	51.184538	100	51°11'04.335" N		5673625.	
	114.373635	10	114°22'25.086" W 51°11'04.982" N		683545. 5673645.	20 - 23
NW Corner	114.373635	and the second	114°22'25.085" W		683544.	
able of Crossings:						
DESCRIPTION	OWN	2.2				
O/H Powerline	FortisAlb	erta				
			The proposed tower site: • is at least 1.60 km from an • is at least 30 m from any w • is at least 5.0 km from a lig • is at least 1.6 km from an u	ater bodies. hted airport.	ort.	YES NO
AND OWNER INFORM BLOCK A, PLAN 2374 JK Owners: VI LUONG TRUONG	ATION:		AREAS:		ha	40
KA MAN LEUNG • C. of T. : 191 052 380			PREMISES (TOWER SITE)		ha 0.023	Ac. 0.06
					0.002	0.01
was carried out in accordance Practice, and was performed of Alberta Land Surveyor Witzess Legger Arthur George	e Bezanson	is true and com nd Surveyors' As 4th, 2019.	rect to the best of my knowledge, ssociation Manual of Standard 20th, 2019	nates: 5673469 UTM Zone 11 ed from GNSS 299809	P.82 N, 6835 (CM= 117°) observation	NAD 83 (CSRS)
NW COR. 1320.11 NE 0 SW COR. 1319.97 SE 0 DATUMGNSS/PPP Derived NADE	WER CENTRE COR. 1319.43 COR. 1319.41 33(CSRS2002)HTv2.0	() R	ROGERS [™]			
EGEND tatutory iron post (I.) found: • .		RO	GERS COMMUN		ONSI	NC.
on spike:found:▲. /ooden hub:found:■.		File No.: W458	5B			
ountersunk:			र.क 			
emporary position:			00115011			
ght Standard:	·····					
uried waterline:		_	PLAN SHOWING			
uried sanitary pipe:		F	PREMISES (TOWER SITE)		LIIY ARE	:A
uried storm pipe: c			WITHI			
suried telephone cable: T	T		BLOCK A, PLA			
Overhead powerlines: P	P		SW 1/4 SEC.4-TWP.2			
dge of asphalt:	res and		ROCKY VIEW	COUNTY		
lecimals thereof.						
		NO Y/M/D	REVISION	DRN		N-AM: 20190623
		0 19/06/20	ISSUED	RW		D: 20190623S1
can-am geor	satical	1 1			PAC	
allanuen	Iducs					
algary, Alberta	1.800.478.6162				P.C.	

κ.

Agenda Page 79 of 347





August 10, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

Agenda Page 82 of 347

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 84 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like.

Agenda Page 85 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation





View to the South from approximately 250m North of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 86 of 347



View to the Northwest from approximately 445m Southeast of the proposed location.

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ±260sq. m. and will include a lattice, selfsupport tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.



Agenda Page 88 of 347

(*d*) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.

(c) Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. **Public Consultation**

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/ 2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u>

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting or markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of September 9, 2019:

LandSolutions LP	Rocky View County
Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. <u>comments@landsolutions.ca</u>	Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.

July 24, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

Agenda Page 93 of 347

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 95 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like when viewed approximately 445 metres southeast of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 96 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation



Artist's Rendering

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located

at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.

specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting and markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of August 15, 2019:

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. comments@landsolutions.ca	Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>
---	--

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Community Association Notification Proposed Telecommunications Facility July 30, 2019

Bearspaw Glendale Community Association 253220 Bearspaw Road Calgary, AB T3L 2P5 info.blc@bearspawlc.ca; manager@bearspawlc.ca; admin@bearspawlc.ca

To Whom It May Concern:

LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers Communications Canada Inc. (Rogers), is pleased to submit to you the captioned package for your review. The package contains a copy of the public notification, which contains: the location and description of the proposed telecommunications facility, a review of local and federal policy, details about general federal requirements, including health and environmental standards, aeronautical safety and engineering requirements, a photo-simulation and details about the public consultation process.

Rogers File:	W4585 North Glenbow
Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
Address:	260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta
Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

LandSolutions LP is in compliance with Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems. Per County protocols, the area Councillor, County staff, MP and ISED have been notified of the proposal. LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers is proposing the construction of a 70m lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas and support equipment stored within an equipment shelter located at grade, surrounded by a secured, chain-link fence.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

Brdn of

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Attached: Copy of Public Notification with site-specific details.



July 24, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 105 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like when viewed approximately 445 metres southeast of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 106 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation



Artist's Rendering

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located

at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.
specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting and markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of August 15, 2019:

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. <u>comments@landsolutions.ca</u>	Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>
--	--

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Community Association Notification Proposed Telecommunications Facility July 30, 2019

Glenbow Ranch Park Foundation 255001 Glenbow Road Cochrane, AB T4C 0B7 <u>experienceglenbow@grpf.ca</u>

To Whom It May Concern:

LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers Communications Canada Inc. (Rogers), is pleased to submit to you the captioned package for your review. The package contains a copy of the public notification, which contains: the location and description of the proposed telecommunications facility, a review of local and federal policy, details about general federal requirements, including health and environmental standards, aeronautical safety and engineering requirements, a photo-simulation and details about the public consultation process.

Rogers File:	W4585 North Glenbow
Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
Address:	260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta
Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

LandSolutions LP is in compliance with Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems. Per County protocols, the area Councillor, County staff, MP and ISED have been notified of the proposal. LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers is proposing the construction of a 70m lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas and support equipment stored within an equipment shelter located at grade, surrounded by a secured, chain-link fence.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

Brdn of

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Attached: Copy of Public Notification with site-specific details.



July 24, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

Agenda Page 114 of 347

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 115 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like when viewed approximately 445 metres southeast of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 116 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation



Artist's Rendering

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located

at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.

specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting and markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of August 15, 2019:

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. <u>comments@landsolutions.ca</u>	Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>
--	--

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Notification to Division 9 Councillor Proposed Telecommunications Facility July 25, 2019

Councillor Crystal Kissel, Division 9 Rocky View County 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View county, AB T4A 0X2 <u>CKissel@rockyview.ca</u>

Dear Ms. Kissel,

LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers Communications Canada Inc. (Rogers), is pleased to submit to you the captioned package for your review. The package contains a copy of the public notification, which contains: the location and description of the proposed telecommunications facility, a review of local and federal policy, details about general federal requirements, including health and environmental standards, aeronautical safety and engineering requirements, a photo-simulation and details about the public consultation process.

Rogers File:	W4585 North Glenbow
Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
Address:	260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta
Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

LandSolutions LP is in compliance with Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems. Per County protocols, the area Councillor, County staff, MP and ISED have been notified of the proposal. LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers is proposing the construction of a 70m lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas and support equipment stored within an equipment shelter located at grade, surrounded by a secured, chain-link fence.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

Brda

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Attached: Copy of Public Notification with site-specific details.



July 24, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

Agenda Page 124 of 347

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 125 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like when viewed approximately 445 metres southeast of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 126 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation



Artist's Rendering

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located

at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.

specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting and markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of August 15, 2019:

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. comments@landsolutions.ca	Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>
---	--

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Notification to ISED Proposed Telecommunications Facility July 25, 2019

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Spectrum Management - Southern Alberta District Office Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca

To Whom It May Concern,

LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers Communications Canada Inc. (Rogers), is pleased to submit to you the captioned package for your review. The package contains a copy of the public notification, which contains: the location and description of the proposed telecommunications facility, a review of local and federal policy, details about general federal requirements, including health and environmental standards, aeronautical safety and engineering requirements, a photo-simulation and details about the public consultation process.

Rogers File:	W4585 North Glenbow
Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
Address:	260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta
Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

LandSolutions LP is in compliance with Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems. Per County protocols, the area Councillor, County staff, MP and ISED have been notified of the proposal. LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers is proposing the construction of a 70m lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas and support equipment stored within an equipment shelter located at grade, surrounded by a secured, chain-link fence.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

Brden of

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Attached: Copy of Public Notification with site-specific details.



July 24, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

Agenda Page 134 of 347

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 135 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like when viewed approximately 445 metres southeast of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 136 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation



Artist's Rendering

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located

at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.

specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting and markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of August 15, 2019:

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. comments@landsolutions.ca	Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>
---	--

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Notification to LUA Proposed Telecommunications Facility July 25, 2019

Ms. Xin Deng, Municipal Planner Rocky View County, Planning Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2

Dear Xin,

LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers Communications Canada Inc. (Rogers), is pleased to submit to you the captioned package for your review. The package contains a copy of the public notification, which contains: the location and description of the proposed telecommunications facility, a review of local and federal policy, details about general federal requirements, including health and environmental standards, aeronautical safety and engineering requirements, a photo-simulation and details about the public consultation process.

Rogers File:	W4585 North Glenbow
Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
Address:	260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta
Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

LandSolutions LP is in compliance with Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems. Per County protocols, the area Councillor, County staff, MP and ISED have been notified of the proposal. LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers is proposing the construction of a 70m lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas and support equipment stored within an equipment shelter located at grade, surrounded by a secured, chain-link fence.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Canada Inc.

Badar of

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Attached: Copy of Public Notification with site-specific details.



July 24, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

Agenda Page 144 of 347
3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 145 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like when viewed approximately 445 metres southeast of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 146 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation



Artist's Rendering

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located

at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.

specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting and markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of August 15, 2019:

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. <u>comments@landsolutions.ca</u>	Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>
--	--

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Notification to MP Proposed Telecommunications Facility July 25, 2019

The Honourable Blake Richards Constituency Office – Banff – Airdrie, Alberta Suite 16, 620 – 1st Avenue NW Airdrie, AB T4B 2R3 <u>Blake.Richards@parl.gc.ca</u>

Dear Sir,

LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers Communications Canada Inc. (Rogers), is pleased to submit to you the captioned package for your review. The package contains a copy of the public notification, which contains: the location and description of the proposed telecommunications facility, a review of local and federal policy, details about general federal requirements, including health and environmental standards, aeronautical safety and engineering requirements, a photo-simulation and details about the public consultation process.

Rogers File:	W4585 North Glenbow
Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
Address:	260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta
Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

LandSolutions LP is in compliance with Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems. Per County protocols, the area Councillor, County staff, MP and ISED have been notified of the proposal. LandSolutions LP, on behalf of Rogers is proposing the construction of a 70m lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas and support equipment stored within an equipment shelter located at grade, surrounded by a secured, chain-link fence.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

Badar of

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Attached: Copy of Public Notification with site-specific details.



July 24, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

LandSolutions LP | Suite 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Brenden Smith | 5G Strategic Project Coordinator | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Inc. | Suite 700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications installation at **260016 Canning Lane** (the "**Proposed Installation**") in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services.

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation. All residents, including those in support are encouraged to provide their comments.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies within or along Highway 1A and nearby residential areas. The Proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation - currently an agricultural lot - as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including proximity to roads for viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:260016 Canning LaneLegal description:Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)Geographic coordinates:51.184605° N; 114.373521° W

The Proposed Installation is shown on the drawings below. The Land Use Zoning is: Agricultural Holdings District (AH).



Map showing Proposed Installation

Agenda Page 155 of 347



Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

(a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 70-metre lattice-style selfsupport tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like when viewed approximately 445 metres southeast of the proposed location.

Agenda Page 156 of 347

Simulated photographs of structure for Proposed Installation



Artist's Rendering

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

(b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) 2.1m (6ft. 11in. x 0.5m (1ft. 8in.) cellular antennas, one (1) GPS antenna and one (1) 1.2m (4ft.) diameter microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services. The diagram below illustrates how the antennas will look when mounted on the tower.

(c) Compound

In an effort to minimize the impact on the property's current use as well as the visual impact on surrounding properties, the physical ground compound area will be located

at 260016 Canning Lane, adjacent to Road Right of Way, rural residential and agricultural properties.

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of ± 260 sq. m. and will include a lattice, self-support tower, an alarmed and electronically monitored walk-in equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain a backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

Minimal tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

(d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While the Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally-regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) **Rules**").¹

(b) Look at using existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the

¹

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.

specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

There is an existing 45m. tall tower owned by Alta Link, located approximately 872m. to the east of the proposed Rogers tower location. The tower was investigated and there is insufficient space on the tower at suitable heights to meet Roger's network requirements.

Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On April 11th, June 4th and June 14th, Rogers communicated with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand the County's preliminary concerns prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol titled *Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308)* (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier co-locations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(b) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Transport Canada has approved the site and required that Rogers install aeronautical safety lighting and markings (paint) pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(c) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian

² Additional information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/_2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>

Standard Association and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

8. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 – 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary, AB T2G 4X3 Fax: 403-292-4295 Email: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of August 15, 2019:

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5 p. (403) 290-0008 e. <u>comments@landsolutions.ca</u>	Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 p. (403) 230-1401 f. (403) 277-3066 e. <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>
--	--

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and ISED Rules.



Re:	Rogers File: Legal Land Description:	W4585 North Glenbow Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
	Address: Coordinates:	260016 Canning Lane Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

We want to thank you for your feedback regarding the proposed telecommunications installation for Rogers Communications Canada Inc. ("Rogers"). Please review the below responses to all questions and concerns we received during public consultation.

Co-Location & Sharing Existing Structures:

Rogers has considered co-location of its equipment on existing nearby structures; however, could not find any suitable locations. The closest existing tower, a 45m tall AltaLink tower, located approximately 875m to the east of the current proposal was investigated for co-location. This tower is part of AltaLink's critical communications network. Space on this tower is required for AltaLink's network reliability enhancement plans. This is in support of AltaLink's obligations as a Transmission Facility Owner under the Independent System Operator Alberta Reliability Standards. We contacted AltaLink about the potential for sharing the tower, but were informed that there is no available space for Roger's equipment.

One resident suggested building a second tower on the same site as the existing AltaLink tower. Based on our past experience this would not be feasible for the following reasons:

- possible interference with guy wires
- possible interference or ghosting of radio signal
- highly unlikely to gain support from AltaLink (based on previous interactions)
- a portion of those lands and adjacent lands to the north and east are outside of Roger's search area, which means locating there would have a detrimental impact on the ability to enhance wireless connectivity to the area

The proposed tower is the best option for balancing technical challenges, commercial feasibility and to enhance wireless connectivity to the area.

Rogers endeavours to share existing towers, buildings and other support structures whenever possible and has business agreements with other telecommunications companies to facilitate co-location on their own towers. Although co-location on an existing structure was not feasible at this time, Rogers welcomes co-location on the proposed tower.

Location

The proposed tower location was chosen in response to increased demand for wireless services and to improve both coverage and capacity of the Rogers network. Additional telecommunications facilities are needed to ensure the delivery of fast and reliable wireless services. The proposed tower would address the growing coverage and capacity challenges that our modern society faces as people and machines become increasingly dependent upon wireless communication.

Roger's Network Planning Department issued a search area map along with direction to find a site that would enhance coverage and improve wireless service. The search area is focused on lands along Highway 1A, which include agricultural, road Right of Way and residential uses. A minimum height of 70m is required to satisfy network requirements.

The following factors affect site selection:

- Wireless radiocommunication facilities have inherent limitations in their broadcasting range
- Telecommunications facilities need to be close to wireless users
- Sites are determined in conjunction with existing and planned network facilities
- Co-location on existing towers or buildings was not feasible
- Developments in the area provide physical obstacles (walls of the buildings, trees, etc.) that hinder the strength of radio signals emitted by cellular antennas
- There is a growing number of users that simultaneously use the wireless network, resulting in capacity challenges for existing telecommunications facilities and necessitating the addition of more facilities





• The public and businesses (e.g. point-of-sale transactions) increasingly demand ubiquitous, high-speed, low latency and reliable wireless service

LandSolutions' reviewed the search area and contacted landowners for the most practical locations. Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308) discourages telecommunications facility development in residential lands. Most of the lands within the search area are residential, whereas the proposed site location is designated as Agricultural Holdings District (AH). The current proposed location was chosen in part because it provides a greater separation from most residential properties in the area. Locations outside of Roger's search area were not explored, as this site meets Rogers coverage objectives for this search area.

The current proposed location is a preferred location per County policy, and we believe this is the best location possible that balances competing interests, policy, political sensitivities and satisfies technical, and network requirements.

Aeronautical Approvals

Proponents of telecommunications facilities must send proposals to Transport Canada and NAV CANADA. Transport Canada performs an assessment with respect to the potential hazard to air navigation and notifies proponents of any painting or lighting requirements for the facility. NAV CANADA will comment on whether the proposal has an impact on the provision of their national air navigation system, facilities and other services located off-airport (Sec. 7.5, CPC-2-0-03).

The Transport Canada Aeronautical Assessment Form for Obstruction Evaluation assesses whether the proposed facility complies with applicable Airport Zoning Regulations (e.g. Springbank Airport) and identifies marking or lighting requirements to ensure aviation safety. Transport Canada determined that the proposed tower requires marking and/or lighting per Standard 621. As discussed in the below section, Rogers may use lighting in lieu of paint. In addition, Transport Canada confirmed on September 12th by telephone that the proposed tower is below the Springbank Airport approach plane.

We have yet to receive NAV CANADA's approval; however, it is unlikely that there will be a conflict with the national air navigation system as the proposed facility is approximately 8km north of the Springbank Airport.

Aesthetic Concerns

Regarding the aesthetics of the proposed tower, the tower height is needed for optimum antenna placement and broadcast of radiocommunication, which requires line of sight to the devices served by the antennas. The tower location provides a buffer to most nearby residential properties. The buffer is only intended to minimize the aesthetic impact that a tall tower would have upon nearby low-height residences. Lowering the height of the tower would negatively impact Roger's ability to enhance service to the area and may result in additional telecommunications facilities being needed in the area. The design of the tower is called a lattice-style self-support tower, which is needed, due to the technical requirements of the facility. Aesthetically, the design of a lattice tower offers transparency between the individual structural elements, as opposed to a solid structure and will blend better into the surrounding environment.

As introduced in the above section, Transportation Canada requires lighting or marking of the tower to ensure the safety of aviators. Aeronautical lighting may be used in place of painting the tower. This typically includes a dual flashing red/white medium intensity lighting system used for daytime (white) & night-time (red). The light fixtures are shielded from the ground to reduce the nuisance to nearby properties. Below are two additional photo-simulations of the proposed tower, which illustrate a grey structure compared to a painted orange and white striped structure.

The photo-simulations are an artist's rendering of the proposed tower; however, take into consideration the scale of the existing environment compared to the location where the photograph was taken.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Response to Public Consultation Feedback 70m Lattice Self-Support Telecommunications Facility September 17, 2019

B-1



Artist's Rendering - View to the South from approximately 250m North of the proposed location



Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Response to Public Consultation Feedback 70m Lattice Self-Support Telecommunications Facility September 17, 2019



Artist's Rendering - View to the Northwest from approximately 445m Southeast of the proposed location.

Property Value

Many factors influence property values, including location (e.g. proximity to amenities), land area (lot size), age of the building, interior space, supply & demand, aesthetics, redevelopment and investment potential. We have learned from our interaction with the public that many home buyers seek out neighbourhoods that have exceptional wireless coverage, as many people work from home and depend on a reliable wireless network (i.e. voice & internet services) to conduct business. In addition, many people rely exclusively on mobile telephones for wireless data and voice service and appreciate the security of having improved access to emergency services.

At the time of writing this letter, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) considers property value concerns to be irrelevant per CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2. This is because research to date has been inconclusive in showing a relationship between property value resulting from proximity to telecommunications facilities.





Need for the facility

Rogers would not propose a considerably expensive infrastructure project if there was no need for improved wireless service in the area. Network planning and radiofrequency engineering analyses are confidential and cannot be shared with the public. Per ISED's CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2 concerns relating to the need for a facility is considered unreasonable.

Remuneration

Questions regarding financial remuneration for the facility is confidential information, subject to the Privacy Act of Canada.

Engineering Practices and Structural Adequacy

The proposed tower will be designed and constructed according to good engineering practices and structural adequacy that includes wind loading. At the time of writing this letter Rogers has performed a study of wind patterns over the past ten years and the study included recommendations relating to the loading impact for the proposed structure.

Health Canada's Defective Link

Thank you for alerting us to this defective link. The revised link is: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-semt/pubs/radiation/radio_guide-lignes_direct-eng.php.</u>

Health and Safety

All radiocommunication sites in Canada must comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 (SC6) (2015), which establishes safety limits for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields for all age groups on a continuous basis. The limits consider total exposure from all sources of radiofrequency energy and incorporates large margins of safety. The code is based on peer-reviewed scientific research and is consistent with the science-based standards used in other parts of the world, including the United States, the European Union, Japan, Australia and New Zealand. The code is periodically revised to reflect new knowledge and was last updated in 2015 to incorporate scientific literature published up to August 2014.

Health Canada recognizes that a few international jurisdictions (cities, provinces or countries) have applied more restrictive limits to radiofrequency field exposures from cell towers; however, there is no scientific basis to support the need for such restrictive limits. In addition, these more restrictive limits aren't applied equally to other wireless devices operating within the same jurisdictions. For more information on SC6, please refer to this link: https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/radiation/understanding-safety-code-6.html

ISED manages the radio communications spectrum in Canada and enforces Safety Code 6 compliance. Rogers performs radiofrequency energy analyses of its equipment and reports to ISED to ensure SC6 compliance throughout the lifetime of the telecommunications facility. Several websites are listed at the end of this letter that detail the measures Rogers, ISED and Health Canada undertake to ensure public safety.

Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan (ASP)

The proposed tower site is located within Build Area G, which is an area intended for a variety of land uses that are ancillary and complementary to hamlet residential development (incl. commercial, institutional, public utilities, etc.) The most relevant policy statement in this ASP is Section 20.21 of Shallow Utilities and Commercial Communications, which states "Commercial Communications Facilities shall be located within the *Build Areas*; not within *Conservation Areas*" (P. 79, Glenbow Ranch ASP). The proposed tower site is defined as a Commercial Communications Facility – Type C and is supported by the ASP. It will also be an important part of the infrastructure required to support future developments and ensure wireless network reliability now and in the future.





Federal and Municipal Policies

Proposed telecommunications facilities are subject to federal and municipal policies that relate to siting and other considerations. The Minister of Industry and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) have the sole authority for approving proposed radiocommunication/telecommunications facilities in Canada, which derives from Section 5 of the Radiocommunication Act. Their policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems (CPC-2-0-03) provides policy guidance and process that proponents must follow. This includes consulting with a local Land Use Authority (i.e. Rocky View County) and following their policies related to the siting of telecommunications facilities. While it is true that ISED has the ultimate decision-making authority, we must consult with Rocky View County and the public following their policy, titled Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities. This public consultation process and the proposed location respects local policy guidelines, while understanding the inherent challenges to finding feasible locations to accommodate important telecommunications infrastructure within Rocky View County. For more information, please refer to the following links:

- https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf08777.html
- <u>https://www.rockyview.ca/Portals/0/Files/BuildingPlanning/Development/DP-Package-Telecommunication-Facilities.pdf</u>

Conclusion

New telecommunications facilities are needed to keep pace with consumer demand for wireless service. Roger's proposal takes into consideration technical constraints, network requirements and is designed with respect to the local environment. The proposed facility will provide enhanced wireless coverage and capacity to the area, which will benefit residents, businesses, institutions, and improve access to emergency services. The proposed facility will adhere to all federal health and safety requirements. Rogers has performed significant effort to find a technically feasible location that respects federal and local policies. Our modern society expects high quality, fast and reliable wireless telecommunications services. The proposed facility would ensure the reliability and performance of Roger's network.

Next steps include submitting a formal report to Rocky View County along with a request for concurrence. All correspondence received with become part of the public consultation records shared with the County and ISED. Thank you for participating in the public consultation process.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Inc.

Brden

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca Highlighted Industry and Health & Safety Links:

- <u>http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>
- <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf11467.html</u>
- http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf08792.html
- <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-</u> semt/pubs/radiation/radio guide-lignes direct-eng.php
- <u>https://www.ctia.org/homepage/public-safety-channel</u>
- https://www.cwta.ca/for-consumers/health-safety/
- <u>http://www.rogers.com</u>





Re:	Rogers File: Legal Land Description: Address:	W4585 North Glenbow Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) 260016 Canning Lane
	Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

We want to thank you for your feedback regarding the proposed telecommunications installation for Rogers Communications Canada Inc. ("Rogers"). Please review the below responses to all questions and concerns we received during public consultation.

Co-Location & Sharing Existing Structures:

Rogers has considered co-location of its equipment on existing nearby structures; however, could not find any suitable locations. The closest existing tower, a 45m tall AltaLink tower, located approximately 875m to the east of the current proposal was investigated for co-location. This tower is part of AltaLink's critical communications network. Space on this tower is required for AltaLink's network reliability enhancement plans. This is in support of AltaLink's obligations as a Transmission Facility Owner under the Independent System Operator Alberta Reliability Standards. We contacted AltaLink about the potential for sharing the tower, but were informed that there is no available space for Roger's equipment.

One resident suggested building a second tower on the same site as the existing AltaLink tower. Based on our past experience this would not be feasible for the following reasons:

- possible interference with guy wires
- possible interference or ghosting of radio signal
- highly unlikely to gain support from AltaLink (based on previous interactions)
- a portion of those lands and adjacent lands to the north and east are outside of Roger's search area, which means locating there would have a detrimental impact on the ability to enhance wireless connectivity to the area

The proposed tower is the best option for balancing technical challenges, commercial feasibility and to enhance wireless connectivity to the area.

Rogers endeavours to share existing towers, buildings and other support structures whenever possible and has business agreements with other telecommunications companies to facilitate co-location on their own towers. Although co-location on an existing structure was not feasible at this time, Rogers welcomes co-location on the proposed tower.

Location

The proposed tower location was chosen in response to increased demand for wireless services and to improve both coverage and capacity of the Rogers network. Additional telecommunications facilities are needed to ensure the delivery of fast and reliable wireless services. The proposed tower would address the growing coverage and capacity challenges that our modern society faces as people and machines become increasingly dependent upon wireless communication.

Roger's Network Planning Department issued a search area map along with direction to find a site that would enhance coverage and improve wireless service. The search area is focused on lands along Highway 1A, which include agricultural, road Right of Way and residential uses. A minimum height of 70m is required to satisfy network requirements.

The following factors affect site selection:

- Wireless radiocommunication facilities have inherent limitations in their broadcasting range
- Telecommunications facilities need to be close to wireless users
- Sites are determined in conjunction with existing and planned network facilities
- Co-location on existing towers or buildings was not feasible
- Developments in the area provide physical obstacles (walls of the buildings, trees, etc.) that hinder the strength of radio signals emitted by cellular antennas
- There is a growing number of users that simultaneously use the wireless network, resulting in capacity challenges for existing telecommunications facilities and necessitating the addition of more facilities





• The public and businesses (e.g. point-of-sale transactions) increasingly demand ubiquitous, high-speed, low latency and reliable wireless service

LandSolutions' reviewed the search area and contacted landowners for the most practical locations. Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308) discourages telecommunications facility development in residential lands. Most of the lands within the search area are residential, whereas the proposed site location is designated as Agricultural Holdings District (AH). The current proposed location was chosen in part because it provides a greater separation from most residential properties in the area. Locations outside of Roger's search area were not explored, as this site meets Rogers coverage objectives for this search area.

The current proposed location is a preferred location per County policy, and we believe this is the best location possible that balances competing interests, policy, political sensitivities and satisfies technical, and network requirements.

Aeronautical Approvals

Proponents of telecommunications facilities must send proposals to Transport Canada and NAV CANADA. Transport Canada performs an assessment with respect to the potential hazard to air navigation and notifies proponents of any painting or lighting requirements for the facility. NAV CANADA will comment on whether the proposal has an impact on the provision of their national air navigation system, facilities and other services located off-airport (Sec. 7.5, CPC-2-0-03).

The Transport Canada Aeronautical Assessment Form for Obstruction Evaluation assesses whether the proposed facility complies with applicable Airport Zoning Regulations (e.g. Springbank Airport) and identifies marking or lighting requirements to ensure aviation safety. Transport Canada approved the proposed tower and requires marking and/or lighting per Standard 621. As discussed in the below section, Rogers may use lighting in lieu of paint.

We have yet to receive NAV CANADA's approval; however, it is unlikely that there will be a conflict with the national air navigation system as the proposed facility is approximately 8km north of the Springbank Airport.

Aesthetic Concerns

Regarding the aesthetics of the proposed tower, the tower height is needed for optimum antenna placement and broadcast of radiocommunication, which requires line of sight to the devices served by the antennas. The tower location provides a buffer to most nearby residential properties. The buffer is only intended to minimize the aesthetic impact that a tall tower would have upon nearby low-height residences. Lowering the height of the tower would negatively impact Roger's ability to enhance service to the area and may result in additional telecommunications facilities being needed in the area. The design of the tower is called a lattice-style self-support tower, which is needed, due to the technical requirements of the facility. Aesthetically, the design of a lattice tower offers transparency between the individual structural elements, as opposed to a solid structure and will blend better into the surrounding environment.

As introduced in the above section, Transportation Canada requires lighting or marking of the tower to ensure the safety of aviators. Aeronautical lighting may be used in place of painting the tower. This typically includes a dual flashing red/white medium intensity lighting system used for daytime (white) & night-time (red). The light fixtures are shielded from the ground to reduce the nuisance to nearby properties. Below are two additional photo-simulations of the proposed tower, which illustrate a grey structure compared to a painted orange and white striped structure.





Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Response to Public Consultation Feedback 70m Lattice Self-Support Telecommunications Facility August 16, 2019

B-1



Artist's Rendering - View to the South from approximately 250m North of the proposed location



Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Response to Public Consultation Feedback 70m Lattice Self-Support Telecommunications Facility August 16, 2019



Artist's Rendering - View to the Northwest from approximately 445m Southeast of the proposed location.

Property Value

Many factors influence property values, including location (e.g. proximity to amenities), land area (lot size), age of the building, interior space, supply & demand, aesthetics, redevelopment and investment potential. We have learned from our interaction with the public that many home buyers seek out neighbourhoods that have exceptional wireless coverage, as many people work from home and depend on a reliable wireless network (i.e. voice & internet services) to conduct business. In addition, many people rely exclusively on mobile telephones for wireless data and voice service and appreciate the security of having improved access to emergency services.

At the time of writing this letter, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) considers property value concerns to be irrelevant per CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2. This is because research to date has been inconclusive in showing a relationship between property value resulting from proximity to telecommunications facilities.





Need for the facility

Rogers would not propose a considerably expensive infrastructure project if there was no need for improved wireless service in the area. Network planning and radiofrequency engineering analyses are confidential and cannot be shared with the public. Per ISED's CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2 concerns relating to the need for a facility is considered unreasonable.

Remuneration

Questions regarding financial remuneration for the facility is confidential information, subject to the Privacy Act of Canada.

Engineering Practices and Structural Adequacy

The proposed tower will be designed and constructed according to good engineering practices and structural adequacy that includes wind loading. At the time of writing this letter Rogers has performed a study of wind patterns over the past ten years and the study included recommendations relating to the loading impact for the proposed structure.

Health Canada's Defective Link

Thank you for alerting us to this defective link. The revised link is: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-</u>semt/pubs/radiation/radio_guide-lignes_direct-eng.php.

Health and Safety

All radiocommunication sites in Canada must comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 (SC6) (2015), which establishes safety limits for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields for all age groups on a continuous basis. The limits consider total exposure from all sources of radiofrequency energy and incorporates large margins of safety. The code is based on peer-reviewed scientific research and is consistent with the science-based standards used in other parts of the world, including the United States, the European Union, Japan, Australia and New Zealand. The code is periodically revised to reflect new knowledge and was last updated in 2015 to incorporate scientific literature published up to August 2014.

Health Canada recognizes that a few international jurisdictions (cities, provinces or countries) have applied more restrictive limits to radiofrequency field exposures from cell towers; however, there is no scientific basis to support the need for such restrictive limits. In addition, these more restrictive limits aren't applied equally to other wireless devices operating within the same jurisdictions. For more information on SC6, please refer to this link: https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/radiation/understanding-safety-code-6.html

ISED manages the radio communications spectrum in Canada and enforces Safety Code 6 compliance. Rogers performs radiofrequency energy analyses of its equipment and reports to ISED to ensure SC6 compliance throughout the lifetime of the telecommunications facility. Several websites are listed at the end of this letter that detail the measures Rogers, ISED and Health Canada undertake to ensure public safety.

Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan (ASP)

The proposed tower site is located within Build Area G, which is an area intended for a variety of land uses that are ancillary and complementary to hamlet residential development (incl. commercial, institutional, public utilities, etc.) The most relevant policy statement in this ASP is Section 20.21 of Shallow Utilities and Commercial Communications, which states "Commercial Communications Facilities shall be located within the *Build Areas*; not within *Conservation Areas*" (P. 79, Glenbow Ranch ASP). The proposed tower site is defined as a Commercial Communications Facility – Type C and is supported by the ASP. It will also be an important part of the infrastructure required to support future developments and ensure wireless network reliability now and in the future.





Federal and Municipal Policies

Proposed telecommunications facilities are subject to federal and municipal policies that relate to siting and other considerations. The Minister of Industry and Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) have the sole authority for approving proposed radiocommunication/telecommunications facilities in Canada, which derives from Section 5 of the Radiocommunication Act. Their policy titled Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems (CPC-2-0-03) provides policy guidance and process that proponents must follow. This includes consulting with a local Land Use Authority (i.e. Rocky View County) and following their policies related to the siting of telecommunications facilities. While it is true that ISED has the ultimate decision-making authority, we must consult with Rocky View County and the public following their policy, titled Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities. This public consultation process and the proposed location respects local policy guidelines, while understanding the inherent challenges to finding feasible locations to accommodate important telecommunications infrastructure within Rocky View County. For more information, please refer to the following links:

- https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf08777.html
- <u>https://www.rockyview.ca/Portals/0/Files/BuildingPlanning/Development/DP-Package-</u> Telecommunication-Facilities.pdf

Conclusion

New telecommunications facilities are needed to keep pace with consumer demand for wireless service. Roger's proposal takes into consideration technical constraints, network requirements and is designed with respect to the local environment. The proposed facility will provide enhanced wireless coverage and capacity to the area, which will benefit residents, businesses, institutions, and improve access to emergency services. The proposed facility will adhere to all federal health and safety requirements. Rogers has performed significant effort to find a technically feasible location that respects federal and local policies. Our modern society expects high quality, fast and reliable wireless telecommunications services. The proposed facility would ensure the reliability and performance of Roger's network.

Next steps include submitting a formal report to Rocky View County along with a request for concurrence. All correspondence received with become part of the public consultation records shared with the County and ISED. Thank you for participating in the public consultation process.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP *for* Rogers Communications Canada Inc.



Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca Highlighted Industry and Health & Safety Links:

- http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/towers
- <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf11467.html</u>
- http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf08792.html
- <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-</u> semt/pubs/radiation/radio_guide-lignes_direct-eng.php
- <u>https://www.ctia.org/homepage/public-safety-channel</u>
- https://www.cwta.ca/for-consumers/health-safety/
- <u>http://www.rogers.com</u>





Re:	Rogers File:	W4585 North Glenbow
	Legal Land Description:	Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M)
	Address:	260016 Canning Lane
	Coordinates:	Latitude: 51.184605° N; Longitude: 114.373521° W

We want to thank you for your feedback regarding the proposed telecommunications installation for Rogers Communications Inc. ("Rogers"). Please review the below responses to all questions and concerns we received during public consultation.

Co-Location & Sharing Existing Structures:

Rogers has considered co-location of its equipment on existing nearby structures; however, could not find any suitable locations. The closest existing tower, a 45m tall AltaLink tower, located approximately 875m to the east of the current proposal was investigated for co-location. This tower is part of AltaLink's critical network spurs for which pathway redundancy is being created. Space on this tower is required for AltaLink's network reliability enhancement plans. This is in support of AltaLink's obligations as a Transmission Facility Owner under the Independent System Operator Alberta Reliability Standards. There is no available space on the tower for Roger's equipment. The proposed tower is the best option for balancing technical challenges, commercial feasibility and to enhance wireless connectivity.

Rogers endeavours to share existing towers, buildings and other support structures whenever possible and has business agreements with other telecommunications companies to facilitate co-location on their own towers. Although co-location on an existing structure was not feasible at this time, Rogers welcomes co-location on the proposed tower.

Location

The proposed tower location was chosen in response to increased demand for wireless services and to improve both coverage and capacity of the Rogers network. Additional telecommunications facilities are needed to ensure the delivery of fast and reliable wireless services. The proposed tower would address the growing coverage and capacity challenges that our modern society faces as people and machines become increasingly dependent upon wireless communication.

Roger's Network Planning Department issued a search area map along with direction to find a site that would enhance coverage and improve wireless service. The search area is focused on lands along Highway 1A, which include agricultural, road Right of Way and residential uses. A minimum height of 70m is required to satisfy network requirements.

The following factors affect site selection:

- Wireless radiocommunication facilities have inherent limitations in their broadcasting range
- Telecommunications facilities need to be close to wireless users
- Sites are determined in conjunction with existing and planned network facilities
- Co-location on existing towers or buildings was not feasible
- Developments in the area provide physical obstacles (walls of the buildings, trees, etc.) that hinder the strength of radio signals emitted by cellular antennas
- There is a growing number of users that simultaneously use the wireless network, resulting in capacity challenges for existing telecommunications facilities and necessitating the addition of more facilities
- The public and businesses (e.g. point-of-sale transactions) increasingly demand ubiquitous, high-speed, low latency and reliable wireless service

LandSolutions' reviewed the search area and contacted landowners for the most practical locations. Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities (POL#308) discourages telecommunications facility development in residential lands. Most of the lands within the search area are residential, whereas the proposed site location is designated as Agricultural Holdings District (AH). The current proposed location was chosen in part because it provides a greater separation from most residential properties in the area. Locations outside of Roger's search area were not explored, as this site meets Rogers coverage objectives for this search area.





Rogers Communications Inc. Response to Public Consultation Feedback 70m Lattice Self-Support Telecommunications Facility August 10, 2019

The current proposed location is a preferred location per County policy, and we believe this is the best location possible that balances competing interests, policy, political sensitivities and satisfies technical, and network requirements.

Aesthetic Concerns

Regarding the aesthetics of the proposed tower, the tower height is needed for optimum antenna placement and broadcast of radiocommunication, which requires line of sight to the devices served by the antennas. The tower location provides a buffer to most nearby residential properties. The buffer is only intended to minimize the aesthetic impact that a tall tower would have upon nearby low-height residences. Lowering the height of the tower would negatively impact Roger's ability to enhance service to the area and may result in additional telecommunications facilities being needed in the area. The design of the tower is called a lattice-style self-support tower, which is needed, due to the technical requirements of the facility. Aesthetically, the design of a lattice tower offers transparency between the individual structural elements, as opposed to a solid structure and will blend better into the surrounding environment.

In addition, Transportation Canada has approved the proposed tower, requiring lighting or marking requirements to ensure the safety of aviators. Aeronautical lighting may be used in place of painting the tower. This typically includes a dual flashing red/white medium intensity lighting system used for daytime (white) & night-time (red). The light fixtures are shielded from the ground to reduce the nuisance to nearby properties. Below are two additional photo-simulations of the proposed tower, which illustrate a grey structure compared to a painted orange and white striped structure.



Artist's Rendering - View to the South from approximately 250m North of the proposed location



Rogers Communications Inc. Response to Public Consultation Feedback 70m Lattice Self-Support Telecommunications Facility August 10, 2019



Artist's Rendering - View to the Northwest from approximately 445m Southeast of the proposed location.

Property Value

Many factors influence property values, including location (e.g. proximity to amenities), land area (lot size), age of the building, interior space, supply & demand, aesthetics, redevelopment and investment potential. We have learned from our interaction with the public that many home buyers seek out neighbourhoods that have exceptional wireless coverage, as many people work from home and depend on a reliable wireless network (i.e. voice & internet services) to conduct business. In addition, many people rely exclusively on mobile telephones for wireless data and voice service and appreciate the security of having improved access to emergency services.

At the time of writing this letter, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) considers property value concerns to be irrelevant per CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2. This is because research to date has been inconclusive in showing a relationship between property value resulting from proximity to telecommunications facilities.





Need for the facility

Rogers would not propose a considerably expensive infrastructure project if there was no need for improved wireless service in the area. Network planning and radiofrequency engineering analyses are confidential and cannot be shared with the public. Per ISED's CPC-2-0-03, Section 4.2 concerns relating to the need for a facility is considered unreasonable.

Remuneration

Questions regarding financial remuneration for the facility is confidential information, subject to the Privacy Act.

Conclusion

New telecommunications facilities are needed to keep pace with consumer demand for wireless service. Roger's proposal takes into consideration technical constraints, network requirements and is designed with respect to the local environment. The proposed facility will provide enhanced wireless coverage and capacity to the area, which will benefit residents, businesses, institutions, and improve access to emergency services. The proposed facility will adhere to all federal health and safety requirements. Rogers has performed significant effort to find a technically feasible location that respects federal and local policies. Our modern society expects high quality, fast and reliable wireless telecommunications services. The proposed facility would ensure the reliability and performance of Roger's network.

Next steps include submitting a formal report to Rocky View County along with a request for concurrence. All correspondence received with become part of the public consultation records shared with the County and ISED. Thank you for participating in the public consultation process.

Sincerely,

LandSolutions LP for Rogers Communications Canada Inc.

Brden of

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G Strategic Project Coordinator LandSolutions LP 600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, Alberta, T2R 0C5 T. (403) 290-0008 F. (403) 290-0050 E. comments@landsolutions.ca

Highlighted Industry and Health & Safety Links:

- http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/towers
- http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf11467.html
- http://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/smt-gst.nsf/eng/sf08792.html
- <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ewh-</u> semt/pubs/radiation/radio_guide-lignes_direct-eng.php
- <u>https://www.ctia.org/homepage/public-safety-channel</u>
- https://www.cwta.ca/for-consumers/health-safety/
- <u>http://www.rogers.com</u>



Cheryl McFarlane 112 Glendale Court

Cochrane, AB,

September 4, 2019

Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2 Email: <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Email: <u>comments@landsolutions.ca</u>

Re: Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, AB Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

We are opposed to the proposed site for installation of a 70 meter (230 feet) lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas. The proposed tower is to be situated approximately 660m south of our property. The tower will be visible from our property and in a direct southwest sight line to the Rocky Mountains. The proposed tower placement is on the south side of a residential community where houses have been strategically placed on the lots to take in the available mountain view. The tower regardless of it's design will be an obstruction of this mountain view.

It would seem that the Roger's package was presented conveniently while the Rocky View County (RVC) Councilors are on summer break during August, even with the revised notification package. This limits the ability for area residence to consult with our respective RVC Councilors.

It is my understanding that Springbank Airport has not been notified or information circulated to them by Rogers. Please note the following excerpt from Transport Canada TP1247E – Aviation Land use in the vicinity of Aerodromes, Section 1.1:

"Note: It is of the utmost importance to be aware that the proximity of obstacles, for example, wind turbines, telecommunications towers, antennae, smoke stacks, etc., may have an impact on the current and future usability of an aerodrome. Therefore, it is critical that planning and coordination of the siting of obstacles should be conducted in conjunction with an aerodrome operator at the earliest possible opportunity."

The map provided in the Public Notification Package (PNP), showing the proposed tower location, does not indicate the tower proximity to the Springbank Airport, which is approximately 8km north. The current proposed tower is directly within the north **Approach Surface** for the Springbank Airport, which has a **Zoning Regulation**. The approximate location of the proposed tower has been noted on Figure 11.1 SPRINGBANK AIRPORT ZONE REGULATIONS (taken from the Springbank Airport Master Plan 2009-2029).

Within the PNP – Section 7(b) refers to the requirement for aeronautical obstructions markings, which is a standard requirement for a vertical obstruction. The statement that Transport Canada has approved the

Agenda Page 178 of 347 Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, AB Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow Page 2

site is misleading to the Public, since Section 7(b) is only a reference for *aeronautical obstruction marking requirements.*



February 2009

Page 43 of 48

Agenda

Page 180 of 347

Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, AB Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow Page 3

The proposed tower shown in the artist's renderings Section 4, both show how intrusive the tower will be to the natural landscape. The visual ascetics will be an eye sore for area residents, who moved here to enjoy a rural lifestyle and the view of the mountains. It will also obstruct the natural view for those heading west along Highway 1a with the proposed site on the south side of the highway.

The Artist's Rendering showing the View to the south from approximately 250m North, is a complete **misrepresentation of the actual height on the proposed tower**.



View to the South from approximately 250m North of the proposed location.

The truck in the foreground is approximately 2.1m tall in real life. The proposed tower is going to be 70m tall in real life, therefore the tower is 33.3 times taller. (70/2.1=33.3).

In the artist's rendering the truck measures ~ 0.13 " tall x 33.3 = 4.33".

The tower is shown as less than half the height. The base of the tower is also shown at less than half of the width.

The current proposed site is within Agricultural Holdings District (AH), which does have height restrictions and even with the variance will be exceeded by 57.5 m. (188 feet).
Innovation, Science and Economic Development (ISED) Section 5 in the PNP states that "the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development (ISED)". This statement is in fact not true. The statement can be perceived to be a bully tactic to make the public and Municipality believe that their concerns are not going to be considered. When in fact, ISED states:

"The rules are also clear that Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada officials will only normally become involved if there is an impasse at the local level. Communities are the ones directly affected by tower locations. They are best positioned to work with wireless providers to ensure effective delivery of services, while also ensuring respect for local land-use considerations. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada staff are available to assist municipalities in understanding their role and to provide advice."

The existing 45m tower owned by Alta Link, referenced in the PNP - Section 5, is located approximately 680m southeast of our property. We were required to change internet providers in 2015 as the tower was unable to support additional equipment because of structural integrity, based on information provided to us from Platinum Communication and Xplornet. If the structural integrity of the Altalink tower does not allow for additional equipment, why isn't Rogers looking to partner with them and make the existing tower structurally stable, or partnering to use the existing site and building a shared tower, rather than adding an additional tower to our community.

Team up with current tower owner Atlalink and provide a team solution for network reliability enhancement plans, by building one tower that fits the required needs for the area, rather than adding an addition tower to our community. This would be good environmental stewardship and an example of good Integrated Land Management. We do not need a second tower within 680m of our residence. The location of the existing tower is approximately 872m east of the proposed site, on the north side of the highway 1A and does not obstruct anyone views of the mountains.

The considered location of the proposed tower is within the RVC Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan, building Area G, which has a central commercial development area planned approximately 2.5 km east of the proposed tower location. The hamlet commercial would be a better location for a "Commercial Communications Facility" rather than in the residential portion of Area G. Please see map 7 on the next page showing the location of the hamlet commercial. The current proposed placement is directly within the future proposed residential area, not providing separation from residential properties and has Conservations areas on the southern fringe.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide feedback and neighbourhood insight. The proposed installation site will effect the enjoyment that we get from our current southwest view. Our residence, as well as others north of the location, were built to purposely take in the southwest mountain view. People may want reliable wireless network solutions but they will not want to be starring at it from every southwest window in their home. The tower will affect the resale value and may also effect the ability to sell our house in the future. This is not a small tower and regardless of the design it will still be 70m tall.

We would hope that Rogers would convince Altalink that partnering building one tower together that would fit the wireless network needs in this area is the right solution. That would be a good neighbour solution for all; Rogers, Altalink and the community.

Respectfully Submtted,

Cheryl McFarlane

Agenda Page 181 of 347

cc: <u>SWright@rockyview.ca</u> <u>CKissel@rockyview.ca</u>



This map is conceptual in nature. No measurements or area calculations should be taken from this map.

Area Structure Plan



Ν

From:	Brad Unryn
То:	Comments; development@rockyview.ca
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]Concerns with proposed wireless communications installation at 260016 Canning Lane
Date:	August 15, 2019 11:31:43 PM
Importance:	High

Dear Rockyview Planning and Development Services & David Zacher with Landsolutions,

In response to the proposed Rogers Antenna system, we are greatly opposed to it's construction. We have recently built a home on a partly treed area in the vicinity of the proposed Antenna tower and there will be a significant impact on our property and surrounding properties especially North of Highway 1A; both in terms of visual impact and potential environmental / health concerns.

The proposed location will lie directly in our line of sight between our property and the mountains - and would be visually appalling. In addition, the aeronautical marking requirements will add additional light pollution nearby during the evening.

I find it disturbing that the proposed installation is excluded from an environmental assessment, yet there are significant regulations in place for home owners themselves in Rockyview County.

Also it infers reference to a document that makes the blanket statement that "most radio communication antennas have no significant effect on the environment" - a point that an oversimplification (as a note - any current lack of evidence does not ensure that evidence won't arise in the future & does not represent a null statement).

With reference to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 - radiofrequency exposure guidelines (published in 2019), -for surrounding home owners, it would be considered an uncontrolled environment

-significant safety measures are in place for persons working in the controlled area - due to potential RF exposure; despite their short exposure times relative to surrounding residents living in the area full-time

-safety concerns might also arise due to exposure related to multiple field sources - both from same antenna unit and also a second existing unit located to the Northeast of the proposed location.

-unclear on RF exposure from future technologies that might be on the tower that are not accounted for in the documentation; similar to Health Canada's statement that "there remains uncertainty regarding the possible long-term health risks associated with cell phone use".

We hope that the concerns of surrounding residents are properly considered in this process - and not only the interests of Rogers Communications.

Sincerely,

Dr. Brad Unryn

MB BCh BAO (hons) MSc CCFP 103 - 100 Grande Blvd Cochrane, AB

CFPCN Confidentiality Statement:

"CONFIDENTIAL: This communication is intended only for the individual or institution to which it is addressed and should not be distributed, copied, or disclosed to anyone else. The documents in this communication may contain personal, confidential or privileged information which may be subject to Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, the Health Information Act and other legislations. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance."

This message and any attached documents are only for the use of the intended recipient(s), are confidential and may contain privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, retransmission, or other disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately, and then delete the

Agenda Page 183 of 347

original message. Thank you.

Agenda Page 184 of 347

To whom it may concern

Regarding the Second Public Notification package dated August 10'19, thank you for the information. 26001 Canning Lane Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B – North Glenbow

We note we are **not in favour** of this installation. We would be pleased to help organize other residents within the 1600 M of the proposed site who have a similar negative view. If we were to engage in a public discussion on the matter, the following comments (in no particular order) would represent our general sentiments:

- A feature of our property and many others in proximity is the unobstructed view of the eastern slopes of the Rocky Mountains and Bow River valley that we enjoy from our vantage point North of the 1A highway. This 70 M tower construction obviously becomes the dominant feature between our homes and this special vista which impacts as many as 20+ homes and lots. The 70M tower spoils this view. The corridor of this view is narrow – and the tower will sit right in the middle of it.
- 2. Property value as a consequence of the lost view, properties become less desirable than they are in present state and a loss of value will be experienced in 20+ properties.
- 3. Respecting the complexities of the "3D Puzzle" that makes a cellular tower system work, we note a short move of the proposed site as little as 500M eastward removes the tower from sightlines and impacts virtually zero house/lots from the mountain view. A corridor exists from this point eastward towards Lochend Road that impacts zero or no dwellings. A move of the tower eastward away from the unique view basically negates all argument.

A couple of secondary observations:

4. As a local landowner/resident on Glendale Road since 1992 – and a customer of Rogers Mobile services since January 2004 – I have never suffered from recent dropped calls on the 1A highway or locally – except in a single location prior to 2016 about 150M "down from the crest of the big hill" as one traveled eastbound/westbound to or from Cochrane. I am in transit and on my phone daily so my experience is significant in this regard. Any problem with dropped calls seemed to be rectified 3 years ago. I use multiple devices and even get Rogers reception in the basement of my two-story house (not a walk-out). As a regular long term user my experience is different from what you

Agenda Page 185 of 347

portray.

5. A curiosity and I am no expert, but the location you propose is directly north on a plateau of the north/south Springbank Airport runway. Recognizing aircraft are typically landing and taking off with much more altitude than 70 M – yet if there was a choice would you not want to avoid that specific flight path?

A couple of comments for your consideration.

Respectfully,

DUNCAN CATHCART 18 GLENDALE ESTATES MANOR

American Express made the following annotations

This e-mail was sent to you by a representative of Amex Bank of Canada, P.O. Box 3204, Station "F", Toronto, ON, M1W 3W7, <u>www.americanexpress.ca</u>. If you no longer wish to receive these e-mails, please notify the sender by reply e-mail.

This e-mail is solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use, or distribution of the information included in this e-mail is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this e-mail and any attachments. Thank you.

American Express a fait les remarques suivantes

Ce courriel vous a été envoyé par un représentant de la Banque Amex du Canada, C.P. 3204, succursale F, Toronto (Ontario) M1W 3W7, <u>www.americanexpress.ca</u>. Si, par la suite, vous ne souhaitez plus recevoir ces courriels, veuillez en aviser les expéditeurs par courriel.

Ce courriel est réservé au seul destinataire indiqué et peut renfermer des renseignements confidentiels et privilégiés. Si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire prévu, toute divulgation, duplication, utilisation ou distribution du courriel est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce courriel par erreur, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur par courriel et détruire immédiatement le courriel et toute pièce jointe. Merci.

Agenda Page 186 of 347

Brenden Smith

From: Sent:	Eric Carstens August 8, 2019 7:54 AM
То:	development@rockyview.ca; Comments
Cc:	Eric Carstens; Anita Krebs
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]Proposed Wireless Communication Installation at 260016 Canning Lan, Rocky View, Alberta
Attachments:	2019 08 05 Proposed Wireless Communication Installation.pdf
Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:	Follow up Flagged
Categories:	Red Category

We are replying to your invitation to comment on the proposed installation on Canning Lane, Rocky View, Alberta.

We own the property directly west of Canning Lane. We are strongly oppose the proposal to erect a 70 metre cell tower on Canning Lane. Our formal response and reasons are attached to this email.

Please confirm receipt of our response!

Eric Carstens

165 Ontario St. Kingston, ON CANADA Rocky View County:

Att: Planning and Development Services

LandSolutions LP

Att: David Zacher

2019 08 07

Re: Proposed Wireless Communication Installation at 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta

We are owners of farmland property SE-05-26-03-05 (A-5346 JK) directly west of the proposed location of the cell tower on 260016 Canning Lane. We are writing to express our concerns and opposition to this proposal.

We have owned and farmed this land for over 50 years. The original attraction to purchase the property was the location midway between Calgary and Banff National Park, coupled with the spectacular scenery and prairie views. Over the decades, we have done our best to limit development in the area, have written in opposition to many residential plans nearby, and more recently, have been strong supporters of the Glenbow Ranch Provincial Park initiatives, since this represents an attempt to retain the natural values within the Glenbow area.

The current proposal is another attempt to infringe on the beauty and attractiveness of this area of Alberta. The erection of a 70 metre lattice-style self-supporting tower with projecting antennas would certain adversely affect the overall visual impact to the area, and would dramatically impose/harm our street scape views to the east.

After careful reading of the information sent to us by LandSolutions, the following represent some of our concerns.

1. The claim that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies along Highway 1A are not supported by any real documentation, nor is there any documentation to support the current location. Was there a financial commitment to the property owner at 260016 Canning Lane that convinced them to allow the proposal to go ahead? Since most of the housing development (and potential beneficiaries) in the immediate area is north of Highway 1A, why was a suitable location there not proposed? The people living in those developments would probably benefit the most since the south side of Highway 1A is predominantly farmland!

2. Since there is a current 45 metre Alta Link tower located east of the proposed site, why can it not be extended in height? Was Alta Link contacted to see if they would collaborate on renovating their current tower to make it match the requirements of the Rogers proposal? No documentation of the search for other suitable towers or structures in the area was included. Simply taking the statement from the proposers is not sufficient to support their contention that a new tower at the proposed location is the only alternative or necessary.

3. The documentation of a diagram illustrating how antennas will look when mounted on tower was missing in the submission to us. Since the appearance is probably pretty ugly, this may have been neglected on purpose.

4. We are very concerned about the potential loss in the value of our property because of the potential location of a 70 metre cell tower immediately adjacent to our property. Buyers would certainly be dismayed by the sight of a cell tower nearby.

ا Agenda Page 188 of 347 In summary, we are strongly opposed to the current proposal to construct a new tower on the property on Canning Lane, directly opposite to our property. If a new tower is required, it should be located in a more developed area that would be directly serviced by the cellular communications. In addition, since 5G networks are supposed to be available in the near future, and those networks will require many more, and much smaller antennas, these will mostly likely not be useful at the proposed site but rather in more densely populated areas, such as the north side of Highway 1A.

Carsten

Eric Carstens and Anita Krebs

From:	Erin Sather
To:	Comments; development@rockyview.ca
Cc:	Glenn Sather
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]Comments in opposition of proposed communications tower
Date:	September 6, 2019 7:05:44 PM

Attention: David Zacher, VP Land Solutions Attention: Planning and Development Services, Rocky View County

Re: proposed wireless communication installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, Alberta Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN. SW 4-26-3 W5M) Rogers Site: W4585B-North Glenbow

As property owners located within a radius of 1600m, please consider this letter as official opposition of Roger's proposed tower install.

This tower would significantly impact the property values in our area. Mountain views are a large part of our land value. According to real estate statistics, the accepted value of a good view, be it ocean or mountains, usually adds between 10% to 12% to the value of a home in an area. Why? Because people want it. Erecting a 70m cell phone tower would impair this mountain view causing our property value to be significantly affected.

Gazing at this tower on a daily basis will also affect our quality of life. We purchased our land in an effort to get away from the "visual pollution" of more densely populated areas. We chose a lifestyle in the country to appreciate the natural world, which should include natural, unobstructed horizons.

There are many other land allowances that are not within 1000m of a grouping of acreages-all which were purchased for their amazing view of the mountains. We urge Rogers to consider these other land allowances in an area that is not in direct line of sight of property owners.

Thank you for your consideration.

We'd be happy to discuss our concerns further if required.

Sincerely, Glenn and Erin Sather

2 Glendale Estates Manor

Agenda Page 190 of 347

From:	Guy Elford
To:	Brenden Smith
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]Re: Proposed Rogers Telecommunications Facility at 260016 Canning Lane (W4585)
Date:	September 17, 2019 3:34:44 PM

Hello Brendon,

I am proceeding with certification of the heliport. But it does not sound like it will make any difference. Will the neighborhood home owners concerns and resultant reduction in property values due to your proposed immense structure in the view have any weight on your decision ?

All the best,

TitanGuy ElfordThermalBusiness Development/Owner&Calgary, AB | Cell: +1 403 880-2182ProcessSolutionsSolutionsgelford@titanthermal.ca | www.titanthermal.ca

IMPORTANT NOTICE: This message is intended for the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that copying, forwarding or other dissemination or distribution of this message is prohibited and that taking any action in reliance on the content of this message is to be avoided. Should you receive this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately via e-mail or call (403) 932-2618 and delete this message from your system. Thank you.

On Sep 17, 2019, at 2:03 PM, Brenden Smith <<u>BrendenS@landsolutions.ca</u>> wrote:

Hello Mr. Elford,

Further to the attached formal response letter to all public concerns we received, I unfortunately must inform you that we must proceed with the proposed development, despite the impact it will have on your use of your property as an unregistered heliport.

Please note that after I submit to Rocky View County, they will provide a Notice of Decision and you would have the ability to appeal (if the decision is not in your favour).

Sincerely,

Brenden Smith, RPP/MCIP 5G STRATEGIC PROJECT COORDINATOR

T: 403-290-0008 F: 403-290-0050 Email: <u>Comments@Landsolutions.ca</u>

> Agenda Page 191 of 347

<image001.jpg>

<image002.jpg> <image003.jpg> <image004.jpg> <image005.jpg>

This message is intended only for the named recipients and may contain information that is confidential, privileged, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any distribution, use, or copying of this message by anyone other than the named recipients is strictly prohibited.

<image006.jpg> Please consider the environment before printing this email message.

<W4585 Response Letter #3.pdf>

Agenda Page 192 of 347

Brenden Smith

From:	melanie
Sent:	September 9, 2019 1:15 PM
То:	Comments; development@rockyview.ca
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]Re: FEEDBACK ROGERS CELL TOWER PROPOSED INSTALLATION 260016 CANNING LANE, RVC, AB

Categories: W4585

AFTER PICTURE - ROUGHLY

IT WILL BE MORE DIRECTLY BEHIND MY HOME AS FAR A LOCATION IN MY VIEW.



To, LandSolutions LP, David Zacher VP and Rockyview County, Planning and Development Services

Regarding Proposed Rogers Cell Tower Installation, 260016 Canning Lane, RVC, AB, Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN.SW 4-26-3 W5M Rogers Site: W4585B - North Glenbow

Good afternoon to all it may concern;

After viewing the Public Notification Package and conversing with several neighbours in the community, we have some major concerns with the location of the proposed Rogers Cell Tower as identified above for project implementation.

Agenda Page 193 of 347

B-1 Page 192 of 204

The proposed Cell Tower is going to significantly impede the view of the mountains that our cul de sac and surrounding community have. I moved to this home one year ago & spent a good deal of money to purchase my home which was a big stretch for me. My hope was to enjoy this view for many years to come & hopefully see my investment grow over the years. To have Rogers implement from what I gather is a 70 meter pole &/or tower in the middle of the small view I have would be a major disappointment; not to mention a depreciation of my property. Should I have to sell one day prior to my long term goal, I am sure this new tower (being such an eye sore in the middle of a narrow view) would also make it more difficult to sell my home. My neighbours on either side have a 2 storey so their eyes have a more far reaching view from their upper level. I have a bungalow, so my long reaching view is more narrow in space, so the tower will completely chop the view in half!

As noted in the public notification package, there is a 45 meter tower not too far east of the proposed tower that is noted with "insufficient space". This existing location does not interfere with a community with a number of residents looking to continue to appreciate their view over the years & monetarily hold the values of their homes. Could this existing space or existing tower not be utilized by Rogers to meet their requirements? The other consideration is to move their proposed location further east or west of the proposed location where there is not a significant number of community residents that will be affected by this new structure? Either direction seems to have options where there are no homes, just trees and fields.

I would very much like an opportunity, along with other residents in the community to discuss this further. Another option we could discuss is putting a petition together.

Thank you for taking the time to consider & I look forward to your response.

Regards,

Melanie Brown

30 Glendale Estates Manor Rockyview County

Before Picture of neighbouring view

Agenda Page 194 of 347

Brenden Smith

From:	melanie
Sent:	September 9, 2019 1:13 PM
То:	Comments; development@rockyview.ca
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]FEEDBACK ROGERS CELL TOWER PROPOSED INSTALLATION 260016 CANNING LANE, RVC, AB

Categories:

W4585

To, LandSolutions LP, David Zacher VP and Rockyview County, Planning and Development Services

Regarding Proposed Rogers Cell Tower Installation, 260016 Canning Lane, RVC, AB, Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN.SW 4-26-3 W5M Rogers Site: W4585B - North Glenbow

Good afternoon to all it may concern;

After viewing the Public Notification Package and conversing with several neighbours in the community, we have some major concerns with the location of the proposed Rogers Cell Tower as identified above for project implementation.

The proposed Cell Tower is going to significantly impede the view of the mountains that our cul de sac and surrounding community have. I moved to this home one year ago & spent a good deal of money to purchase my home which was a big stretch for me. My hope was to enjoy this view for many years to come & hopefully see my investment grow over the years. To have Rogers implement from what I gather is a 70 meter pole &/or tower in the middle of the small view I have would be a major disappointment; not to mention a depreciation of my property. Should I have to sell one day prior to my long term goal, I am sure this new tower (being such an eye sore in the middle of a narrow view) would also make it more difficult to sell my home. My neighbours on either side have a 2 storey so their eyes have a more far reaching view from their upper level. I have a bungalow, so my long reaching view is more narrow in space, so the tower will completely chop the view in half!

As noted in the public notification package, there is a 45 meter tower not too far east of the proposed tower that is noted with "insufficient space". This existing location does not interfere with a community with a number of residents looking to continue to appreciate their view over the years & monetarily hold the values of their homes. Could this existing space or existing tower not be utilized by Rogers to meet their requirements? The other consideration is to move their proposed location further east or west of the proposed location where there is not a significant number of community residents that will be affected by this new structure? Either direction seems to have options where there are no homes, just trees and fields.

I would very much like an opportunity, along with other residents in the community to discuss this further. Another option we could discuss is putting a petition together.

Agenda Page 195 of 347

Thank you for taking the time to consider & I look forward to your response.

Regards,

Melanie Brown

30 Glendale Estates Manor Rockyview County

Before Picture of neighbouring view



Agenda Page 196 of 347

From:	Ning Kang
To:	<u>Comments</u>
Cc:	development@rockyview.ca
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]I strongly oppose the antenna in 260016 canning lane, rocky view county
Date:	August 15, 2019 9:13:25 PM

To whom it may concern:

We strongly oppose the installation of antenna in 260016 canning lane, rocky view county.

We bought the property to enjoy countryside life and this makes it impossible. We don't want this modern ugly iron steel construction around us and this will greatly drag down our property value. There is already another in this area. I can't disagree more this proposal.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Orvel Miskiw
To:	Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]Proposed Cell Tower at 260016 Canning Lane near Cochrane
Date:	August 8, 2019 5:11:13 PM

Hello; I own and live on an acreage east and slightly south of the site of the proposed installation-- the point of land south of Meskanaw Rd. -- and I find the proposal acceptable in all ways as far as I can tell. The tower itself is the only aspect that might be reasonably questioned, but I think that as shown, it would be quite unobtrusive, considering the importance of wireless phone and data services these days.

Good luck, Orvel Miskiw 5 Meskanaw Rd./33145 Twp. Rd 260A/B

From:	<u>Tonya Vinje</u>
To:	Comments; development@rockyview.ca
Cc:	Rob Vinje
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]Feedback Rogers Cell Tower Proposed Installation 260016 Canning Lane, RVC, AB,
Date:	August 29, 2019 2:42:22 PM

To,

LandSolutions LP, David Zacher VP and Rockyview County, Planning and Development Services

Regarding Proposed Rogers Cell Tower Installation, 260016 Canning Lane, RVC, AB, Plan 2374JK; Block A (PTN.SW 4-26-3 W5M Rogers Site: W4585B - North Glenbow

Hi There,

After reviewing the Public Notification Package and conversing with the neighbours in the community, we have some major concerns with the location of the Proposed Rogers Cell Tower, noted above.

The Proposed Cell Tower is going to significantly impede the gorgeous view of the mountains that our Glendale Estates Manor and Glendale Court communities have. We have purchased these homes for the incredible view of the mountains and to have a 70 meter pole right in the middle of the view will be a major eye sore and distraction from the view. See before and after pictures below.

Also, this new tower will decrease the value of each of the homes in these communities.

As noted in the public notification package, there is a 45 meter tower just 872 meters east of the proposed tower that is "insufficient space", but as that is in an existing location that doesn't interfere with the community, could that not be renovated or reconfigured to meet Rogers requirements? Another option could be to build a new tower just 1 KM further east of the proposed location, where there are no houses, just trees and fields.

We would be happy to discuss our concerns further, Thank you for this consideration.

Sincerely, Rob and Tonya Vinje

26 Glendale Estates Manor Rockyview County, AB

Before Picture of our view

B-1 Page 198 of 204



After picture with a rough mock up of our new view



Cheryl McFarlane 112 Glendale Court

Cochrane, AB,

October 10, 2019

Rocky View County Attn: Planning and Development Services Email: <u>development@rockyview.ca</u> Attn: Richard Barss, Executive Leader Email: <u>RBarss@rockyview.ca</u> Attn: Mathew Wilson, Manager of Planning Email: <u>MWilson@rockyview.ca</u> 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2

LandSolutions LP Attn: David Zacher, VP Telecommunications and Network Development 600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Email: <u>comments@landsolutions.ca</u>

Re: Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 260016 Canning Lane, Rocky View County, AB Rogers Site: W4585 – North Glenbow

We have reviewed the responses from the LandSolutions presented on behalf of Rogers Communications Canada Inc (Rogers) dated September 17, 2019. We remain opposed to the proposed site for installation of a 70 meter (~230 feet) lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas.

The proposed tower is to be situated approximately 660m south of our property. The tower will be visible from our property and in a direct southwest sight line to the Rocky Mountains. The proposed tower placement is on the south side of a residential community where houses have been strategically placed on the lots to take in the available mountainview. The tower regardless of it's design will be an obstruction of this mountain view. We do not need a second tower within 680m of our residence.

Aesthetic Concerns

This is not a small tower and regardless of the design it will still be 70m. The proposed tower shown in the Artist's rendering – View to the south from approximately 250m North of the proposed location, in both the Revised Notification Package (RNP) dated August 10, 2019 and the response document dated September 17, 2019, is a complete **misrepresentation of the actual height on the proposed tower**. Please see page 2 of this document, showing scaled to actual approximate size, not just a misleading representation.

We choose our rural residence because of the southwest views it offers; there is no way that a 70m tower in the proposed location is not going to become the main focus from all eleven southwest facing windows in our home.



View to the South from approximately 250m North of the proposed location.

The truck in the foreground is approximately 2.1m tall in real life. The proposed tower is going to be 70m tall in real life, therefore the tower is 33.3 times taller. (70/2.1=33.3).

In the artist's rendering the truck measures ~ 0.13 " tall x 33.3 = 4.33".

The tower is shown as less than half the height. The base of the tower is also shown at less than half of the width.

MISREPRESENTATION OF THE ACTUAL HEIGHT OF THE PROPOSED TOWER

Co-Location & Sharing Existing Structures

The location of the existing tower is approximately 872m east of the proposed site, on the north side of the highway 1A and does not obstruct anyone views of the mountains. The existing 45m tower owned by Alta Link, referenced in the RNP - Section 5, is located approximately 680m southeast of our property.

As per Industry Canada Spectrum Management and Telecommunications, Client Procedures Circular – Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems CPC-2-0-03 Issue 5 Effective: July 15, 2014, sets out guidelines for Use of Existing Infrastructure. "consider sharing an existing antenna system, modifying or replacing a structure if necessary". We believe that this requirement has not been met, the response document states that Altalink was contacted about the potential for sharing the tower, and were informed that there is no available space for Roger's equipment.

If the structural integrity of the existing Altalink tower does not allow for additional equipment, Rogers should be looking to partner with Atlalink to modify the existing tower. Or even better yet would be to partner with Atlalink and **replace** the current structure with one that would not only fit the current needs of both Telus (the current occupant of the Atlalink tower) and Rogers, but also allow for future expansion as it is required, by both companies and other internet providers.

With there being no available space on the existing Atlalink tower, it is only a matter of time before Altalink will be required to modify or replace the existing tower. It would be in Altalink's best interest to partner with Rogers and take this opportunity to build a new tower with additional height.

We would ask that Rogers/LandSolutions convince Altalink that partnering; either modifying the existing tower or replacing it with one tower at the current site that would fit the wireless network needs in this area is the right solution.

One shared tower would be the Environmental Responsible solution to lessen the environmental footprint, which is part of all three companies Corporate Environmental Policy.

By building one tower that fits the required needs for the area, rather than adding an addition tower to our community would be good environmental stewardship, and an example of good Integrated Land Management.

Location

We understand the need for a tower, the issue we have is that it is direct sight view for the existing country residences / residential lands on the north side of the highway. We are not suggesting that it be put in someone else's sightline. We don't have the option to change our sightline if the tower goes in as planned.

We are requesting that Rogers change the purposed location to either the Hamlet Commercial or utilize the existing Altalink communication tower location on the north side of the highway 1A.

Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan (ASP)

The current proposed tower placement is directly within the future proposed residential area, not providing separation from residential properties and has Conservations areas on the southern fringe. Rocky View County's Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communication Facilities (POL#308) discourages telecommunication facility development in residential lands. The placement within the Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan (ASP) as purposed is a direct conflict of the policy, regardless of it's current land use.

The RVC Glenbow Ranch Area Structure Plan, building Area G, has a **central commercial development area** marked on the below map 7: Land Use Strategy as **Hamlet Commercial** (which has been highlighted), this site is approximately **2.5 km east** of the **proposed tower location** (which has also been highlighted). It should be noted that on the north side of the highway across from the Hamlet Commercial there are not current country residences / residential lands that would be affected by this view.

The **hamlet commercial** would be a better location for a "Commercial Communications Facility" as it is currently agricultural land, and not in the residential portion of Area G. If the purposed tower is situated in the Hamlet Commercial area, then those that would be buying residences in the surrounding Hamlet Residential would be purchasing with an existing tower and making that choice.



Agenda Page 204 of 347

Zoning Regulations

The current purposed location has a Zoning Regulation on the land title, which has a height restriction and is part of the SPRINGBANK AIRPORT ZONE REGULATIONS. We understand that the airport is 8 km south, but have concerns that this is still being overlooked.

The current proposed tower is directly within the north **Approach Surface** for the Springbank Airport, which has a **Zoning Regulation**. The approximate location of the proposed tower has been noted on Figure 11.1 SPRINGBANK AIRPORT ZONE REGULATIONS (taken from the Springbank Airport Master Plan 2009-2029).



Agenda Page 205 of 347

We do not want the tower to be built in the proposed location, as we do not need to have two communication towers within 680m of our house. The proposed installation site will affect the enjoyment that we get from our current southwest view. Our residence, as well as others on the north side of Highway 1A, north of the location, were built to purposely take in the southwest mountain view.

Rogers needs to convince Altalink to be a team player and replace the current tower with one that will not only fit the current needs but also future requirements. There is a responsibility to lessen the footprint, and this is a perfect opportunity to be doing just that. By building one tower at the existing site would have minimally impact of the environment. Team up with current tower owner Atlalink and user Telus to provide a team solution for network reliability enhancement plans in the area.

We are opposed to the current purposed location for the Wireless Communications Installation at 260016 Canning Lane.

Respectfully Submitted,

Cheryl McFarlane

CC:

Crystal Kissel, Division 9 Councillor Email: <u>CKissel@rockyview.ca</u> Samanntha Wright, Division 8 Councilor Email: <u>SWright@rockyview.ca</u> Doug Francoeur, Director, Compliance and Safety Email: <u>dougf@yyc.com</u> Michael Ahmed, Manager, Airport Lands Email: <u>mikeah@yyc.com</u> Ashvin Gadhoke, Manager, Airport Lands Email: <u>ashving@yyc.com</u> Altalink Email: <u>stakeholderrelations@altalink.ca</u> Telus Communications Email: <u>rightofwayAB@telus.com</u> Blake Richards, Member of Parliament Email: <u>blake@blakerichards.ca</u> Southern Alberta District office Email: ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca

Agenda Page 206 of 347



PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

TO :	Subdivision and Development Appeal Board	
-------------	--	--

DATE: December 11, 2019

DIVISION:

FILE: 03223449

APPLICATION: B-2; PRDP20193859

4

SUBJECT: Existing Accessory Building (Detached Garage) Addition (Wood Shed)

PROPOSAL : Existing Accessory Building (Detached Garage) Addition (Wood Shed), relaxation of total building area; relaxation of the minimum rear yard setback requirement.	GENERAL LOCATION : Located within Langdon, on the south side of Wenstrom Cres.
APPLICATION DATE: October 23, 2019	DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DECISION : Discretionary – Refused
APPEAL DATE: November 29, 2019	DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DECISION DATE: November 28, 2019
APPELLANT: Jo-Ann Riddell	APPLICANT: Jo-Ann Riddell
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lot 57, Block 9, Plan 971 2265(44 Wenstrom Crescent)	MUNICIPAL ADDRESS: 44 Wenstrom Crescent
LAND USE DESIGNATION: Hamlet Residential Single Family District (HR-1)	GROSS AREA: ± 0.64 acres
DISCRETIONARY USE : Accessory buildings are a permitted use in the HR-1 district.	DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE AUTHORITY : Section 12.2 of the Land Use Bylaw allows the Development Authority to grant a maximum of 25% of the required distance or height and 10% variance of the maximum size of a building.
PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS : The application was circulated to 22 adjacent properties. No letters in support or opposition were received.	 LAND USE POLICIES AND STATUTORY PLANS: Land Use Bylaw (C-4841-97)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The proposal is for an existing accessory building (detached garage) addition to (wood shed), constructed without permits; relaxtion of the total building area; and relaxation of the minimum rear yard setback requirement. The wood shed addition is 5.38 sq. m. (17.63 sq. ft.) in size and brings the total building area over the 65.00 sq. m (699.65 sq. ft.) permitted within the HR-1 District. The wood shed addition also requires a relaxation of the rear yard setback. These relaxations are within the variance discretion of the Development Authority as defined in Section 12.2 of the Land Use Bylaw. However, the existing wood shed addition was constructed on the overland drainage right-of-way and could materially interfere or affect the use, enjoyment, or value of neighbouring parcels as the addition



could impede overland drainage, causing flooding on the subject parcel or other parcels that rely on the overland drainage.

On November 28, 2019, the Development Authority refused the application. The Appellant appealed the decision of the Development Authority for the reasons noted within the agenda package.

PROPERTY HISTORY:

2009	2009-DP-22026 was issued for an accessory building (detached garage)	
1997	1997-BP-11746 was issued for a dwelling, single detached.	

APPEAL:

See attached report and exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

Sean MacLean Supervisor, Planning and Development Services

AB/IIt

Page 2 of 5 Agenda Page 208 of 347



DEVELOPMENT PERMIT REPORT

Application Date: October 23, 2019	File: 03223449					
Application: PRDP20193859	Applicant/Owner: Jo-Ann Riddell/ Lisa & Darren Paynter					
Legal Description: Lot 57, Block 9, Plan 971 2265, NW-23-23-27-04	General Location: Located within the hamlet of Langdon					
Land Use Designation: Hamlet Residential Single Family District (HR-1)	Gross Area: ± 0.64 acres					
File Manager: Andrea Bryden	Division: 04					

PROPOSAL:

The proposal is for an existing accessory building (detached garage) addition to (wood shed) 5.38 sq. m. (17.63 sq. ft.), constructed without permits; relaxion of the total building area; and relaxation of the minimum rear yard setback requirement.

A building permit for the garage 62.43 sq. m (672.00 sq. ft.) was issued in 2009.

The existing addition increases the building size to 67.81 sq. m (729.90 sq. ft.).

Land Use Bylaw Requirements:

Section 59.2 Uses, Permitted

Accessory buildings less than 65.00 sq. m (699.65 sq. ft.) building area

• The existing addition increases the building size to 67.81 sq. m (729.90 sq. ft.);

Section 59.5 Minimum Requirements

- (c) Yard, Front:
 - (*i*) 6.00 m (19.69 ft.)
 - Proposed: lots
- (e) Yard, Side sites without lanes:
 - (ii) accessory buildings:
 - 2. all other sites: 0.60 m (1.97 ft.)
 - Proposed (west): lots
 - Proposed (east): 0.76 (2.49 ft.)
- (f) Yard, Rear
 - (ii) accessory buildings:
 - 2. sites without lanes: 1.00 m (3.28 ft.)
 - Proposed: 0.89 (2.92 ft.)
- Existing addition encroaches into the rear yard setback;
- Existing addition was constructed on overland drainage right of way.

Section 59.6 Maximum Limits



- (a) Site Coverage:
 - (ii) accessory buildings: 10% of the site
 - Proposed: 7%
- (b) Height of buildings:
 - (ii) accessory building: 5.50 m (18.04 ft.)
 - Proposed: less than 5.50 m as seen in photos.
- (d) Total building area for all accessory buildings 90.00 sq. m (968.75 sq. ft.).
 - 74.06 sq. m (797.18 sq. ft.)
- (e) Maximum number of accessory buildings Two (2).
 - Two (2)
- Section 12.2 Uses, Discretionary Applications:
 - (c) decide upon an application for a Development Permit, notwithstanding that the proposed development does not comply with required yard, front, yard, side, yard, rear or building height dimensions set out in this Bylaw, if, in the opinion of the Development Authority the granting of a variance would not:
 - (ii) materially interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment, or value of the neighbouring properties and that the amount of the variance does not exceed 25% of the required distance or height, or does not exceed 10% of the required maximum building area for and accessory building, or does not exceed 10% of the required maximum floor area for Accessory Dwelling Unit.
 - A 4% relaxation of the maximum building area area is required.
 - An 11% relaxation of the rear yard setback is required.
 - The proposed relaxation could materially interfere or affect the use, enjoyment, or value of neighbouring parcels as the addition could impede overland drainage, causing flooding on the subject parcel or other parcels that rely on the overland drainage.

STATUTORY PLANS:

The subject parcel is located within the Langdon Area Structure Plan and was evaluated in accordance with the Land Use Bylaw.

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS:

- Access to rear yard not available;
- Shed present, located at SE corner;
- Adjacent to south property's accessory building;
- Other Sheds of similar height present on neighbouring sites;
- No visible impacts observed.

CIRCULATIONS: Requested comments by November 19, 2019

Building Services Review (November 19, 2019)

No objection to existing shed. No BP is required if the shed is not structurally supported to the garage.

Development Compliance Officer Review



No comments received.

Utility Services (November 15, 2019)

The structure in question encroaches into an overland drainage utility right-of-way. In order to ensure proper drainage and to avoid drainage impacts on this and neighbouring properties, we recommend not granting a relaxation and to require remove of the encroaching structure.

OPTIONS:

Option #1 (this would allow the existing accessory building addition to remain)

That the appeal against the decision of the Development Authority to refuse to issue a Development Permit for an existing accessory building (detached garage) addition to (wood shed), constructed without permits; relaxtion of the total building area; and relaxation of the minimum rear yard setback requirement at Lot 57, Block 9, Plan 971 2265 (44 Wenstrom Crescent) be revoked, and that a Development Permit be issued, subject to the following conditions:

Description:

- 1) That the existing accessory building (detached garage), may remain on the subject property, in general accordance with the submitted site plan and application.
 - a. That the maximum building area of the accessory building is relaxed from 65.00 sq. m. (699.65 sq. ft.) to 67.81 sq. m. (729.90 sq. ft.)
 - b. That the rear yard setback requirement for an accessory building (detached garage) is relaxed from 1.00 m (3.28 ft.) to 0.89 m (2.92 ft.).

Permanent:

- 2) That the accessory building shall not be used for commercial purposes at any time, except for a home-based business, type I.
- 3) That the accessory building shall not be used for residential occupancy purposes at any time.

Advisory:

4) That any other government permits, approvals, or compliances are the sole responsibility of the Applicant.

Option #2 (this would not allow for the construction of the accessory building)

That the appeal against the decision of the Development Authority to refuse to issue a Development Permit for the construction of an existing accessory building (detached garage) addition to (wood shed), constructed without permits; relaxtion of the total building area; and relaxation of the minimum rear yard setback requirement at Lot 57, Block 9, Plan 971 2265 (44 Wenstrom Crescent)be denied, and that the decision of Development Authority by confirmed.

Agenda Page 211 of 347



Agenda Page 212 of 347



Agenda Page 213 of 347



Agenda Page 214 of 347

B-2 Page 9 of 20



Agenda Page 215 of 347

B-2 Page 10 of 20

032234	03223418 19	03223417	03223586	03223585	03223584	03223583	03223582	03223581	03223580	0322357	9 03223578		03223878		03223913
									w	03223439 W-2	\rightarrow		03223879		
032234	429 03223430	03223431	03223432	03223433	03223434	03223435	03223436	03223437	03223438		03223440		03223880		03223897
													03223881		03223896
	WENSTROM·CRESCENT-NE 03223441 03223481 03223882 03223 03223469 03223466 03223465 03223463 03223442 03223683 03223 03223468 03223466 03223465 03223463 03223463 03223462 03223883 03223												03223895		
032234	469 03223	468 03	223467	03223466	03223	465 0	3223464	03223	463		03223442		03223883	S-NOS	03223894
032234	170							03223	462		03223443		03223884	HAN	
03223456 03223457 03223458					032234	03223459 03223460			03223461				03223885		03223892
\backslash	03223444 03223886 03225891 03223890														
	X									X	03223445 03	223906 032	23887		03223889
032233	121	03223455	03223454	03223453	03223452	0322345	1 0322345	0 0322344	9 0322344	8 03223	03223446		/	23888	03223869
												00000700	0322	23907	
032234	487 - UN-L-S-					70 00000	474 02222	475 0222	476 03223	477 032234	032234 78 03223479 032		03223739	03223740	03223741
032234		0322300	6 0322347	71 0322347	032234	73 03223	474 03223	475 03223	470 03223	477 032234	10 03223479 032	23737	00220100	00220740	
032234	485	1								-D⊝⊍GĿA	S-AVE-NE				
		r	032	23489		03223	3524	0322	3523		03223503		03223705		03223733
0822348	1/	03223502	032	23490	SCENT-NE-	0322:	3525	0322	3522	H H	03223504		03223706		03223732
/	~ °	3223501		22404	ш		2526	0333	2521	FOSE-NE	2009-0049723510014		03223707		03223731
0:	03223491			FFOYD-CR	03223526		03223521			Legend					
	03223492				03223527		03223520			Circulation Area					
	Constant Service Servi				03223528		03223519		ΙĒ	Subject Lands				03223728	
X Letters in Support															
					LA		DOV	VN	ER	CIR	CULA		N A	RE	A
					L							TIO	N A	RE	A
						NV	V-2 3	-23	-27-	W04	M		N A	RE	
	N N			v 29, 2	Lot	NV :57	V-2 3	3-23 ck:9	-27-) Pla	W04 in:97		5	N A	RE	A

Agenda Page 216 of 347


Notice of Appeal

Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Enforcement Appeal Committee

Appellant Information	U D'				
Name of Appellant(s) D. Jo-Ann Ridde Mailing Address	II, Barris	Municipality	Provi	ince	Postal Code
#324, 11245 Valley Ridge Drive NW		Calgary	AB		T3B 5V4
Main Phone # Alternate Phone # 403-769-1966		mail Address jo-ann@spelliscyriddell	.ca		
Site Information					
Municipal Address		Legal Land Description (lot, blo	ck, plan OR quarter-	-section-	township-range-meridian
44 Wenstrom Crescent NE, Rocky View County, A					
Property Roll # 03223449	PRDP2019	Permit, Subdivision Application 93859	n, or Enforcement O	rder #	
i am appealing: (check one box only)					
Development Authority Decision	Subdivisio	on Authority Decision	Decision o	f Enfor	cement Services
Approval		pproval	🗖 Stop	Order	
Conditions of Approval	Πc	onditions of Approval	Com	pliance	e Order
🖸 Refusal		efusal			
Reasons for Appeal (attach separate pa	re if require	(he			
October 23rd, 2019). I appeal the refusal for the following reason - The attached shed provides much needer is a small bungalow; - Using the attached shed for extra storage and secure; - The attached shed is aesthetically pleasing the back of the lot and is hidden from neight - The current Owners viewed and bought the prefer that it stay there; - The Sellers became aware that the proper- It is recognized that the overall size of the the setback from the rear-yard is similarly if - The attached shed is for storage only, it if	d storage fo allows the ng to both th hboring prop he property erty was not garage wit breached ho	Owners to keep their pr ne subject property and perties by the surroundir with the attached shed compliant only 3 days p th the attached shed is s powever in both cases a r	operty tidy and the neighborhoo ng fence and ma in its current loo prior to the Closi slightly over the relaxation would	their p od in g ature ti cation a ing day maxim	ersonal items safe eneral as it sits nea rees; and they would y of June 21, 2019; num size allowed ar
This information is collected for the Subdivision ar and will be used to process your appeal and to cre the Freedom of Information and Protection of Priv the Municipal Clerk at 403-230-1401. Appellant's Signature	eate a public r	ecord of the appeal hearing	. The information	n is colle	ected in accordance w
Last updated: 2018 November 13			1		Page 1 of 2



262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

> 403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

REFUSAL

Jo-Ann Riddell Suite 324, 11245 Valley Ridge Drive NW Calgary, AB T3B 5V4

Development Permit #: PRDP20193859

Date of Issue: November 28, 2019

Roll #: 03223449

Your Application dated October 23, 2019 for a Development Permit in accordance with the provisions of the Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97 of Rocky View County in respect of:

Existing accessory building (detached garage) addition (wood shed), constructed without permits; relaxation of the total building area; relaxation of the minimum rear yard setback requirement

at Lot 57, Block 9, Plan 971 2265; (44 WENSTROM CRESCENT)

has been considered by the Development Authority and the decision in the matter is that your application be **REFUSED** for the following reasons:

 The total building area of the existing accessory building addition (wood shed) does not meet the maximum requirement as defined in Section 59.2 of the Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97.

Required - 65.00 sq. m. (699.65 sq. ft.); Proposed - 67.81 sq. m (729.90 sq. ft.)

 The rear yard setback of the existing accessory building addition (wood shed) does not meet the minimum requirement as defined in Section 59.5 of the Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97.

Required - 1.00 m (3.28 ft.); Proposed - 0.89 m (2.92 ft.)

3) The existing accessory building addition (wood shed) encroaches into the overland drainage right of way.

Manager, Planning Services

NOTE: An appeal from this decision may be made to the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board of Rocky View County. Notice of Appeal to the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board from this decision shall be filed with the requisite fee of \$350.00 with Rocky View County no later than 21 days following the date on which this Notice is dated.



Page 13 of 20 262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

> 403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

B-2

Tuesday, October 29, 2019

We are requesting your comments, recommendations and/or requirements with respect to this Development Permit. In order that the application may be considered by Administration, we would appreciate receiving your reply by the date stated. If we have not received a response by this date, it will be assumed that you have no comments or objections regarding this application. Relevant information is attached.

The information regarding this permit is as follows:

Application Number:	PRDP20193859	Division:	4
Roll Number:	03223449		
Applicant(s):	Jo-Ann Riddell		
Owner(s):	Paynter, Darren & LisaScotia Mortgage (Corporation	
Proposal:	Existing shed, relaxation of a rear yard so	etback	
Legal:	Lot 57 Block 9 Plan 9712265, NW-23-23- CRESCENT NE, Langdon AB)	-27-04; (44 WE	INSTROM
Land Use:	HR-1		
Location:	Located within Langdon, on the south sic	le of Wenstron	n Cres NE
County Contact:	Andrea Bryden		
Please Reply Prior To:	Tuesday, November 19, 2019		

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please reply to the attention of:

Andrea Bryden Phone: 403.520.7294 Fax: 403.277.3066 E-Mail: abryden@rockyview.ca

Note: Please include our Application Number and our File Number in your response. It is not necessary to return this package with your reply.

Agenda Page 219 of 347

	*		FOR OFFIE BGEE 124 IOF 2
K	ROCKY VIEW COUNTY	20 193859	TOOL SCOTT
R	Cultivating Communities APPLICATION F	OR A	Date of Receipt Receipt #
	DEVELOPMENT	PERMIT	00723/19 022522
			SV
	Name of Applicant D. Jo-Ann Riddell	_{Email} jo-ann@	spelliscyriddell.ca
	Mailing Address Suite 324, 11245 Valley Ridge Drive N		
		Postal Code	Г3B 5V4
	Telephone (B) 403-769-1966 (H)		
	For Agents please supply Business/Agency/ Organization Nan	me Spelliscy Riddell, E	Barristers & Solicitors
	Registered Owner (if not applicant) Darren and Lisa Paynter		
	Mailing	Dertel Orde	
			Fax
4	LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LAND		FdX
1.	a) All / part of the <u>////</u> ¹ / ₄ Section <u>2</u> ³ / ₂ Townshi	in 23 Range 9	7 West of 4 Meridian
	b) Being all / parts of Lot <u>57</u> Block 9		
	c) Municipal Address 44 Wenstrom Crescent NE, Rock		
	d) Existing Land Use Designation <u>HR-1</u> Parce		
2.	APPLICATION FOR		
		REAR YARD S	ETBACK,
	im to 0.	89m	
3.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
	a) Are there any oil or gas wells on or within 100 metres of th		. /
	 b) Is the proposed parcel within 1.5 kilometres of a sour gas (Sour Gas facility means well, pipeline or plant) 	facility?	Yes No
	c) Is there an abandoned oil or gas well or pipeline on the pro-	operty?	Yes No
	d) Does the site have direct access to a developed Municipal	I Road?	Yes No
4.	REGISTERED OWNER OR PERSON ACTING ON HIS	BEHALF	
	D. JO-ANN RIDDELL bereby certify that	I am the register	
	(Full Name in Block Capitals)	I am the register	ed owner
		I am authorized t	to act on the owner's behalf
	and that the information given on this form is full and complete and is, to the best of my knowledge, a tr of the facts relating to this application.	rue statement	Affix Corporate Seal here if owner is listed as a named or
	k.		numbered company
	A		
	Applicant's Signature	Owner's Signature	
	Date OtoDay 22,2019	Date	

Development Permit Application

Page 1 of 2 Agenda Page 220 of 347

B-2

5. RIGHT OF ENTRY

Leveby authorize Rocky View County to enter the above parcel(s) of land for purposes of investigation and enforcement related to this Development Permit application.

Applicant's/Owner's Signature

Please note that all information provided by the Applicant to the County that is associated with the application, including technical studies, will be treated as public information in the course of the municipality's consideration of the development permit application, pursuant to the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A 2000 Chapter M-26, the Land Use Bylaw and relevant statutory plans. By providing this information, you (Owner/Applicant) are deemed to consent to its public release. Information provided will only be directed to the Public Information Office, 262075 Rocky View Point, Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2; Phone: 403-520-8199.

P. JO-ANN-KIDDELL

hereby consent to the public release and

1. disclosure of all information contained within this application and supporting documentation as part of the development process.

dau Signature

Date 22, 2019.



#324, 11245 Valley Ridge Drive NW Calgary, AB T3B 5V4 P: (403) 769-1966 F: (403) 769-1965 E: info@spelliscyriddell.ca

October 23, 2019

262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, Alberta T4A 0X2 **VIA COURIER**

Dear Sir/Madam:

RE: DEVELOPMENT PERMIT FOR RELAXATION OF REAR YARD SETBACK 44 Wenstrom Crescent NE, Rocky View County, Alberta T0J 1X1 (the "Property") Our File No: 1144

Please find attached the following documents in support of our request for relaxation of the rear yard setback in respect of the "Attached Wood Shed" located south of the garage on the subject property:

- 1. Development Permit Checklist;
- 2. Application Fee of \$265.00;
- Current Copy of Certificate of Title dated October 22nd, 2019 with all non-financial Caveats and Covenants registered on Title;
- 4. Copy of Real Property Report dated May 24th, 2019;
- 5. Copy of compliance letter from Rocky View County dated July 23, 2019;
- 6. Application Form and Authorization from Registered Owner;
- 7. Photos of "Attached Wood Shed', the subject property and surrounding properties.

We hereby submit the above documentation in support of our application for relaxation of the rear yard setback to enable to the "Attached Wood Shed" to remain in its current location as per the wishes of the new owners, Darren and Lisa Paynter.

The Attached Wood Shed sits at the rear of the property is esthetically pleasing and not overly visible due to screening from fencing around the perimeter of the subject property and the neighbors' trees to the east. The Attached Wood Shed has been made to seamlessly blend in with the house and is used for storage of personal property such as tools & equipment which enables the owners to keep their property tidy and their personal property secure.

We acknowledge the Attached Wood Shed encroaches the rear yard setback allowable for an accessory building by 11cm and respectfully request that Rocky View County grant us this Development Permit by agreeing to a relaxation of the rear yard setback.

We thank you in advance for your time and attention on this matter and should you need anything further please don't hesitate to contact the writer.

Yours Truly, SPELLISCY RIDDELL Per: iddell.

D. Jo-Ann Riddell DJR:lj Encl.

> SPELLISCY | RIDDELL BARRISTERS & SOLICITORS

> > Agenda Page 222 of 347







ALBERTA LAND SURVEYOR'S REAL PROPERTY REPORT



ABBREVIATIONS

A--Arc Length

Bldg--Building

Acc.--Accessory A/C--Air Conditioner

BOC--Back of Curb

BOW--Back of Walk

Calc.--Calculated

Cant.--Cantilever

Conc.--Concrete

DH--Drill Hole

Fd.--Found

Mk.--Mark

R--Radius

I.--Iron Post

I.B.--Iron Bar

C.S.--Countersunk

Enc.--Encroaches

P/L--Property Line

Reg.--Registration

R/W--Right of Way

W.W.--Window Well

Ret.--Retaining

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Lot 57

Block 9 Plan 971 2265

LEGEND

Distances are in m Found Iron Posts Drill Holes are show Found Iron Bars ar Found Concrete No Calculation points Pillars and posts a Property lines are Utility Right of Wa Eaves are shown t Fences are shown

All fences are within 0.2 metres of the property lines unless otherwise shown. All eaves are measured to fascia unless otherwise shown.

PURPOSE:

This Report and attached plan have been prepared for the benefit of the Property owner, subsequent owners and any of their agents for the purpose of a land conveyance, support of a subdivision application, a mortgage application, or a submittal to the municipality for the compliance certificate, etc. Copying is permitted only for the benefit of these parties, and only if the plan remains attached. Where applicable, registered easements and utility rights of way affecting the extent of the property have been shown on the attached plan. Unless shown otherwise, property corner markers have not been placed. The attached plan should not be used to establish boundaries due to the risk of misinterpretation or measurement error by the user. The information shown on this Real Property Report reflects the status of this property as of the date of survey only. Users are encouraged to have the Real Property Report updated for future requirements.

NOTE:

Title information is based on the C. of T. 151 152 451 which was searched on the 24th day of May. 2019, and is subject to: Restrictive Covenant No.: 3898GD, 951 254 205, 961 113 790 Restrictive Covenant No.: 961 192 481, 971 005 116 Easement No.: 951 254 202 Caveat No.: 971 341 217, 971 341 219, 971 341 221 Utility Right of Way No.: 971 341 218

CERTIFICATION:

Manual of Standard Practice, registered easements, and rights-of-way affecting the extent of the title to the property;

2. the improvements are entirely within the boundaries of the Property

4. no visible encroachments exist on registered easements or rights-of-way affecting the extent of the Property (except Wood Shed)

5. unless otherwise specified, the dimensions shown relate to the distances from property boundaries to the foundation walls of buildings at the date of survey.

Dated at Calgary, 24th day of May. Rheal Bourgouin, This document is not v an original or digital si an Arc Surveys Ltd. pe

M

00

ЧD

 $\overline{\Box}$

Surveyed: JZ Drav

MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:

44 Wenstrom Crescent Langdon, Alberta

Page 20 of 20

DATE OF SURVEY: May 23rd, 2019.

netres and decimals thereof.	
are shown thus:	
wn thus: re shown thus:	×
ails are shown thus:	À
are shown thus: are shown thus:	X
shown thus:	
ys are shown thus: hus:	
thus:	-xx-
in 0.2 metres of the property	lines u

I hereby certify that this report, which includes the attached plan and related survey, was prepared and performed under my personal supervision and in survey, was prepared and performed under my personal supervision and in accordance with the Alberta Land Surveyors' Association Manual of Standard Practice and supplements thereto. Accordingly within those standards and as of the date of this Report, I am of the opinion that: 1. the Plan illustrates the boundaries of the Property, the improvements as defined in Part D, Section 8.5 of the Alberta Land Surveyors' Association's

3. no visible encroachments exist on the Property from any improvements situated on an adjoining property

Alberta on this 2019.	
2.	© Copyright Arc Surveys Ltd. 2019
K)	Arc Surveys Ltd.
AL.S. valid unless it bears ignature in blue ink and armit stamp in red ink.	201B 38th Avenue NE, Calgary, AB T2E 2M3 Ph.: 403-277-1272 www.arcsurveys.ca Fax: 403-277-1275 info@arcsurveys.ca
vn: SG Scale: 1: 25	0 0m 2.5 5 10 File No.: 191100
	Page 226 of 347



PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

TO: Subdivision and Development Appeal Board

DATE:	December 11, 2019	DIVISION:	6
FILE:	07135004	APPLICATION:	B-3; PRDP20193996

SUBJECT: General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory Building

PROPOSAL : General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory Building	GENERAL LOCATION : Located approximately 2.5 miles northeast of Town of Irricana and southeast of Highway 9.
APPLICATION DATE: November 4, 2019	DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DECISION : Discretionary – Approved
APPEAL DATE: November 26, 2019	DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DECISION DATE: November 26, 2019
APPELLANT: Bradi & Daniel Hansen	APPLICANT: Bradi & Daniel Hansen
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: SW-35-27-26-W04M	MUNICIPAL ADDRESS: No municipal address
LAND USE DESIGNATION: Natural Resource District (NRI)	GROSS AREA: ± 157.51 acres
PERMITTED USE: Accessory Building DISCRETIONARY USE : General Agriculture, Dwelling Moved In – Accessory to a use listed in this district	DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE AUTHORITY: N/A
PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS : The application was circulated to 15 adjacent landowners. No letters in support or opposition were received.	 LAND USE POLICIES AND STATUTORY PLANS: County Plan (C-7280-2013) Land Use Bylaw (C-4841-97)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The application is for General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory Building.

The north portion of the subject land (about 20 acres) is currently used as a sand and gravel pit for Lafarge, which has a valid Development Permit (PRDP20171910). The gravel pit area is accessed through a private driveway going through adjacent lands in the east. The gravel pit operator confirmed that they would continue using the existing private driveway to access to their gravel pit.

The land is designated Natural Resource District (NRI) which does not allow Dwelling or Dwelling Moved In, unless the dwelling is accessory to the principal use listed under Natural Resource District.



Therefore, the Applicant proposes to apply General Agriculture for grazing 36 cattle and 3 horses as principal use. Then the proposed Dwelling Moved In could be considered accessory to General Agriculture. The grazing will take place on the south portion of the land (about 130 acres).

The Applicant proposes to locate the Dwelling Moved In and a new Accessory Building (garage) next to the current gravel pit area, and proposes to extend Range Road 262 to the subject land in order to access the residence. They confirmed that the extended portion of Range Road 262 will be used only for the dwelling and not for truck traffic for the gravel pit.

This application was evaluated in accordance with the applicable regulations of the Land Use Bylaw. The proposal meets the policies and was approved on November 26, 2019.

On the same day, the Applicant submitted a Notice of Appeal to appeal against "Prior to Issuance Conditions 4 and 5":

- 4) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall enter into a Development Agreement (DA) pursuant to Section 657 of the Municipal Government Act with the County. The DA shall include construction of Range Road 262 from the current termination point to the access point of the subject lands (approx. 80 m) to a Regional Low Volume Standard in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, which includes but not limited to:
 - a) Complete with a cul-de-sac bulb at the termination point of the road;
 - b) Road approach(es);
 - c) Appropriate signage;
 - d) Preparation and implementation of the recommendation of the Geotechnical Report;
 - e) Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan; and
 - f) Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Construction Management Plan.
- 5) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall submit payment of the \$500 preparation fee for the DA, per the County's Master Rates Bylaw.

The above conditions were prepared in accordance with Municipal Government Act and County Servicing Standards which require both physical and legal access for the new dwelling. The Applicant was advised that when they enter into a Development Agreement to build extension of Range Road 262 and approaches, they need to pay security (equal to their estimated construction cost with minimum security amount of \$150,000) in accordance with Policy C-407 Subdivision and Development Security Requirements. Even though the security will be refunded at the end, the Applicant felt that it is not affordable. They request that:

- Conditions 4 and 5 be waived; and
- They are allowed to build a private driveway on the neighbor's property instead of construction of extension of Range Road 262. The Applicant indicated that the neighbor in the north gave them a permission to build a driveway through the neighbor's land.

If Subdivision and Development Appeal Board approves the Applicant's request, Option #2 is available, which includes all of original conditions without Conditions 4 and 5. To ensure the Applicant can legally use the new private driveway, Administration recommends that the following condition be included under Option 2:

• Prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall provide an Access Easement Agreement and associated Right of Way Plan for the proposed private driveway, and register the agreement and plan on the land title of the affected lands.

It is anticipated that the Applicant will present the above to the Board for consideration.



APPEAL:

See attached report and exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

Sean MacLean Supervisor, Planning & Development Services

XD/IIt

6

Agenda Page 229 of 347

Page 230 of 347

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT REPORT

Application Date: November 4, 2019	File: 07135004
Application: PRDP20193996	Applicant: Bradi & Daniel Hansen Owner: Bradi & Daniel Hansen, Lafarge Canada
Legal Description: SW-35-27-26-W04M	General Location: Located approximately 2.5 miles northeast of Town of Irricana and southeast of Highway 9.
Land Use Designation: Natural Resource District (NRI)	Gross Area: ± 157.51 acres
File Manager: Xin Deng	Division: 6

PROPOSAL:

This proposal is for General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory Building.

- The north portion of the subject land (about 20 acres) is currently used as a sand and gravel pit for Lafarge, which has a valid Development Permit (PRDP20171910). The gravel pit area is accessed through a private driveway going through adjacent lands in the east. Lafarge confirmed that they would continue using the existing private driveway for access.
- The land is designated Natural Resource District (NRI) which does not allow Dwelling or Dwelling Moved In, unless the dwelling is accessory to the principal use listed under Natural Resource District. Therefore, the Applicant proposes to apply General Agriculture for grazing 35 black angus cows, 1 black angus bull, and 3 horses as principal use. Then the proposed Dwelling Moved In could be considered accessory to the principal use of General Agriculture. The grazing will take place on the south portion of the land (about 130 acres).
- The Applicant proposes to locate the Dwelling Moved In and Accessory Building next to the current gravel pit area, and they confirmed it is the location they wanted.
- In order to provide access to the proposed residence, the Applicant proposes to extend Range Road 262 to the subject land, and confirmed that the extended portion of Range Road 262 will be used by them only not Lafarge.
- The proposed Dwelling is currently located at SE-26-06-23-W04M, and will be moved to the subject land (SW-35-27-26-W04M). It is an approximately 167.6 sq. m. (1,804.03 sq. ft.) bungalow, and it is 4.27 m (14 ft.) high;
- The proposed new Accessory Building (garage) will be built besides the proposed Dwelling Moved In. The Accessory Building will be 66.89 sq. m. (720 sq. ft.) in size, and 3.66 m (12 ft.) high.

LAND USE BYLAW:

Section 8 Definitions

DWELLING, MOVED-IN, means a dwelling, single detached, with a minimum width of 5.00 m (16.40 ft.) that was constructed either in whole or in part in accordance with the Alberta Building Code, other than a new manufactured home that has never been occupied as a residence, and is placed onto another parcel.



Section 24 Livestock Regulations

- 24.1(d) For parcels 16.19 hectares (40.00 acres) or more and animal types not recognized by the Agricultural Operation and Practices Act and/or the Natural Resources Conservation Board, the maximum number of animals is 50
 - The subject land is 157.51 acres. Even though the Applicant proposes to graze livestock on 130 acres of land, leaving 20 acres of land to Lafarge's gravel business, it is still greater than 40 acres. Therefore, the maximum number of animals is 50. The proposed 39 animals (36 cattle and 3 horses) meets the requirement.
- 24.6 Keeping of Livestock
 - (a) The following applies to all parcels of land on which livestock is kept:
 - (i) pasture management shall be maintained to ensure there is no overgrazing;
 - The Applicant will have the cows on approximately 1-2 months in the fall after getting the hay off. Horses will be fed with hay through the winter. They use stock waters to water cows and horses, the river that runs through our place is fenced off from the animals
 - (ii) manure management shall occur to ensure no runoff onto adjacent lands, riparian areas, or to a watercourse and to reduce odour.
 - Manure will be spread when float the land to fertilize the hay crop.
 - The applicant gives ivermectin to the cows once a year.
 - The applicant will spray weeds if there are any weeds.
- Section 58 Natural Resource Industrial District (NRI)
 - 58.2 Uses, Permitted

Accessory buildings less than 250.00 sq. m (2,690.98 sq. ft.) building area

- The proposed accessory building (garage) will be 66.89 sq. m. (720 sq. ft.) in size, which meets the requirement.
- 58.3 Uses, Discretionary

Agriculture, General Dwelling, Moved-in - accessory to a use listed in this district

- The proposed General Agriculture will be principal use, and then the proposed Dwelling Moved In would be considered accessory to General Agriculture.
- 58.4 General Regulations
 - (d)(i) Front yard setback (to County Road Allowance in the west):
 - Required: 45.00 m (147.64 ft.);
 - Proposed Dwelling Moved In: Lots, which meets the requirement.
 - Proposed Accessory Building: Lots, which meets the requirement
 - (d)(ii) Side yard setback (to other lands in the north and south):
 - Required: 6.90 m (22.64 ft.)/ 6.90 m (22.64 ft.);
 - Proposed Dwelling Moved In: Lots/Lots, which meets the requirement.

Agenda Page 231 of 347



• Proposed Accessory Building: Lots/Lots, which meets the requirement

(d)(iii) Rear yard setback (to other lands in the east):

- Required: 15.00 m (49.21 ft.);
- Proposed Dwelling Moved In: Lots, which meets the requirement.
- Proposed Accessory Building: Lots, which meets the requirement
- (e)(i) Minimum habitable floor area for dwelling, moved-in:
 - Required: 92.00 sq. m. (990.28 sq. ft.);
 - Proposed Dwelling Moved In: 167.6 sq. m. (1,804.03 sq. ft.), which meets the requirement.
- (e)(ii) Maximum height of building:

Principal Building:

- Required: 10.00 m. (32.81 ft.);
- Proposed: 4.27 m. (14 ft.), which meets the requirement.

Accessory Building

- Required: 5.50 m. (18.04 ft.);
- Proposed: 3.66 m. (12 ft.), which meets the requirement.

Additional Information:

Moving Company Information:

Wade's House Moving & Heavy Hauling Ltd. 6239-65 Street, Taber, AB T1G 0A5 403-223-1885

Insurance Company (for Mover):

Western Financial Group (TAB) 5300-47th Avenue, Taber, AB T1G 1R1 403-223-8123

Application History:

Planning Application:

• There is no history of planning applications;

Development Permit Application:

- PRDP20171910: The Development Permit for "renewal of Natural Resource Extraction/Processing (sand and gravel)" was issued on August 25, 2017 and valid until July 31, 2022. It was the 6th renewal.
- 2012-DP-14967: The Development Permit for "renewal of Natural Resource Extraction/Processing (sand and gravel)" was issued on August 1, 2012 and expired on June 24, 2017. It was the 5th renewal.
- 2006-DP-11960: The Development Permit for "renewal of Natural Resource Extraction/Processing (sand and gravel)" was issued on November 15, 2006 and expired on June 24, 2012. It was the 4th renewal.



2001-DP-9376: The Development Permit for "renewal of Natural Resource Extraction/Processing (sand and gravel)" was issued on October 17, 2001 and expired on June 24, 2006. It was the 3rd renewal.

Building Permit Application:

• There is no history of building permit applications.

STATUTORY PLANS:

The land does not fall any Area Structure Plan, and thus the application was evaluated in accordance with the Land Use Bylaw. The land is located in the notification area of Irricana. The application was circulated to Town of Irricana for comments.

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS:

• No inspection completed at the time of report preparation.

CIRCULATIONS:

Alberta Transportation:

• No response.

Town of Irricana

• No response.

Building Services, Rocky View County:

- No objection to moved in dwelling and Accessory Building, subject to BP's. Must follow "Moved-on Dwelling/Manufactured Home & Accessory Building" checklists.
- Submit pictures of CSA and AB labels for the Manufactured Home OR a structural review from a professional engineer to ensure compliance.

Agricultural Services, Rocky View County:

• No agricultural concerns.

Development Compliance, Rocky View County:

• No response.

Operations Division, Rocky View County:

- Capital Project: No concerns
- Utility Services: No concerns
- Transportation:
 - Applicant to contact County Road Operations with haul details for materials and equipment needed during construction/site development to confirm if Road Use Agreements will be required for any hauling along the County road system and to confirm the presence of County road ban restrictions.
 - Applicant to confirm access to development / subdivided lots.
 - Site Grading, fill placement, temporary stockpile placement and berm construction are not to negatively impact existing surface drainage or direct additional surface drainage into adjacent County road allowance.



Page 234 of 347

- sketch provided in PRDP application shows applicant intends to construct portion of his driveway in County Road Allowance. This will require entering into road construction agreement with the County.
- Applicant to have house mover contact Roadata Services for proper permit to transport house along County and Provincial Road system

Planning and Development Services - Engineering, Rocky View County:

General:

- The review of this file is based upon the application submitted. These conditions/recommendations may be subject to change to ensure best practices and procedures.
- As per the application, the applicant is proposing to move a house and garage to the subject lands which also contain a sand pit operated by Lafarge Canada Inc.

Geotechnical:

- As per GIS information, slopes greater than 15% are not observed.
- Engineering has no requirements at this time.

Transportation:

- As the parcel does not have physical access to a developed county road, prior to issuance of DP, the Owner shall enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to Section 657 of the Municipal Government Act and shall include the following:
 - Construction of Range Road 262 from the current termination point to the access point of the subject lands (approx. 80 m) to a Regional Low Volume Standard in accordance with the County Servicing Standards which includes but not limited to:
 - a. Complete with a cul-de-sac bulb at the termination point of the road;
 - b. Road approach(es);
 - c. Appropriate signage;
 - d. Preparation and implementation of the recommendation of the Geotechnical Report;
 - e. Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan; and
 - f. Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Construction Management Plan.
- Prior to issuance of DP, the applicant shall pay the \$500 preparation fee for the Development Agreement as per the applicable master rates bylaw.
- As the subject lands are within adjacent to Highway 9, the application is to be circulated to AT for their review and comment.
- This development is unlikely to result in an increase in traffic on the local road network and is directly associated with the construction of a dwelling. Therefore, the applicant will not be required to pay the transportation offsite levy, as per the applicable TOL bylaw.

Sanitary/Waste Water:

- Engineering has no requirements at this time.
- No regional servicing is provided in the area therefore it is assumed that the dwelling shall be serviced via a permitted septic system.



Page 235 of 347

Water Supply and Waterworks:

- Engineering has no requirements at this time.
- No regional servicing is provided in the area therefore it is assumed that the dwelling shall be serviced via a groundwater well.

Storm Water Management:

- Engineering has no requirements at this time.
- Since the proposed development is not expected to significantly increase the imperviousness of the area, minimal impact to existing drainage conditions is expected.

Environmental:

- As per GIS review, no environmentally sensitive areas are observed.
- Engineering has no requirements at this time.

OPTIONS:

Option #1 (this would approve the application with all of original conditions)

That the appeal against Development Permit for General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory Building at SW-35-27-26-W04M be denied, that the decision of the Development Authority be confirmed, and that a Development Permit be issued, subject to the following conditions:

Description:

- 1. That Agriculture, General may take place on the subject property, in accordance with the approved application.
 - a. That 39 animals (36 Cattle and 3 Horses) may be permitted on the subject land at any time.
- 2. That a Dwelling, Moved-In, accessory to the principal use, may be placed on the subject land, in accordance with the approved site plan and application.
- 3. That construction of an accessory building (garage) may take place on the subject land, in accordance with the approved site plan and application

Prior to Issuance:

Road Construction

- 4. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall enter into a Development Agreement (DA) pursuant to Section 657 of the Municipal Government Act with the County. The DA shall include construction of Range Road 262 from the current termination point to the access point of the subject lands (approx. 80 m) to a Regional Low Volume Standard in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, which includes but not limited to:
 - a. Complete with a cul-de-sac bulb at the termination point of the road;
 - b. Road approach(es);
 - c. Appropriate signage;
 - d. Preparation and implementation of the recommendation of the Geotechnical Report;
 - e. Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan; and



Page 236 of 347

- f. Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Construction Management Plan.
- 5. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall submit payment of the \$500 preparation fee for the DA, per the County's Master Rates Bylaw.

Dwelling, Moved In

- 6. That prior to issuance of this permit, a \$10,000 Irrevocable Letter of Credit shall be deposited with Rocky View County (the County) to ensure that conditions of this permit are satisfied. If the conditions of this permit are not met, the County may use the funds, enter onto the described land, and carry out the work necessary to meet the conditions.
- 7. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall confirm acceptance of or refusal to participate in the Voluntary Contribution for Community Recreation Funding on the form provided by the County, and that the contribution, if accepted, is \$800.00, calculated at \$800.00 for each residential unit.
- 8. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall contact County Road Operations and obtain an overweight/over dimension permit for travel on the County road system for the subject house move.
 - a. Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.
- 9. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall submit a new approach application to County Road Operations, for approval for any new construction, installation, or alterations of any driveways/approaches.
 - a. Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.

Permanent:

Dwelling, Moved In

- 10. That the dwelling, moved-in:
 - a. shall be placed on a foundation and the foundation shall be parged;
 - b. shall have the exterior steps finished to the satisfaction of the building inspector;
 - c. shall have all damage incurred during the move repaired; and
 - d. shall have the exterior completely finished.
- 11. That it is the Applicant/Owner's responsibility to obtain and display a distinct municipal address, in accordance with the County Municipal Addressing Bylaw (Bylaw C-7562-2016), for each dwelling unit located on the subject site to facilitate accurate emergency response.

Agriculture, General (Keep of Livestock)

- 12. That the Manure and Grazing Management Plan as submitted with the application shall be practiced at all times.
 - a. If overgrazing becomes evident on the property, revised grazing or manure management procedures may need to be implemented or the number of animal units may need to be decreased, upon request by the County.



Page 237 of 347

- 13. That all manure shall be collected and disposed of off-site or worked into the fields on a continual basis at least once a month.
- 14. That if there is an excessive build-up of manure, that manure must be removed immediately.
- 15. That the Applicant/Owner shall ensure the property contains adequate livestock fencing, to ensure all livestock units remain on the subject property at all times

Accessory Building

- 16. That the Accessory Building shall not be used for commercial purpose at any time, except for a Home-Based Business Type I.
- 17. That the Accessory Building shall not be used for residential occupancy purposes at any time.
- 18. That the exterior siding and roofing materials of the accessory building shall be similar / cohesive to the existing shed, single-detached dwelling and/or area.

General

- 19. That there shall be no more than 1.00 m (3.28 ft.) of fill/topsoil placed adjacent to or within 15.00 m (49.21 ft.) of the proposed dwelling unit or accessory building under construction, which is used to establish approved final grades unless a Development Permit has been issued for additional fill and topsoil.
- 20. That any plan, technical submission, agreement, or other matter submitted and approved as part of the Development Permit application, or submitted in response to a Prior to Issuance or Occupancy condition, shall be implemented and adhered to in perpetuity.

Advisory:

- 21. That Building Permits / Farm Building Location Permit and applicable sub-trade permits shall be obtained through Building Services, prior to any construction taking place.
 - a. The dwelling, moved-in application shall utilize the Move-On Dwellings/Manufactured Homes checklist and shall include submitted pictures of CSA and AB labels for the Manufactured Home OR a structural review from a professional engineer to ensure compliance;
 - b. The accessory building application shall utilize the accessory building or farm building location checklist;
- 22. That during construction, all construction and building materials shall be maintained on site in a neat and orderly manner. Any debris or garbage shall be stored/placed in garbage bins and disposed of at an approved disposal facility.
- 23. That during construction, the County's Noise Bylaw C-5772-2003 shall be adhered to at all times.
- 24. That the site shall remain free of restricted and noxious weeds and maintained in accordance with the Alberta Weed Control Act [Statutes of Alberta, 2008 Chapter W-5.1, December 2017].
- 25. That any other government permits, approvals, or compliances are the sole responsibility of the Applicant/Owner.
- 26. That if the development authorized by this Development Permit is not commenced with reasonable diligence within 12 months from the date of issue, and completed within 24 months of the issue, the permit is deemed to be null and void, unless an extension to this permit shall first have been granted by the Development Officer.

Page 238 of 347

27. That if this Development Permit is not issued by **JUNE 30, 2020** or the approved extension date, then this approval is null and void and the Development Permit shall not be issued.

Option #2 (this would approve the application with the applicant request)

That the appeal against Development Permit for General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory Building at SW-35-27-26-W04M be upheld, that the decision of the Development Authority be varied, and that a Development Permit be issued, subject to the following conditions:

Description:

- 1. That Agriculture, General may take place on the subject property, in accordance with the approved application.
 - a. That 39 animals (36 Cattle and 3 Horses) may be permitted on the subject land at any time.
- 2. That a Dwelling, Moved-In, accessory to the principal use, may be placed on the subject land, in accordance with the approved site plan and application.
- 3. That construction of an accessory building (garage) may take place on the subject land, in accordance with the approved site plan and application.

Prior to Issuance:

Road Construction

- 4. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall enter into a Development Agreement (DA) pursuant to Section 657 of the Municipal Government Act with the County. The DA shall include construction of Range Road 262 from the current termination point to the access point of the subject lands (approx. 80 m) to a Regional Low Volume Standard in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, which includes but not limited to:
 - a) Complete with a cul-de-sac bulb at the termination point of the road;
 - b) Road approach(es);
 - c) Appropriate signage;
 - d) Preparation and implementation of the recommendation of the Geotechnical Report;
 - e) Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan; and
 - f) Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Construction Management Plan.
- 5. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall submit payment of the \$500 preparation fee for the DA, per the County's Master Rates Bylaw.

Access:

6. Prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall provide an Access Easement Agreement and associated Right of Way Plan for the proposed private driveway, and register the agreement and plan on the land title of the affected lands.

Dwelling, Moved In

7. That prior to issuance of this permit, a \$10,000 Irrevocable Letter of Credit shall be deposited with Rocky View County (the County) to ensure that conditions of this permit are satisfied. If the conditions of this permit are not met, the County may use the funds, enter onto the described land, and carry out the work necessary to meet the conditions.

- 8. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall confirm acceptance of or refusal to participate in the Voluntary Contribution for Community Recreation Funding on the form provided by the County, and that the contribution, if accepted, is \$800.00, calculated at \$800.00 for each residential unit.
- 9. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall contact County Road Operations and obtain an overweight/over dimension permit for travel on the County road system for the subject house move.
 - a. Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.
- 10. That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall submit a new approach application to County Road Operations, for approval for any new construction, installation, or alterations of any driveways/approaches.
 - b. Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.

Permanent:

Dwelling, Moved In

- 11. That the dwelling, moved-in:
 - c. shall be placed on a foundation and the foundation shall be parged;
 - d. shall have the exterior steps finished to the satisfaction of the building inspector;
 - e. shall have all damage incurred during the move repaired; and
 - f. shall have the exterior completely finished.
- 12. That it is the Applicant/Owner's responsibility to obtain and display a distinct municipal address, in accordance with the County Municipal Addressing Bylaw (Bylaw C-7562-2016), for each dwelling unit located on the subject site to facilitate accurate emergency response.

Agriculture, General (Keep of Livestock)

- 13. That the Manure and Grazing Management Plan as submitted with the application shall be practiced at all times.
 - g. If overgrazing becomes evident on the property, revised grazing or manure management procedures may need to be implemented or the number of animal units may need to be decreased, upon request by the County.
- 14. That all manure shall be collected and disposed of off-site or worked into the fields on a continual basis at least once a month.
- 15. That if there is an excessive build-up of manure, that manure must be removed immediately.
- 16. That the Applicant/Owner shall ensure the property contains adequate livestock fencing, to ensure all livestock units remain on the subject property at all times

Accessory Building

- 17. That the Accessory Building shall not be used for commercial purpose at any time, except for a Home-Based Business Type I.
- 18. That the Accessory Building shall not be used for residential occupancy purposes at any time.



19. That the exterior siding and roofing materials of the accessory building shall be similar / cohesive to the existing shed, single-detached dwelling and/or area.

General

- 20. That there shall be no more than 1.00 m (3.28 ft.) of fill/topsoil placed adjacent to or within 15.00 m (49.21 ft.) of the proposed dwelling unit or accessory building under construction, which is used to establish approved final grades unless a Development Permit has been issued for additional fill and topsoil.
- 21. That any plan, technical submission, agreement, or other matter submitted and approved as part of the Development Permit application, or submitted in response to a Prior to Issuance or Occupancy condition, shall be implemented and adhered to in perpetuity.

Advisory:

- 22. That Building Permits / Farm Building Location Permit and applicable sub-trade permits shall be obtained through Building Services, prior to any construction taking place.
 - h. The dwelling, moved-in application shall utilize the Move-On Dwellings/Manufactured Homes checklist and shall include submitted pictures of CSA and AB labels for the Manufactured Home OR a structural review from a professional engineer to ensure compliance;
 - i. The accessory building application shall utilize the accessory building or farm building location checklist;
- 23. That during construction, all construction and building materials shall be maintained on site in a neat and orderly manner. Any debris or garbage shall be stored/placed in garbage bins and disposed of at an approved disposal facility.
- 24. That during construction, the County's Noise Bylaw C-5772-2003 shall be adhered to at all times.
- 25. That the site shall remain free of restricted and noxious weeds and maintained in accordance with the Alberta Weed Control Act [Statutes of Alberta, 2008 Chapter W-5.1, December 2017].
- 26. That any other government permits, approvals, or compliances are the sole responsibility of the Applicant/Owner.
- 27. That if the development authorized by this Development Permit is not commenced with reasonable diligence within 12 months from the date of issue, and completed within 24 months of the issue, the permit is deemed to be null and void, unless an extension to this permit shall first have been granted by the Development Officer.
- 28. That if this Development Permit is not issued by **JUNE 30, 2020** or the approved extension date, then this approval is null and void and the Development Permit shall not be issued.

Option #3 (this application would not be approved)

That the appeal against Development Permit for General Agriculture, Placement of Dwelling Moved In, and Construction of an Accessory Building at SW-35-27-26-W04M be denied, that the decision of the Development Authority be revoke, and that a Development Permit shall not be issued.

Agenda Page 240 of 347



Agenda Page 241 of 347



Agenda Page 242 of 347



Agenda Page 243 of 347

B-3 Page 18 of 61



Agenda Page 244 of 347

B-3 Page 19 of 61





Agenda Page 246 of 347



Agenda Page 247 of 347



Agenda Page 248 of 347

B-3 Page 23 of 61



Agenda Page 249 of 347



Notice of Appeal

Subdivision and Development Appeal Board **Enforcement Appeal Committee**

Appellant Information		
Name of Appellant(s) Dan and Brad	li Hansen	
Site Information		
Municipal Address	Legal Land Description (lot, bloc	k, plan OR quarter-section-township-range-meridia
n/a	SW-35-27-26 W4M	
Property Roll# PRDP20193996 07135004	Development Permit, Subdivision Application,	- /
	PRDP201939	16
I am appealing: (check one box only)		
Development Authority Decision	Subdivision Authority Decision	Decision of Enforcement Services
Approval Conditions of Approval	Approval Conditions of Approval	Stop Order
Refusal		
Reasons for Appeal (attach separate p We would like to appeal the road off of the Rocky View Road allow they have agreed to sign a access a young family just getting our ra	l conditions 4 & 5. We are prop vance. The road would go throu ssory easement and have it reg unch started and cannot afford t	ugh some neighbors land which istered on their land title. We a the requirements Rocky View
We would like to appeal the road off of the Rocky View Road allow they have agreed to sign a acces	I conditions 4 & 5. We are prop vance. The road would go throu ssory easement and have it reg inch started and cannot afford t or the deposit of \$150,000.00. e \$150,000.00 we would not ha tell me the appeal board canno We are extremely disappoint is come look at the approach that	igh some neighbors land which istered on their land title. We a the requirements Rocky View If the county is willing to relax ave to put the driveway on our t waive that fee so unfortunated ed with these standards and the at is already there. Is Extremely
We would like to appeal the road off of the Rocky View Road allow they have agreed to sign a access a young family just getting our ra has set for there road allowance the road standards and waive the neighbors land however Xin did t this is the route we have to take. fact that no one is willing to even frustrating. We understand why t take this case by case.	I conditions 4 & 5. We are prop vance. The road would go throu ssory easement and have it reg inch started and cannot afford t or the deposit of \$150,000.00. e \$150,000.00 we would not ha tell me the appeal board canno We are extremely disappoint is come look at the approach that	igh some neighbors land which istered on their land title. We a the requirements Rocky View If the county is willing to relax ave to put the driveway on our t waive that fee so unfortunate ed with these standards and th at is already there. Is Extremely
We would like to appeal the road off of the Rocky View Road allow they have agreed to sign a acces a young family just getting our ra has set for there road allowance the road standards and waive the neighbors land however Xin did t this is the route we have to take. fact that no one is willing to even frustrating. We understand why t	I conditions 4 & 5. We are prop vance. The road would go throu ssory easement and have it reg inch started and cannot afford t or the deposit of \$150,000.00. e \$150,000.00 we would not ha tell me the appeal board canno We are extremely disappoint is come look at the approach that	igh some neighbors land which istered on their land title. We a the requirements Rocky View If the county is willing to relax ave to put the driveway on our t waive that fee so unfortunate ed with these standards and th at is already there. Is Extremely

and will be used to process your appeal and to create a public record of the appeal hearing. The information is collected in accordance with the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. If you have questions regarding the collection or use of this information, contact the Municipal Clerk at 403-230-1401.

Appellant's Signature Date Nov. 26, 2019

Appellant's Signature

Last updated: 2018 November 13

Page 1 of 2

Agenda Page 250 of 347

Kristen Tuff

From:	Linda Skuce
Sent:	Monday, November 25, 2019 9:42 AM
То:	Kristen Tuff
Cc:	Bradi Hansen; Corwin Skuce
Subject:	Dan & Bradi Hanson, Private Road Access on NW35-27-26W4M
Follow Up Flog	Followup
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Good Morning Kristin,

We are giving Dan & Bradi Hanson permission to a private road access through the west corner of our land on NW 35-27-26-W4M.

We feel that Rocky View is not playing fair with them - why should we give up our land because you won't even go and look the situation over. What they requested of you is not unreasonable.

Doug & Linda Skuce

Agenda Page 251 of 347

View: Existing cul-desac off highway 9. Looking east


View: Exisitng cul de sac looking SE from highway 9



Agenda Page 253 of 347



Agenda Page 254 of 347



Agenda Page 255 of 347

View: Existing driveway Looking west from the SW corner of our land

Agenda Page 256 of 347

B-3 Page 31 of 61

View: where proposed driveway would go if we have to put through neighbours land looking NW from our land.

> Agenda Page 257 of 347



Agenda Page 258 of 347



Agenda Page 259 of 347

Kristen Tuff

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bradi Hansen Wednesday, November 27, 2019 10:52 AM Kristen Tuff; Xin Deng Re: Notice of Appeal 07135004; PRDP20193996 photo

Hi Kristin,

See Below:

First Picture is the proposed driveway through neighbours' land if the board will not wave the \$150,000.00 fee and relax the road standards.

Orange is property lines Black is new access into Hansens Property

Tie into existing lease road @ east road easement and property line. Strip topsoil on neighbours to the north property.

190 ft of access on the neighbour's property.

The second Picture is our original plan that we would like to stick with.

Proposed Road Development (pointing to the curved road into our property) Private Driveway (the box under the road on our property) Harvest Pipeline (pointing to the dotted line)

I also included an original picture of this in my permit application along with a quote from our contractor I'll send you the quote to include in the appeal.

Bradi Hansen

From: KTuff@rockyview.ca <KTuff@rockyview.ca> Sent: November 27, 2019 4:54 PM

To:

Cc: XDeng@rockyview.ca <XDeng@rockyview.ca> **Subject:** RE: Notice of Appeal 07135004; PRDP20193996 photo

Hi Bradi,

I was just putting these pictures in the package and am having difficulty reading what they say. Would you mind just emailing me what each picture says? Once I have the wording, I will type it out bigger to make it easier for the Board to read.

Thanks,

Kristen

KRISTEN TUFF, MPPA

Appeals Coordinator | Municipal Clerk's Office

ROCKY VIEW COUNTY 262075 Rocky View Point | Rocky View County | AB | T4A 0X2 Phone: 403-520- 8155 | ktuff@rockyview.ca | www.rockyview.ca

From: Bradi Hansen
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 3:28 PM
To: Xin Deng <XDeng@rockyview.ca>
Cc: Kristen Tuff <KTuff@rockyview.ca>
Subject: Re: Notice of Appeal 07135004; PRDP20193996 photo

Agenda Page 261 of 347

J&S Contracting INC. 24026 TWP RD 280 Rockyview county AB T4B 4N4 403-801-7444 Jessedoyle1983@gmail.com

Estimate

ADDRESS

Hansen

ESTIMATE # 1043 DATE 12/10/2019

ACTIVITY	QTY	RATE	TAX	AMOUNT
Equipment Strip existing road of vegetation from cul de sac to existing property entrance	1	250.00	GST	250.00
materials Haul in 85tonnes of 25mm road gravel (6 tandem loads)	1	2,450.00	GST	2,450.00
Equipment Spread out 6 loads of 25mm road gravel @ 4 inches thick	1	750.00	GST	750.00
mobilization/ demobilization Skid steer in and out of site	1	250.00	GST	250.00
Stripping of topsoil to realign road way or on existing roadway is not included in estimate. Work specified in estimate will be work completed anything over and above estimate will be deemed additional work.		SUBTOTAL GST @ 5% TOTAL		3,700.00 185.00 \$3,885.00
TAX SUMMARY				
RATE		TAX		NET
GST @ 5%		185.00		3,700.00

Accepted By

Accepted Date





262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

> 403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

THIS IS NOT A DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

Please note that the appeal period *must* end before this permit can be issued and that any Prior to Issuance conditions (if listed) *must* be completed.

NOTICE OF DECISION

Hansen, Bradi & Dan

Page 1 of 4

Tuesday, November 26, 2019

Roll: 07135004

RE: Development Permit #PRDP20193996

SW-35-27-26-04;

The Development Permit application for Agriculture, General, construction of a dwelling, moved-in and construction of an accessory building has been **conditionally-approved** by the Development Officer subject to the listed conditions below (**PLEASE READ ALL CONDITIONS**):

Description:

- 1) That Agriculture, General may take place on the subject property, in accordance with the approved application.
 - a) That 39 animals (36 Cattle and 3 Horses) may be permitted on the subject land at any time.
- 2) That a Dwelling, Moved-In, accessory to the principal use, may be placed on the subject land, in accordance with the approved site plan and application.
- That construction of an accessory building (garage) may take place on the subject land, in accordance with the approved site plan and application

Prior to Issuance:

Road Construction

- 4) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall enter into a Development Agreement (DA) pursuant to Section 657 of the Municipal Government Act with the County. The DA shall include construction of Range Road 262 from the current termination point to the access point of the subject lands (approx. 80 m) to a Regional Low Volume Standard in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, which includes but not limited to:
 - a) Complete with a cul-de-sac bulb at the termination point of the road;
 - b) Road approach(es);
 - c) Appropriate signage;

Agenda Page 263 of 347

B-3 Page 38 of 61



262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

> 403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

Hansen, Bradi & Dan Page 2 of 4 #PRDP20193996

- d) Preparation and implementation of the recommendation of the Geotechnical Report;
- e) Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan; and
- f) Preparation and implementation of the recommendations of the Construction Management Plan.
- 5) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall submit payment of the \$500 preparation fee for the DA, per the County's Master Rates Bylaw.

Dwelling, Moved In

- 6) That prior to issuance of this permit, a \$10,000 Irrevocable Letter of Credit shall be deposited with Rocky View County (the County) to ensure that conditions of this permit are satisfied. If the conditions of this permit are not met, the County may use the funds, enter onto the described land, and carry out the work necessary to meet the conditions.
- 7) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall confirm acceptance of or refusal to participate in the Voluntary Contribution for Community Recreation Funding on the form provided by the County, and that the contribution, if accepted, is \$800.00, calculated at \$800.00 for each residential unit.
- 8) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall contact County Road Operations and obtain an overweight/over dimension permit for travel on the County road system for the subject house move.
 - a) Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.
- 9) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall submit a new approach application to County Road Operations, for approval for any new construction, installation, or alterations of any driveways/approaches.
 - a) Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.

Permanent:

Dwelling, Moved In

10) That the dwelling, moved-in:

- a) shall be placed on a foundation and the foundation shall be parged;
- b) shall have the exterior steps finished to the satisfaction of the building inspector;
- c) shall have all damage incurred during the move repaired; and
- d) shall have the exterior completely finished.

Agenda Page 264 of 347



Agenda

Page 265 of 347



262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

> 403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

Hansen, Bradi & Dan Page 3 of 4 #PRDP20193996

11) That it is the Applicant/Owner's responsibility to obtain and display a distinct municipal address, in accordance with the County Municipal Addressing Bylaw (Bylaw C-7562-2016), for each dwelling unit located on the subject site to facilitate accurate emergency response.

Agriculture, General (Keeping of Livestock)

- 12) That the Manure and Grazing Management Plan as submitted with the application shall be practiced at all times.
 - a. If overgrazing becomes evident on the property, revised grazing or manure management procedures may need to be implemented or the number of animal units may need to be decreased, upon request by the County.
- 13) That all manure shall be collected and disposed of off-site or worked into the fields on a continual basis at least once a month.
- 14) That if there is an excessive build-up of manure, that manure must be removed immediately.
- 15) That the Applicant/Owner shall ensure the property contains adequate livestock fencing, to ensure all livestock units remain on the subject property at all times

Accessory Building

- 16) That the Accessory Building shall not be used for commercial purpose at any time, except for a Home-Based Business Type I.
- 17) That the Accessory Building shall not be used for residential occupancy purposes at any time.
- 18) That the exterior siding and roofing materials of the accessory building shall be similar / cohesive to the existing shed, single-detached dwelling and/or area.

General

- 19) That there shall be no more than 1.00 m (3.28 ft.) of fill/topsoil placed adjacent to or within 15.00 m (49.21 ft.) of the proposed dwelling unit or accessory building under construction, which is used to establish approved final grades unless a Development Permit has been issued for additional fill and topsoil.
- 20) That any plan, technical submission, agreement, or other matter submitted and approved as part of the Development Permit application, or submitted in response to a Prior to Issuance or Occupancy condition, shall be implemented and adhered to in perpetuity.

Advisory:

- 21) That Building Permits / Farm Building Location Permit and applicable sub-trade permits shall be obtained through Building Services, prior to any construction taking place.
 - a) The dwelling, moved-in application shall utilize the Move-On Dwellings/Manufactured Homes checklist and shall include submitted pictures of CSA and AB labels for the Manufactured Home OR a structural review from a professional engineer to ensure compliance;
 - b) The accessory building application shall utilize the accessory building or farm building location checklist;





262075 Rocky View Point Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2

> 403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

Hansen, Bradi & Dan Page 4 of 4 #PRDP20193996

- 22) That during construction, all construction and building materials shall be maintained on site in a neat and orderly manner. Any debris or garbage shall be stored/placed in garbage bins and disposed of at an approved disposal facility.
- 23) That during construction, the County's Noise Bylaw C-5772-2003 shall be adhered to at all times.
- 24) That the site shall remain free of restricted and noxious weeds and maintained in accordance with the Alberta Weed Control Act [Statutes of Alberta, 2008 Chapter W-5.1, December 2017].
- 25) That any other government permits, approvals, or compliances are the sole responsibility of the Applicant/Owner.
- 26) That if the development authorized by this Development Permit is not commenced with reasonable diligence within 12 months from the date of issue, and completed within 24 months of the issue, the permit is deemed to be null and void, unless an extension to this permit shall first have been granted by the Development Officer.
- 27) That if this Development Permit is not issued by **JUNE 30, 2020** or the approved extension date, then this approval is null and void and the Development Permit shall not be issued.

If Rocky View County does not receive any appeal(s) from you or from an adjacent/nearby landowner(s) by **Tuesday, December 17, 2019**, a Development Permit may be issued, unless there are specific conditions which need to be met prior to issuance. If an appeal is received, then a Development Permit will not be issued unless and until the decision to approve the Development Permit has been determined by the Development Appeal Committee.

Regards,

Development Authority Phone: 403-520-8158 Email: <u>development@rockyview.ca</u>

Agenda Page 266 of 347

	B-3
	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY 61
/	See Submitted File Number
ſ	ROCKY VIEW COUNTY
9	Cultivating Communities APPLICATION FOR A Date of Receipt Receipt #
	NEVELOPMENT PERMIT
	P is it of the c
	Name of Applicant Bradi Hansent Dan Hansen Email
	Mailing Address
	Postal Code
	Telephone (B) Fax (H)
	For Agents please supply Business/Agency/ Organization Name
	Registered Owner (if not applicant) Lafarge Canada Inc
	Mailing Address
	Postal Code
	Telephone (B) Fax
1.	LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LAND
	a) All / part of the¼ Section Township Range West of Meridian
	b) Being all / parts of Lot Block Registered Plan Number
	c) Municipal Address
	d) Existing Land Use Designation <u>NR1</u> Parcel Size <u>157.51</u> Division <u>6</u>
2.	APPLICATION FOR
	W Dwelling moved in + Accessory Building
•	
3.	a) Are there any oil or gas wells on or within 100 metres of the subject property(s)? Yes <u>No</u>
	b) Is the proposed parcel within 1.5 kilometres of a sour gas facility? Yes No
	(Sour Gas facility means well, pipeline or plant)
	c) Is there an abandoned oil or gas well or pipeline on the property? Yes No
	d) Does the site have direct access to a developed Municipal Road? Yes No
4.	REGISTERED OWNER OR PERSON ACTING ON HIS BEHALF
	I BRADI HANSEN hereby certify that I am the registered owner (Full Name in Block Capitals)
	DAN HANSEN I am authorized to act on the owner's behalf
	and that the information given on this form is full and complete and is, to the best of my of the facts relating to this application.Affix Corporate Seal here if owner is listed as a named or
	Panet Inumbered company
	Applicant's Signature Bradi Hon Owner's Signature Bradi Hon
	Date Oct 24 2019 Date Oct 24 2019

Developr	nent Perr	nit Appli	ication
----------	-----------	-----------	---------

Page 1 of 2

Agenda Page 267 of 347

5. RIGHT OF ENTRY

I hereby authorize Rocky View County to enter the above parcel(s) of land for purposes of investigation and enforcement related to this Development Permit application.

Applicant's/Owner's Signature

Please note that all information provided by the Applicant to the County that is associated with the application, including technical studies, will be treated as public information in the course of the municipality's consideration of the development permit application, pursuant to the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A 2000 Chapter M-26, the Land Use Bylaw and relevant statutory plans. By providing this information, you (Owner/Applicant) are deemed to consent to its public release. Information provided will only be directed to the Public Information Office, 262075 Rocky View Point, Rocky View County, AB, T4A 0X2; Phone: 403-520-8199.

I, Bradi Hansent Dan Hansen, hereby consent to the public release and disclosure of all information contained within this application and supporting documentation as part of the development process.

Signature

Oct 24 2019 Date

Development Permit Application

Page 2 of 2

B-3 Page 43 of 61

		FOR OFFICE	USE ONLY
C	ROCKY VIEW COUNTY	Fee Submitted	File Number
	APPLICATION TO MOVE A BUILDING	Date of Receipt	Receipt #
	Name of Applicant Bradi Hansen Email		
	Mailing Address Postal Code		
	Telephone (B) (H)	Fax	
	For Agents please supply Business/Agency/ Organization Name		
	Name of Owner of Building to be Moved (if not applicant) Bradi P Dan H	ansen	
	Mailing Address		
	Postal Code		
	Telephone (B) (H)	Fax	
1.	LOCATION OF BUILDING TO BE MOVED I hereby request permission to move (type of structure)		
	Now located at:		
	a. All / part of the <u>SE</u> ¼ Section <u>26</u> Township <u>006</u> Range <u>23</u> West of <u></u>	Meridian	
	b. Being all / parts of Lot Block Registered Plan Nu	mber	
	c. Municipal Address (if applicable)		
	Note: a key is available at/with Wades House Moving		
2.	DESTINATION OF MOVED BUILDING		
	a. All / part of the <u>5W</u> ¼ Section <u>35</u> Township <u>27</u> Range <u>2(</u>	S West of _ L	Meridian
	b. Being all / parts of Lot Block Registered Plan Num	iber	
	c. Municipal Address (if applicable)		
3.	LAND USE		
	Land Use Designation Farm land Parcel Size (number of acres)	159.04	
٨	PHOTOGRAPHS		
4. Die			
Pie	ase provide pictures showing: a. Front, rear and side views attached - Emailed b. Interior of building (if available) attached - Emailed		
	h Interior of building (if available) attached - emailed		
5.	MOVING COMPANY INFORMATION		
	Name of Mover Wade's House Maving & Heavy Haulin	of Ltd	
	Mailing Address 6239-65 Street, Taber AB TIGO	A 5	
	Postal Code	TIG OAS	
	Telephone (B) 403 - 223- 1885 (H)	Fax <u>403-</u>	223-4801
	OFFICE USE ONLY		
		e en el cara se el cara se	Newson
		0	

Agenda Page 269 of 347

Name of Insurance Company (for Mover) W 6	stern	Financial Group (TAB)
Mailing Address 5300 - 47 th		
Taber AB		Postal Code TIG RI
Telephone (B) <u>403 - 223 - 81 23</u>	(H)	Fax 403-223-8178

Note: An Inspection fee of \$150.00 shall accompany this application.

I hereby certify that the information stated on and submitted with this application is true and accurate.

I hereby apply for a permit and agree to comply with all Bylaws and County Regulations, it being expressly understood that the issuing of a permit does not relieve the applicant from complying with all said Bylaws and County Regulations. I also further agree that if a permit is revoked for any cause, or irregularity, or non-conformance of said Bylaws or Regulations, that in consideration of issuing of the permit, all claims are waivered arising therefore against the Corporation of Rocky View County

Signature of Applicant Brachi Hum Da	ate Oct 23 2019 Oct 23 2019
Signature of Registered Landowner/Authorized Agent <u>Brach</u>	Date Oct 232019 Oct 232019
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Y
Application accepted by	Date
Permission is hereby granted/refused, subject to the following conditions:	
NOTE: No construction, including excavation, shall be commenced unles	s and until a building permit has been issued.
Signature of Building Inspector	Date

Agenda Page 270 of 347

20

Dan & Bradi Hansen

November 3, 2019

To Rocky View County

RE: Agricultural General with Dwelling moved and accessory building

I am attaching the application for Development Permit for agricultural general with dwelling moved in and accessory building.

Our quarter has a sand pit on it and is zoned for Natural resources. However, since we use our land for agriculture and need to be on our land for our farming/cattle business we are moving an RTM used home and unattached garage on to the Northeast corner of the property. The RTM was built in 1994 the floor plans with dimensions will be emailed to Christine today as well as floor plan and dimensions of the accessory building. We will be moving the house onto a full basement foundation.

Our land is flat farmland we hay alfalfa/grass mix 130 Acers the remainder being 20 acres of sand pit. We graze 35 Black Angus cows and one Black Angus bull after we get the hay off. We also have 3 horses that will graze the NE corner that we use to manage the cattle.

Currently this is a parcel with out access however we have plans in place to build it up. (see attached quotes and pictures)

I have discussed this with Christina Lombardo please contact her if there is any issues with the natural resource zoning.

Thank you for reviewing and please contact me anytime if you require further info.

Sincerely BradiHan

Dan & Bradi Hansen



Agenda Page 271 of 347

						Page	e 40 of C
CSIO CEPA	CERTIFI	CATE	OF	INSURA	NCE		
This certificate is issued as a ma This	atter of information only and o certificate does not amend, e	confers no xtend or a	rights u Iter the c	pon the certific	cate holder and imposes n led by the policies below.	o liability or	n the insurer.
CERTIFICATE HOLDER - NAME A	ND MAILING ADDRESS		2A. INS	URED'S FULL NA	ME AND MAILING ADDRESS		
Rocky View County			Wade's	House Moving & H	leavy Hauling Ltd.		
262075 Rocky View Drive				5 Street, Taber, Al			
			(but o	only with respect to th	ERATIONS/LOCATIONS/AUTO e operations of the Named Insured)	MOBILES/SPI	ECIAL ITEMS
	DOSTAL		House m	ioving, building mo	ving and heavy hauling.		
Rocky View No. 44 AE 3. COVERAGES	3 POSTAL CODE	T4A 0X2					
This is to certify that the policies of insur or condition of any contract or other doc subject to all terms, exclusions and cond LIMITS SHOWN MAY HAVE BEEN RE	ument with respect to which this cer ditions of such policies.	to the insure tificate may l	ed named be issued	above for the polic or may pertain. Th	cy period indicated notwithstandi ne insurance afforded by the poli	ng any require cies described	ment, term I herein is
TYPE OF INSURANCE	INSURANCE COMPANY	EFFECTIV		EXPIRY DATE	LIMITS C (Canadian dollars un	F LIABILITY ess indicated	otherwise)
I TPE OF INSURANCE	AND POLICY NUMBER	(MM/dd	ALTER STREET	(MM/dd/yyyy)	COVERAGE	DED.	AMOUNT OF
COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY CLAIMS MADE OR OCCURRENCE PRODUCTS AND / OR COMPLETED OPERATIONS EMPLOYER'S LIABILITY	AMBRIS LLP - P519971900	(MM/0d/yyyy) 03/18/2019		03/18/2020	COMMERCIAL GENERAL LIABILITY BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE LIABILITY - GENERAL AGGREGATE EACH OCCURRENCE PRODUCTS AND COMPLETED OPERAT		\$5,000,000 \$5,000,000
					AGGREGATE		\$5,000,000
TENANTS LEGAL LIABILITY					PERSONAL AND ADVERTISING INJURY LIABILITY		\$5,000,000
NON-OWNED AUTOMOBILES HIRED AUTOMOBILES					MEDICAL PAYMENTS		\$5,000
					TENANTS LEGAL LIABILITY		\$100,000
					NON OWNED AUTOMOBILE		
					BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE COMBINED		
ALL OWNED AUTOS					BODILY INJURY		
					(PER PERSON)	-	
					BODILY INJURY (PER ACCIDENT)		
** ALL AUTOMOBILES LEASED IN EXCESS OF 34 DAYS WHERE THE INSURED IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE INSURANCE					PROPERTY DAMAGE		
EXCESS LIABILITY					EACH OCCURRENCE		
		1			AGGREGATE		
			1				
(specify) OTHER LIABILITY (SPECIFY) Cargo	AMBRIS LLP - P519971900	03/18/	/2019	03/18/2020	Broad Form		\$400,000
4. CANCELLATION							
Should any of the above described polici holder, but failure to mail such notice sha						tten notice to t	he certificate
5. BROKER'S FULL NAME AND MAIL	LING ADDRESS		6. ADI	DITIONAL INSURE	ED NAME AND MAILING ADDRI	ESS	
Western Financial Group (TAB)							¥2
5300-47th Avenue							
Taber Al	B POSTAL -	T1G 1R1					
BROKER'S CLIENT ID: WADES-2	100E					PO	STAL
7. CERTIFICATE AUTHORIZATION			ļ				
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	PRINT NAME		POSITIO	N HELD	DATE	ber 23, 2019	
COMPANY	EMAIL ADDRESS		CONTAC	TNUMBER			
Western Financial Group (TAB)	sara.nessman@westemfg.ca		HOME	55 (403) 223-8123	CELL FAX (403) 22	23-8178	

CSIO - CERTIFICATE OF INSURANCE - 0806E





z(-

B-3

Page 274 of 347

not to scale





Agenda Page 276 of 347 J&S Contracting INC. 24026 TWP RD 280 Rockyview county AB T4B 4N4 403-801-7444 Jessedoyle1983@gmail.com

ADDRESS	ESTIMATE # 1043
Hansen	DATE 12/10/2019

Equipment Strip existing road of vegetation from cul de sac to existing property entrance	1	250.00	GST	250.00
materials Haul in 85tonnes of 25mm road gravel (6 tandem loads)	1	2,450.00	GST	2,450.00
Equipment Spread out 6 loads of 25mm road gravel @ 4 inches thick	1	750.00	GST	750.00
mobilization/ demobilization Skid steer in and out of site	1	250.00	GST	250.00
Stripping of topsoil to realign road way or on exi included in estimate. Work specified in estimate will be work complete above estimate will be deemed additional work.	•	SUBTOTAL GST @ 5% TOTAL		3,700.00 185.00 \$3,885.00
TAX SUMMARY				
GST @ 5%		185.00	~	3,700.00

Accepted By

Accepted Date



Front

.

Agenda Page 278 of 347



Agenda Page 279 of 347



Agenda Page 280 of 347



Agenda Page 281 of 347



Agenda Page 282 of 347



Agenda Page 283 of 347



Agenda Page 284 of 347



Agenda Page 285 of 347



Agenda Page 286 of 347



Agenda Page 287 of 347



PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

TO:	Subdivision and Development Appeal Board			
DATE:	December 11, 2019	DIVISION:	7	
FILE:	06515002	APPLICATION:	B-4; PRDP20193746	
SUBJECT:	: Commercial Communication Facility Type C			
PROPOSAL : Commercial Communication Facility Type C, for Rogers Wireless Communications Tower.		GENERAL LOCATION : Located immediately north of Highway 566 and east of Range Road 13.		
APPLICATION DATE: September 19, 2019		DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DECISION: Discretionary – Approved		
APPEAL DATE: November 19, 2019		DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY DECISION DATE: October 29, 2019		
APPELLANT: Helen Ohlhauser, Kirsten Friesen		APPLICANT: Roger	rs Communications Canada Inc.	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: SW-15-26-01-W05M		MUNICIPAL ADDRESS: 262008 Range Road 13, Rocky View County AB		
LAND USE DESIGNATION: Ranch and Farm District (RF)		GROSS AREA: ± 158.97 acres		
DISCRETIONARY USE : Commercial Communications Facilities – Type A, Type B, Type C		DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE AUTHORITY: N/A		
PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS : The application was circulated to 80 adjacent landowners. Two Notice of Appeals were received.		LAND USE POLICIES AND STATUTORY PLANS:		
		• County Plan (C-7280-2013)		
		• Land Use Bylaw (C-4841-97)		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The application is for a Commercial Communication Facility Type C, for a Rogers Wireless Communications Tower.

The subject land is an unsubdivided quarter section and is currently used for a farming operation. The south west corner of the land contains a couple of accessory buildings and equipment. There is no dwelling and no one lives on the property. The Applicant has an agreement with the Owner to allow the Applicant to install a 40 m high cell phone tower on his property. The proposed tower will be accessed by sharing the existing approach off Range Road 13.

The Federal Minister of Industry is the approving authority for telecommunication antenna structures and requires that the local land use authority and the public be consulted for input regarding the proposed placement of these structures. The County reviews proposed submissions based on criteria laid out in County Policy/Procedure 308 and a Development Permit is issued or refused. Rocky View


County is not the approving authority for the telecommunication antenna structures, and cannot prevent a proponent from ultimately gaining permission from Industry Canada to install a telecommunications antenna on any lands.

This application was evaluated in accordance with the County Policy/Procedure 308 Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities and Section 43 of the Land Use Bylaw. The application does meet the applicable policies and was approved on October 29, 2019.

On November 19, 2019, two Notice of Appeals were received against the decision of the Development Authority. The reasons for appeal can generally be categorized into the following:

- Inappropriate location of the tower due to future upgrading on intersection of Range Road 13 and Highway 566;
- Disturbed by lighting on the proposed tower;
- Close to the community (Calterra Estates);
- Electriomagnetic radiation;
- Should be relocated to an industrial/commerical area;
- Reduction of property value;

The detailed reasons for the appeal are identified in the Appellants' Notices of Appeal. The Applicant had addressed and provided responses to most of the concerns:

- The Applicant provided a revised site plan with greater setbacks in accordance with the recommendation from Alberta Transportation. Alberta Transportation approved it and issued a Roadside Development Permit (RSDP027800) on November 26, 2019;
- The Applicant provided an approval letter from Transport Canada, which confirms that there is no lighting on the proposed tower, as a 40 meter tower does not require lighting or marking;
- Calterra Estates is located at least 800 meters away from the proposed tower, which meets the County Policy/Procedure 308 that there is no residence within 500 meters radius of the proposed tower;
- The Applicant indicated that all radiocommunication sites in Canada must comply with Health Canada's Safety Code 6 (SC6), which establishes safety limits for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields for all age groups on a continuous basis. The limits consider total exposure from all sources of radiofrequency energy and incorporates large margins of safety. The code is based on peer-reviewed scientific research and is consistent with the science-based standards used in other parts of the world;
- The Applicant indicated that the location was chosen in response to increased demand for wireless services, to ensure the delivery of fast and reliable wireless services, and ensure better access to emergency services and improved location-based information for first responders.

It is anticipated that the Applicant will present the above to the Board for consideration.

APPEAL:

See attached report and exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

Sean MacLean Supervisor, Planning & Development Services

XD/IIt



DEVELOPMENT PERMIT REPORT

Application Date: September 19, 2019	File: 06515002
Application: PRDP20193746	Applicant: Rogers Communications Canada Inc. Owner: Archibald Franklin Bushfield
Legal Description: SW-15-26-01-W05M	General Location: Located immediately north of Highway 566 and east of Range Road 13
Land Use Designation: Ranch and Farm District (RF)	Gross Area: ± 158.97 acres
File Manager: Xin Deng	Division: 7

PROPOSAL:

The proposal is for a Commercial Communication Facility Type C, for Rogers Wireless Communications Tower.

- The proposed development will include a 40 m high lattice-style self support tower with projecting antennas, and a 1.8 m x 2.5 m walk-in cabin. These structures will be built on a 10 m x 10 m compound ground, protected by 1.8 m high fence for security purpose.
- The anenna system will initially include six (6) ± 2.1 m x 0.5 m panel-style antennas and one (1) ± 1.2 m microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services, operating between 700-2600 MHZ frequency.
- The property is an agricultural land containing a couple of accessory buildings and equipment on the south west corner of the land. There is no dwelling and no one lives on the property.
- The proposed site will be accessed through the existing approach along Range Road 13.

COUNTY PROCEDURE 308 (Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities)

Authority:

The Federal Minister of Industry is the approving authority for the development and operation of radio communication in Canada, including telecommunication antenna structures, pursuant to the Radio

Communication Act. Industry Canada is tasked with, among other things, administering the orderly development and operation of telecommunication antenna structures.

Rocky View County is not the approving authority for telecommunication antenna structures. The County cannot prevent a proponent from ultimately gaining permission from Industry Canada to install a telecommunications antenna structure on any lands; privately held, County owned or otherwise.

In this regard, Industry Canada requires that, in certain cases, the local land use authority and the public must be consulted for input regarding the proposed placement of a telecommunication antenna structure. Rocky View County's Development Planning Group reviews each proposed submission for a telecommunication antenna structure based on specific physical criteria. The review involves evaluating such things as the proposed location of a telecommunication antenna structure and aspects of its design, including, but not limited to, height, colour, type, screening, the potential for co-location of other proponents on the structure, and compliance with Rocky View County Policy Guidelines to Evaluate Commercial Communications Facilities. Based on this review, a development permit (concurrence) or refusal (non-concurrence) is issued.

Agenda

Page 291 of 347



Definitions:

Commercial Communications (CC) Facilities means facilities that are used for transmission of wireless communication signals. These facilities include telecommunication towers, antennas, and the buildings that house their supporting equipment. These facilities are used to transmit radio-frequency signals, microwave signals or other communications energy. The Land Use Bylaw defines three types of CC facilities:

Type C facilities means: either tower or pole structures greater than 20.00 meters (65.62 feet) in height, to which antennae are mounted for the purpose of telecommunications broadcast or signal transmission.

 $_{\odot}$ The proposed Rogers Wireless Communications Tower is ± 40 m (± 131.23 ft.) high in total, which is considered facility Type C.

Procedure Statements:

- 1. Public Notification shall be the responsibility of the applicant prior to the submission of any development permit application for Type A, Type B, or Type C facilities.
 - a. Public Notification is to include all properties within 250 meters of a proposed Type A facility, 500 meters of a proposed Type B facility, and 1,600 meters of a proposed Type C facility.
 - The Applicant indicated that 80 notification package were mailed out on August 7, 2019, through the County mailing services to the local residents within 1,600 meters radius of the proposed tower.
 - b. Public Notification packages are to include all relevant information related to the proposed facility, including a location map, elevation drawings, description, and contact information.
 - The notification package included all of relevant information.
 - c. The Public Notification period is to last a minimum of 21 days, and all public submissions are to be included with the development permit application package.
 - The notification package were mailed out to the County residents within the circulation area, and the residents were given 21 days to response. The Applicant indicated that no public submissions were received during the notification period (August 7 September 4, 2019).
- 3. The following criteria give direction to the development of Type B and Type C facilities. Type B and C commercial communication facilities will be evaluated based on the following criteria:
 - a. CC Facility Type B or C must be listed as a use in a District in the Land Use Bylaw before an application for a Development Permit can be considered.
 - Commerical Communications Facility Type C is a discretionary use under Ranch and Farm Distict. Therefore, the proposed tower can be considered.
 - b. The rural vistas of the County should be respected. Tower and pole locations are discouraged on prominent natural or cultural features for the protection of views.
 - While the proposed tower will be located in an agricultural land, the Applicant explained that this location was chosen to ensure no gap between each tower which will provide better coverage and wireless services for the customers.
 - c. Although criteria can be relaxed at the discretion of the Development Authority, as a guideline it is recommended that:



- *i.* Any tower proposed to be placed on a site abutting existing dwellings should be located no closer than 500 meters from those dwellings.
 - The subject land is located in the agricultural area. There are no residential dwelllings within 500 meters radius of the proposed tower, and there is no dwelling on the subject land. Administration has no concerns.
- *ii.* Type B and Type C facilities should be located one half times the height of the facility from an existing or future road allowance.
 - The proposed tower is ± 40 m (± 131.23 ft.) high, and half times of height will be 20 m (= 40 m x 0.5). Therefore, the minimum setback to the county road and highway should be 20 meters. The originally proposed setback meets the requirement.
 - One of Appellant mentioned that the existing intersection of Range Road 13 & Highway 566 will be upgraded in the future, which requires land dedication from adjacent lands for the project. The proposed location of the tower will be inappropriate. Administration and Applicant consulted with Alberta Transportation about the project. The Applicant revised site plan with greater setbacks of 115 metes to ensure the tower is further away from the intersection and will not be affected by the intersection upgrading. Alberta Transportation approved the revised site plan and issued a Roadside Development Permit (RSDP027800) on November 26, 2019.
- iii. Type B or Type C facilities should not be closer than 2,000 meters from other Type B or Type C facilities.
 - The applicant provided a map showing there are no communication facilities within 2,000 meters from the proposed tower.
- d. Application for CC facility approval shall include a current picture of the lands where the tower is proposed (before installation), and a picture of the same lands with the proposed facility superimposed (after the installation) to reflect the appearance of the facility and associated buildings after installation.
 - The applicant provided pictures showing before and after installation of the proposed tower.
- e. The County prefers to only have active CC facilities on the landscape. Once a CC facility becomes inactive for a period of more than six months, the Carrier should remove the facility. If non-compliance with this policy occurs, the County will request removal of the facility through Industry Canada.
 - The applicant acknowledges the requirement.
- 4. Commercial communication installations should be designed to limit the overall visual impact to the area. The design of commercial communication facilities will give consideration to the following criteria:
 - a. All towers and pole structures should be screened where possible or concealed through the use of innovative design strategies or camouflage. The use of landscaping, fences and architectural features on and around the equipment compounds, shelters and cabinets associated with a CC facility is encouraged to assist these structures to blend in with their surrounding environment.
 - The proposed tower will be installed on the compound groud. The proposed cabinet and fences help blend the structure with surrounding environment.

Agenda

Page 293 of 347



- b. All CC facilities should be neutral in colour and blend with the surroundings when possible. Mitigation of the visual aspects of the facility may include painting, appropriate and effective decorative fencing, screening, and/or landscaping, and should not clash with the sky or landscape given Alberta's changing seasons.
- c. Where applicable, Type B and Type C facilities must comply with Transport Canada's painting and lighting requirements for aeronautical safety. In all other locations Type B and Type C facilities should be lit with the lowest intensity light possible.
 - The proposed tower is neutral in colour without paining and lighting. The Applicant provided an approval letter from Transport Canada, which confirms that there is no lighting on the proposed tower.
- 5. Co-location of communications equipment on Type B and Type C facilities is advised whenever possible.
 - a. Along with a development permit application, a letter is required to be submitted to the County stating that the carrier will allow co-location with other users, provided all structural, and technological characteristics of the facility can support additional development.
 - The Applicant provided a letter indicating that they did look at a variety of nearby towers and structure, however, none of them were suitable or feasible to meet Rogers' network requirement. Development Authority feels that the justification is acceptable.
 - b. Each application for Type B and Type C facilities will include letters of offer to the other major carriers to co-locate on the proposed facility. Responses to these letters from other carriers should be copied to Rocky View County's Development Authority.
 - For the above reasons, the Applicant didn't send an offer letter to other major carriers, and therefore, there are no responses from other carriers.
 - c. If there are other structures (i.e. other Type B or Type C facilities, flag poles, church steeples, electrical transmission towers, chimneys of smoke stacks) within 2,000 meters of the proposed location, which could support communications equipment, the applicant must identify them and provide reasons why these structures are unable to accommodate additional communications equipment (i.e. due to: structural capabilities, safety, available space, frequency interference).
 - The Applicant indicated that they couldn't find a suitable or feasible structures or towers to meet their needs.
 - d. The applicant should notify Rocky View County Emergency Services department with plans for new Type B or Type C facilities. Where possible coordination with the County's Emergency Services regarding locating emergency equipment on the proposed facility should occur.
 - The Applicant was advised to contact county emergency services to discuss about an Emergency Response Plan.

LAND USE BYLAW (C-4841-97):

- Section 43 Ranch and Farm District (RF)
 - 43.10 Uses, Discretionary
 - Commercial Communications Facilities (Types A,B,C).
 - The proposed Rogers Wireless Communications Tower is considered facility Type C, and it is a discretionary use, therefore, a Development Permit is required.



43.12 Minimum and Maximum Requirements:

(a)(i) Front yard setback (to Range Road 13 in the west):

- Required: 45.00 m (147.64 ft.)
- Proposed: 115.00 m (337.30 ft.), which meets the requirement

(b)(iv)/(ii) Side yard setback (to other lands in the north / to Highway 566 in the south):

- Required: 6.00 m (19.69 ft.) / 60 m (196.85 ft.)
- Proposed: Lots / 115.00 m (337.30 ft.), which meets the requirement

(c)(ii) Rear yard setback (to other lands in the east):

- Required: 15.00 m (49.21 ft.)
- Proposed: Lots, which meets the requirement

Additional Information:

Planning Applications:

- There is no history for planning application.

Development Permit Applications:

- There is no history for DP application.

Building Permit Applications:

1997-BP-10588 Building Permit for "construction of a single dwelling" was issued on September 12, 1997.

STATUTORY PLANS:

The subject land does not fall within any Area Structure Plans and Intermunicipal Development Plan. The application was primarily evaluated in accordance with the Land Use Bylaw.

INSPECTOR'S COMMENTS:

- The property is access by the existing approach along Range Road 13.
- The property contains accessory buildings and equipment.
- No dwelling on the property and no one lives there.

CIRCULATIONS:

Alberta Transportation:

Updated Comment - Nov 26, 2019

Alberta Transportation Permit No. RSDP027800 is issued to Rogers Communications Inc. (Permittee) under the Highways Development and Protection Regulation authorizing the above noted development(s). Issuance of this permit does not excuse violation of any regulation, bylaw or act which may affect the proposed project. This permit is subject to the conditions shown and should be carefully reviewed:

• This permit is subject to the provisions of Section 11-19 inclusive of the Highways Development and Protection Act (Chapter H-8.5 2004), amendments thereto, and Highways Development and Protection Regulation (Alberta Regulation 326/2009) and amendments thereto, ROC Cultiva

- ROCKY VIEW COUNTY Cultivating Communities
- Alberta Transportation's future plans for Highway 566 in this area include widening to an ultimate six lane cross-section, with the entirely of the widening to the north. Access to Highway 566 will be controlled and restricted to statutory road allowance locations at 1.6 km spacing. No compensation shall be payable to the Permittee or their assigns or successors when the highway access is removed and access provided via service road,
- The Department is under no obligation to reissue a permit if the development is not completed before expiry of this permit,
- Approval of companies having buried utilities shall be obtained prior to access construction or upgrading.,
- The proposed development is to be set back approximately 125 metres from the existing highway centreline and /or approximately 116 metres to the existing highway property line, as shown on the attached site plan,
- This permit is issued subject to the approval of the Municipality,
- This permit approves only the development contained herein, and a further application is required for any changes or additions,
- No direct highway access will be permitted. Access shall be via the local municipal road,

Permission is hereby granted to Rogers Communications Inc. to carry out the development in accordance with the plan(s) and specifications attached hereto and subject to the conditions shown above. If the development has not been carried out by November 26, 2020 this permit expires and the Permittee must reapply for a new permit if they wish to proceed.

Original Comment - Nov 8, 2019

- In reviewing the application, the proposed development falls within the control distance of a provincial highway as outlined in the Highways Development and Protection Act / Regulation, and will require a roadside development permit from Alberta Transportation.
- A minimum of 115 metres from the centreline of a two-lane highway to the fence of the proposed new telecommunication facility site is required. The proposed telecommunication facility site must be revised to meet Alberta Transportation's requirements for consideration.

Municipal Enforcement, Rocky View County:

• No comments received.

Operations Division, Rocky View County:

- Capital Delivery: No response
- Utility Services: No response
- Maintenance: No response
- Transportation Services: Application involves Development along Alberta Transportation Road Allowance. Therefore recommend applications to be circulated to Alberta Transportation for review and comments

Planning and Development Services - Engineering:

General:

• The review of this file is based upon the application submitted. These conditions / recommendations may be subjected to change to ensure best practices and procedures.

Geotechnical:

- Applicant is proposing to construct a new wireless communications tower.
- As a permanent condition, the applicant will be required to provide compaction testing results, prepared and provided by a qualified professional, for any areas of the site filled greater than 1.2m in depth.



• Engineering has no requirements at this time.

Transportation:

- Access to parcel is provided from an approach off Range Road 13.
- This is unlikely to increase traffic on local road networks. Traffic I mpact Assessment and Transportation Off-site levy are not required.
- As per AT, AT has futu re plans for Highway 566 in the are a to widen to an ultimate six lane cross-section. AT requires a minimum 115 m se tback from the fenced b oundary of the tower site to the centerline of Highway 566 to protect the future multi-laning of Highway 566, as well as the corner cuts required at the Highway 566 / Range Road 13 intersection.
- Applicant provided a revised site plan incorporating a minimum 115 m setback requirement from Highway 566 by AT.
- Engineering has no requirements at this time.

Sanitary/Waste Water:

- No information was provided.
- Engineering has no requirements at this time.

Water Supply and Waterworks:

- No information was provided.
- Engineering has no requirements at this time.

Stormwater Management:

- No significant increase in site runoff is expected due to proposed development.
- Engineering has no requirements at this time.

Environmental:

- No environmental constraints are present on site.
- Engineering has no requirements at this time.

OPTIONS:

<u>Option #1</u> (this would approve Commercial Communications Facility Type C)

That the appeal against the decision of the Development Authority to issue a Development Permit for Commercial Communications Facility Type C, for a Rogers Wireless Communications Tower at SW-15-26-01-W05M (262008 Range Road 13, Rocky View County AB) be denied, that the decision of the Development Authority be upheld, and that a Development Permit be issued, subject to the following conditions:

Description:

- 1) That a telecommunications tower for a Commercial Communications Facility, Type C, may be situated on the subject parcel in accordance with the approved Site Plan and details submitted with the application, and includes the following:
 - i) Placement of one self-supporting telecommunications tower approximately 40.00 m high;
 - ii) Placement of an equipment cabinet; and
 - iii) Installation of a 1.80 m high chain link fence.



Prior to Issuance:

- 2) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall contact County Road Operations with haul details of equipment, materials, and vehicles, to determine if a Road Use Agreement and/or Road Data Permits are required with the County for the use of the County road system to haul anything to/from the subject site.
 - i) Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.

Permanent:

- 3) The the Applicant/Owner shall submit compaction testing results, prepared and provided by a qualified professional, for any areas of the site filled greater than 1.2 m in depth.
- 4) That no topsoil shall be removed from the site.
- 5) That all commercial communication facilities shall be neutral in color and blend with the surroundings, mitigation of the visual aspects of the facility should include painting, decorative fencing, screening, landscaping, and should not clash with the sky or landscape.
- 6) That should the commercial communication facilities become deactivated or unused, the facilities shall be removed from the parcel within six months of becoming deactivated or unused.
- 7) That where possible, light shielding shall be considered to minimize the impact of the lighting to adjacent communities and adhere with the County's dark-sky policies.

Advisory:

- 8) That it is advised that the Applicant shall contact the County Emergency Services to discuss or provide an emergency response plan, indicating the response measures to be taken in case of an emergency at the site and the location of emergency equipment on the proposed facility site.
- 9) That any other government permits, approvals, or compliances are the sole responsibility of the Applicant/Owner, and shall be obtained prior to the commencement of any development.
- 10) That if the development authorized by this Development Permit is not commenced with reasonable diligence within 12 months from the date of issue, and completed within 24 months of the issue, the permit is deemed to be null and void, unless an extension to this permit shall first have been granted by the Development Authority.

Option #2 (this would not approve Commercial Communications Facility Type C)

That the appeal against the decision of the Development Authority to issue a Development Permit for Commercial Communications Facility Type C, for Rogers Wireless Communications Tower at SW-15-26-01-W05M (262008 Range Road 13, Rocky View County AB) be upheld, that the decision of the Development Authority be revoked.

B-4 Page 11 of 60



Agenda Page 298 of 347



Agenda Page 299 of 347



Agenda Page 300 of 347



Agenda Page 301 of 347

B-4 Page 15 of 60



Agenda Page 302 of 347



Agenda Page 303 of 347



Agenda Page 304 of 347



Agenda Page 305 of 347



Agenda Page 306 of 347



Agenda Page 307 of 347

B-4 Page 21 of 60



Agenda Page 308 of 347



Agenda Page 309 of 347

B-4 Page 23 of 60



Agenda Page 310 of 347



This information is collected for the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board or Enforcement Appeal Committee of Rocky View County and will be used to process your appeal and to create a public record of the appeal hearing. The information is collected in accordance with the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. If you have questions regarding the collection or use of this information, contact the Municipal Clerk at 403-230-1401.

Appellant's Signature

Nov 18th, 2019

Date

Last updated: 2018 November 13

Page 1 of 2

Agenda Page 311 of 347

November 18, 2019

Dear Rocky View County,

Application Number:	PRDP20193746	Division :	7
Roll Number:	06515002		
Applicant(s):	Rogers Communications Canada Inc.		
	Commercial Communication Facility, Type (
Location:	Northeast Junction of Rge Rd 13/Hwy 566;	262008 Rg	e Rd 13

I am a home owner in Calterra Estates and am directly affected by the decision to grant a Development Permit for the lands adjacent to my property. This is a letter in opposition to the proposed Rogers Communication Facility, Type C.

The proposed commercial communication facility will likely decrease property value in the surrounding residential areas due to the fact that all potential dangers associated with RF Transmitters are not currently fully understood. In addition to that, the 40 m tower would be a visual obstruction and intrusion to the remarkable natural views. The subject land is currently zoned for farming and not commercial as it has a large shop on it and consists of associated dwellings. Cattle repeatedly graze the subject land (around the shop and accessories) in that location. This tower will interfere with or affect the use, enjoyment or value of neighbouring parcels of land.

There have been numerous studies showing that there are health implications for residing near a Commercial Communication Facility. According to studies in Europe (<u>https://</u><u>www.emrpolicy.org/science/research/fact_sheet.htm</u>) they have found that "persons living within 400 meters from the cell tower experienced:

"sleep problems, depression, cerebral symptoms, infections, skin problems, cardiovascular problems, joint problems, problems of the visual and auditory system, hormone system and gastrointestinal tract." and "The proportion of newly developing cancer cases was significantly higher among those patients who had lived during the past ten years at a distance of up to 400 metres from the cellular transmitter..."

I have 4 young children living in our home that should not have to bear the health risks of living near the Communication Centre. Within a 1 km range from the proposed light tower site there are at least 20 homes and 3 of those homes are within 650m.

The lighting on the proposed tower will impact the community around it. It will create greater light pollution for nearby homes and will affect people who have moved into a rural area to enjoy the quiet, dark nights. The light will also affect wildlife by deterring animals and birds from residing in the community as these creatures prefer a natural habitat.

There **was not** an alternate location proposed which is unusual for such a development. I would like Rocky View County to propose an alternate location and potentially find a more suitable location for the Rogers Commercial Communication Facility, Type C.

I am requesting that Rocky View County and Rogers Communications Canada Inc. *reject the current plans* to install the Commercial Communication Facility, Type C at the above mentioned location.

Respectfully submitted,

Peries

Kirsten Friesen

12 Calterra Estates Drive, Rocky View County, AB

Agenda Page 312 of 347

Page 26 of 60 Notice of Appeal

B-4



Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Enforcement Appeal Committee

Appellant Information					
Name of Appellant(s)					
Helen Ohlhauser Mailing Address		Municipality		Province	1
10 Calterra Estates I	Dr.	Municipality Rocky View Cou	inty	AB	
Site Information					
Municipal Address 262008 Rge Rd 13, Rocky (Jiew County	AB SW.	-15-26	-01-05	-township-range-meridian)
Property Roll # 06515002	Development Permi PRDP 20	t, Subdivision Application, c	or Enforcem	ent Order #	
I am appealing: (check one box only)					
Development Authority Decision	10 M	thority Decision			rcement Services
Approval Conditions of Approval	Approv	ions of Approval		Stop Order Complianc	
	Refusa				e e.uei
Reasons for Appeal (attach separate pa	ge if required)				
(please see atta *Note: mailing add		age letter)	ROOM	NOV 1	V COUNTZ WED 9 2019 CLERK'S OFFICE

This information is collected for the Subdivision and Development Appeal Board or Enforcement Appeal Committee of Rocky View County and will be used to process your appeal and to create a public record of the appeal hearing. The information is collected in accordance with the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. If you have questions regarding the collection or use of this information, contact the Municipal Clerk at 403-230-1401.

user. Appellant's Signature

1) overwer 18, 2019 Date

Page 1 of 2

Agenda Page 313 of 347



November 18, 2019

Dear Rocky View County,

* *

Application Number:	PRDP20193746	Division: 7
Roll Number:	06515002	
Applicant(s):	Rogers Communications Canada Inc.	
Application For:	Commercial Communication Facility, Type C	
Location:	Northeast Junction of Rge Rd 13/Hwy 566; 2620	008 Rge Rd 13

I am a land owner in Calterra Estates and am directly affected by the decision to grant a Development Permit for the lands adjacent to my property.

This has not been a transparent and accountable process. There has been a lack of detail and transparency for the development. The applicant did not provide a public notification package listing details of the proposed Commercial Communication Facility, Type C. This includes height, lighting requirements, purpose and need for development, consideration of alternate locations to name a few.

Alberta Transportation currently has plans to upgrade Highway 566 to be a divided expressway. Included is Range Road 13/Centre Street (Calgary). There will be a Highway 566 interchange at the northeast junction mentioned above. "The study included the functional design for twinning Highway 566. Intersectional analysis was undertaken at several existing intersections including Range Road 13 at Highway 566." This is required due to the traffic volume as Rocky View County has experienced urban type development and adopted City of Calgary standards for roads requiring urban cross sections. (References: Alberta Transportation; Highway 566 Access Management and Functional Planning Study).

Criteria has been developed by Rocky View County to give direction to the development of Type C facilities as stated in the Land Use Bylaw.

This Type C Facility is listed as discretionary use in the Ranch and Farm District (reference: Land Use Bylaw). Land use for the proposed location is ranch/farm. It will be difficult to place this tower anywhere on the proposed site where it will not be prominently displayed. Generally towers of this nature are erected near industrial or shopping mall areas where the tower(s) gets lost among the denser and higher structures. There has been no consideration for an alternate location(s) to erect the Commercial Communication Facility, Type C that would be less intrusive and not overbearing to the neighbours nearby. Calterra Estates was developed to be an estate community. Preference for developments such as this should be in an industrial/commercial area.

The rural vistas of the County should be respected. Towers and pole locations are discouraged on prominent natural or cultural features for the protection of views (reference: Land Use Bylaw). The Development Permit does not address how the proposed development mitigates the overall visual impact to the area. The Commercial Communications Facility, Type C is seen as a construction greater than 40 m in height with mounted antennae/lighting. This "Facility" would be a visual obstruction to *our view of downtown Calgary and surrounding area*. In addition, the proposed tower will create sight line issues and have negative visual impact on its location and/or nearest neighbour or residential neighbourhood. It will be up to 5 times higher than any surrounding trees resulting in a visual obstruction to viewscape. This will be exasperated by the lighting on and beside the tower.

Agenda Page 314 of 347

1

The proposed tower will decrease property value of the surrounding land and residences. There are two 160 acre parcels of land currently listed for sale for future development across and adjacent to this proposed development. If you look at the new Livingston and Carrington communities, it will give you an indication of how quickly a new community can be built.

Lastly, there is concern about potential health hazards regarding the risks posed by accumulated electromagnetic radiation from the proliferation of cell towers (reference: Frank Clegg, the former head of Microsoft Canada). Mr. Clegg's educational online video provides a balanced *world* perspective on the need for wireless and its dangers. Mr. Clegg points out there are safer ways of having wireless communication without the electromagnetic radiation.

I am requesting that Rocky View County and Rogers Communications Canada Inc. CANCEL plans to install the Commercial Communication Facility, Type C at the above mentioned location. Respectfully submitted,

Heen Olehauser.

Helen Ohlhauser

The attached petition displays an excellent cross section of Calterra Estates residents and neighbours' opposing the location of the proposed Commercial Communications Facility, Type C. Please note that there are approximately six (6) houses currently being built (construction stage) that have addresses but are not occupied. Another notation is that one house is a rental property therefore the owner does not live on site. Lastly, I was recommended by a current homeowner on Calterra Court to call new homeowners who have not moved to Calterra Estates yet. In speaking with this couple, I was told they wanted to sign the petition. Unfortunately, they were just leaving on vacation so the timing was not right. The occupants of these two residents in Calterra Estates both did not receive a letter from Rocky View County or from Rogers Communications.

The following comments summarize what the residents and neighbours had to say:

- Archie Bushfield (owner of proposed site at northeast junction of Rge Rd 13 and Hwy 566). "Rogers can't do it within the city limits. There will be a 30'X30' cement pad on the ground. It will be 40 m (120 feet) tall. Four miles west of this one, a bigger one yet (will be installed)."
- A resident on Calterra Estates Drive said the tower can't be built at this site as this corner is designated for a major intersection i.e. Alberta Transport has plans to upgrade Hwy 566 to be a divided expressway. The 566/RR 13 corner will house traffic lights along with being twinned.
- Residents on Calterra Estates Drive "My husband and I are both realtors and we have never had trouble connecting to the internet."
- o A neighbour said his property value will decrease and this was echoed in the Calterra Estates community.
- Other residents on Calterra Estates Drive had a visitor from Germany and had taken him to a movie (Landmark Cinemas). Upon leaving the movie site, the visitor noted the cell tower and lamented that they had been sitting in close proximity to the cell tower for the duration of the movie. This highlights our point that, for those sensitive to cell tower radiation, it is a health hazard especially that we are expected to be in such close proximity 24/7. Please take the time to watch the educational 10 minute "you tube" video by Frank Clegg, the former head of Microsoft Canada, who educates a balanced *world* perspective on the need for wireless and its dangers. Mr. Clegg points out there are safer ways of having wireless communication without the electromagnetic radiation.
- There were several residents in Calterra Estates who did not receive the letter from Rocky View County dated October 29, 2019.
- Most of the residents of Calterra Estates who signed this petition did not receive a letter from Rogers Communications (including the undersigned).

Summary feedback from the residents in Calterra Estates:

- Shock and dismay at the size of the Communication Facility and its location
- Everyone agreed it would impair the view of downtown Calgary in an estates neighbourhood and be
- 5 times taller than any tree in this area

There was concern about health hazards

Everyone recommended that the proposed tower would be a better suited for a mmercial/industrial site

•

NOV

Residents did not receive the Rocky View communication and/or the letter from Rogers Communication.

It was agreed that this has not been a transparent and accountable process. There has been a lack of detail and transparency for the development. The applicant did not provide a public notification package listing details of the proposed Commercial Communication Facility, Type C. This includes height, lighting requirements, purpose and need for development, consideration of alternate locations to name a few.

Thank you for your re-consideration to cancel the plans to install the Commercial Communication Facility, Type C at the northeast junction of Rge Rd 13 and Hwy 566.

Respectfully submitted,

Helen Olehauser.

Helen Ohlhauser

PETITION

Application #PRDP20193746; Roll #06515002; Division 7 Application for Commercial Communication Facility, Type C

I am a resident or neighbour living near 262008 Rge Rd 13 and I oppose the installation of a Commercial Communication Facility, Type C, on this property due to: #1 - location and design will be unaesthetically pleasing to the estate communities and neighbours, #2 - scarring the natural viewscape of the estate areas and of the neighbouring communities; #3 - decrease in property values of surrounding communities; #4 - harming the character of the surrounding neighbourhoods; #5 - lack of exploration of alternatives to improve wireless communities; #6 – lack of engagement – no public communication package sent with details of this Facility-height, lighting requirements, purpose, consideration of alternate locations to name a few.

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, REQUEST THAT ROCKY VIEW COUNTY AND ROGERS COMMUNICATIONS CANADA INC <u>CANCEL</u> PLANS TO INSTALL THIS COMMERCIAL COMMUNICATION FACILITY, TYPE C ON 262008 RGE RD 13.

*******NAME******	**************************************	CONTACT INFORMATION	*******SIGNATURE******
Don Pott	1 Culter ou Estatos		Mato
Verto amut	Maco Centosta		Ulm H
Low Frieson	12 CRITICAA (STATES		-24-3
JAGON JOHNSON	40 Callerra Estates Dr.		11-
Andrea Johnson	40 Calterra Estates Dr		Uch
Cam: lle Mc Pherson	28 calterra EstertesD.		- Clock
Allan Pole	14026 TWP RD 264		alla Pale
Brenda Pole	14026 TWC R1 264		Bille
Janice Bushfield	13134 Twp Rd 264		Bushpild
KENT LINDELL	M CALTERIZA COULT		Statel
SHAH RUKH	7 CALTERICA COURT		
Yasih Peshke	48 Culterra Btates Dr.		Jasim Battle
Kirsten Friesen	12 Calterra Est Dr		pfrese
Helen Ohlhauser	e 10 Calterra Est Dr		Heles Olie Racesor.
Nadia Khan	7 Calterra Crt		finit
Ahmed Ismail	36 Callerva		Prod
Mancy Lundell	17 Calterra Ct		Array
JOAN ORIA	36 CANAMRA		2 2 2 0
TERRY OHCHAUSON	RIO CAETERRAEST		Agenda
			Page 317 of 347

PETITION Application #PRDP20193746; Roll #06515002; Division 7 Application for Commercial Communication Facility, Type C

I am a resident or neighbour living near 262008 Rge Rd 13 and I oppose the installation of a Commercial Communication Facility, Type C, on this property due to: #1 - location and design will be unaesthetically pleasing to the estate communities and neighbours, #2 - scarring the natural viewscape of the estate areas and of the neighbouring communities; #3 - decrease in property values of surrounding communities; #4 - harming the character of the surrounding neighbourhoods; #5 - lack of exploration of alternatives to improve wireless communities; #6 – lack of engagement – no public communication package sent with details of this Facility-height, lighting requirements, purpose, consideration of alternate locations to name a few.

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, REQUEST THAT ROCKY VIEW COUNTY AND ROGERS COMMUNICATIONS CANADA INC CANCEL PLANS TO INSTALL THIS COMMERCIAL COMMUNICATION FACILITY, TYPE C ON 262008 RGE RD 13.

*******NAME*******	**************************************	CONTACT	********SIGNATURE******
Kg) Mann	91 Caltorra Estate	INFORMATION	. 0
Ray's Mann			Kellan hay
Lay Maris	91 Calterra Estate 3 Calterra Court		
Anita Maris	3 Calterra Court		Aman



403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

Agenda

Page 319 of 347

THIS IS NOT A DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

Please note that the appeal period *must* end before this permit can be issued and that any Prior to Issuance conditions (if listed) *must* be completed.

NOTICE OF DECISION

Rogers Communications Canada Inc. c/o Land Solutions LP (Lena Fulton) 600, 322 - 11th AV SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5

Tuesday, October 29, 2019

Roll: 06515002

RE: Development Permit #PRDP20193746

SW-15-26-01-05; (262008 RGE RD 13)

The Development Permit application for Commercial Communication Facility, Type C has been **conditionally-approved** by the Development Officer subject to the listed conditions below **(PLEASE READ ALL CONDITIONS)**:

Description:

- 1) That a telecommunications tower for a Commercial Communications Facility, Type C, may be situated on the subject parcel in accordance with the approved Site Plan and details submitted with the application, and includes the following:
 - Placement of one self-supporting telecommunications tower approximately 40.00 m high;
 - ii) Placement of an equipment cabinet; and
 - iii) Installation of a 1.80 m high chain link fence.

Prior to Issuance:

- 2) That prior to issuance of this permit, the Applicant/Owner shall contact County Road Operations with haul details of equipment, materials, and vehicles, to determine if a Road Use Agreement and/or Road Data Permits are required with the County for the use of the County road system to haul anything to/from the subject site.
 - Written confirmation shall be received from County Road Operations confirming the status of this condition. Any required agreement or permits shall be obtained unless otherwise noted by County Road Operations.

Permanent:

3) The the Applicant/Owner shall submit compaction testing results, prepared and provided by a qualified professional, for any areas of the site filled greater than 1.2 m in depth.



403-230-1401 questions@rockyview.ca www.rockyview.ca

- 4) That no topsoil shall be removed from the site.
- 5) That all commercial communication facilities shall be neutral in color and blend with the surroundings, mitigation of the visual aspects of the facility should include painting, decorative fencing, screening, landscaping, and should not clash with the sky or landscape.
- 6) That should the commercial communication facilities become deactivated or unused, the facilities shall be removed from the parcel within six months of becoming deactivated or unused.
- 7) That where possible, light shielding shall be considered to minimize the impact of the lighting to adjacent communities and adhere with the County's dark-sky policies.

Advisory:

- 8) That it is advised that the Applicant shall contact the County Emergency Services to discuss or provide an emergency response plan, indicating the response measures to be taken in case of an emergency at the site and the location of emergency equipment on the proposed facility site.
- 9) That any other government permits, approvals, or compliances are the sole responsibility of the Applicant/Owner, and shall be obtained prior to the commencement of any development.
- 10) That if the development authorized by this Development Permit is not commenced with reasonable diligence within 12 months from the date of issue, and completed within 24 months of the issue, the permit is deemed to be null and void, unless an extension to this permit shall first have been granted by the Development Authority.

If Rocky View County does not receive any appeal(s) from you or from an adjacent/nearby landowner(s) by **Tuesday**, **November 19, 2019**, a Development Permit may be issued, unless there are specific conditions which need to be met prior to issuance. If an appeal is received, then a Development Permit will not be issued unless and until the decision to approve the Development Permit has been determined by the Development Appeal Committee.

Regards,

Development Authority Phone: 403-520-8158 Email: development@rockyview.ca

THIS IS NOT A DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

Agenda Page 320 of 347

			B-4
		FOR OFFICE	Page 34 of 60 USE ONLY
F	ROCKY VIEW COUNTY 20193746	Fee Submitted	File Number
K	Cultivating Communities APPLICATION FOR A	Date of Receipt	Receipt #
	NEVEL ODMENT DEDMIT	0015/19	
	DEWELVFMENT FENMI		
	Rogers communications Canada Inc. Name of Applicantc/o LandSolutions LP Email_lenaf@lar	ndsolutions.ca	
	Mailing Address Suite 600, 322 - 11 Ave. SW Calgary, Alberta		
	Postal Code	2R 0C5	
	Telephone (B) (403) 290-8884 (H)	Fax (403) 290-0	0050
	For Agents please supply Business/Agency/ Organization Name Lena Fulton, LandSou	ultions LP	
	Registered Owner (if not applicant) Archibald Franklin Bushfield		
	Mailing Address		
	Postal Code		
	Telephone (B) (H)	Fax	
1.	LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LAND		
	a) All / part of the \underline{SW} ¹ / ₄ Section $\underline{15}$ Township $\underline{26}$ Range $\underline{1}$	West of 5	Meridian
	b) Being all / parts of Lot <u>N/A</u> Block <u>N/A</u> Registered Plan Number	N/A	
	c) Municipal Address 262008 RGE RD 13, Rocky View County, Alberta	07	
		Division 07	
2.	APPLICATION FOR 40m Lattice-style Self Support Telecommunications Facility (Commercial C C)	ommunications	Facility Type
3.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION		
	a) Are there any oil or gas wells on or within 100 metres of the subject property(s)? Y	es N	oX
	 b) Is the proposed parcel within 1.5 kilometres of a sour gas facility? Y (Sour Gas facility means well, pipeline or plant) 	es N	0 <u>X</u>
	c) Is there an abandoned oil or gas well or pipeline on the property? Y	es N	o <u>X</u>
	d) Does the site have direct access to a developed Municipal Road? Y	es X N	0
4.	REGISTERED OWNER OR PERSON ACTING ON HIS BEHALF		
	LENA FULTON hereby certify that I am the registered	d owner	
	(Full Name in Block Capitals)X I am authorized to	act on the owner's	behalf
	and that the information given on this form is full and complete and is, to the best of my knowledge, a true statement of the facts relating to this application.	Affix Corporat here if owner is as a named numbered con	s listed or
	Lotuth		
	Applicant's Signature Owner's Signature See A		
	Date Date		

Development Permit Application

1

÷

Page 1 of 2

Agenda Page 321 of 347

5. RIGHT OF ENTRY

I hereby authorize Rocky View County to enter the above parcel(s) of land for purposes of investigation and enforcement related to this Development Permit application.

Applicant's/Owner's Signature

Please note that all information provided by the Applicant to the County that is associated with the application, including technical studies, will be treated as public information in the course of the municipality's consideration of the development permit application, pursuant to the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A 2000 Chapter M-26, the Land Use Bylaw and relevant statutory plans. By providing this information, you (Owner/Applicant) are deemed to consent to its public release. Information provided will only be directed to the Public Information Office, 911 – 32 Ave NE, Calgary, AB, T2E 6X6; Phone: 403-520-8199.

I, ______, hereby consent to the public release and disclosure of all information contained within this application and supporting documentation as part of the development process.

Signature

Date



				Summary		
Roll Number			06515002			
Legal Desc			SW-15-26-01-W	/05M		
Divison			07			
Lot Block Pla	an					
Linc Number	·		21130521			
Title Number	r		111122212+1			
Parcel Area			158.97000			
Municipal Ac	Idress		262008 RGE RI	D 13		
Contact Info	mation		Bushfield, Archi	bald Franklin		4032260407
			Calgary AB T3K	482		40361624400000
Land Use Inf	formation		RF (RANCH AN	ID FARM)		
				Plan		
Plan Name Balzac West Conceptua (There is no		ual Scheme}		RV Nu	mber	
				Permit		
	er 588 ent Permit Inf				Issued ep 12, 1997	
	related Develop			Alast		
				Alert		
Department DE S://parce	Issued By EmilyGo linfo.mdro	Monday July 13 M	ate Closed Status onday, igust 17, C /PrintFriendl	Reference No. CEWC20150167 v.aspx?EntityU	Canada Thistle on th	Severi C20150167 Case Description: Abundance of le property C2008 RGE RD 13 0006 Page 323 of 34

2011

001112010 11.00 AM

Dono 37	Page 2 o:
Page 37	OT DU

Geospatial Boundary Page				
Boundary	Category			
Division	7			
Area Structure Plan	Balzac West			
Conceptual Scheme	No Conceptual Scheme			
IDP	CALGARY IDP Study Area			
Airport Vincinity	No APVA			
Engineer	Milan Pate!			
Water Coop	No Water Coop			
Gas Coop Service	ROCKYVIEW GAS CO-OP			
No.of Lots Within 600 M	1			
No.of App Subdiv Within 600 M	٥			
Developed Road Allowance	Yes			
Riparian Area	Yes			
School	No School Boundary			
Recreation	Rocky View Central			
Fire District	BALZAC			
Primary Fire Station	107			
Secondary Fire Station	154			
Tertiary Fire Station	103			

Geospatial Adjacency					
Boundary	Category	Distance			
Closest Highway	SECHWY566 ROCKYVIEW	10.33			
Closest Gravel Pit	Kennel Pit	19366.69 M			
Sour Gas	No Sour Gas Pipe passes	From closest sour pipe:3879.1 M			
Closest Road Name	RGE RD 13(Surface Type:Paved)	7.57 M			
Closest Railway	CPR	3640.31 M			
Closest Western Irrigation Districts	Within 10Km				
Closest Waste Water Treatment	Within 10Km	4595.15 M			
Closest Waste Transfer Site	Within 3 Km				
Closest Municipality	CITY OF CALGARY	29.53 M			
Closest Confined Feeding Operation	Within 10 KM				

.ps://parcelinfo.mdrockyview.ab.ca/app/PrintFriendly.aspx?EntityUID=06515002_262008 RGE RD 13 0006 Page 324 of 347


LETTER OF AUTHORIZATION

Date:

May 3, 2019

To:

WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Legal Description:

Ptn. SW 15-26-1 W5M

I, Archibald Franklin Bushfield, as owners of the above-mentioned property, hereby give Rogers Communications Inc. and its agents permission to act as our agent to acquire the necessary permits, drawings and/or buildings structural blue-prints, hydro information from the public utility and information from the municipality or other authorities concerned, needed to approve the construction of the telecommunications site at the address indicated above.

Sincerely,

archibert Bushfield

Rogers' File: W4980A - Range Road 13 & Highway 566

Agenda Page 325 of 347

B-4 Page 39 of 60

August 7, 2019



Public Notification Package for Proposed Wireless Communications Installation 262008 Range Road 13, Rocky View County, Alberta Ptn. SW 15-26-1 W5M Rogers Site: W4980 – Range Road 13 & HWY 566

LandSolutions LP | #600, 322 11th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2R 0C5 Lena Fulton | Site Acquisition & Municipal Affairs | 403-290-0008

Rogers Communications Canada Inc. | #700, 500 4th Avenue SW Calgary, AB T2P 2V6

Agenda Page 326 of 347

1. Introduction

Rogers Communications is proposing to construct a new wireless communications tower at **262008 Range Road 13, Rocky View County, Alberta** in the Balzac area (the **"Proposed Installation"**) in order to fill significant wireless coverage gaps in the area and meet the rising demand for wireless voice and data services

This notification package sets out the pertinent details about the Proposed Installation and invites the public to submit their written comments and concerns about the Proposed Installation.

2. Purpose of Proposed Installation and Site Selection

Based on recent feedback we have received from subscribers, as well as data we have collected on dropped calls and call quality in the area, we have determined that there are significant wireless coverage deficiencies throughout the Balzac and HWY 566 area. This proposed Installation will correct these deficiencies and allow us to provide much better service to our subscribers, including residences, businesses, schools, government agencies and emergency first responders.

Designing a wireless network would be similar to assembling a 3D jigsaw puzzle. Cell towers and antenna installations must be located near one another so that they are able to provide contiguous service without any holes or gaps in coverage. They must also be set at elevations that are high enough to deliver the signals to a wide service area.

Following a survey of the area, we identified the Proposed Installation as the most viable candidate to meet our network coverage requirements. It will provide connectivity for an area that is currently experiencing below-average service levels and cannot be served by existing antenna sites as they are too distant and overloaded.

The location for the Proposed Installation offers technical and operations advantages, including viable access for construction and maintenance, hydro connections, etc., thus reducing the need for further impact on the area. The Proposed Installation will not result in any interference or impact to the current use of the property.

3. Location of Proposed Installation

The location of the Proposed Installation is described below:

Municipal address:	262008 Range Road 13, Rocky View County, AB
Legal description:	Ptn. SW 15-26-1 W5M
Geographic coordinates:	Latitude: 51.213167° N Longitude: 114.070256° W
Land Usage:	Ranch and Farm District (RF)

The Proposed Installation tower profile is shown on the following page.



Agenda Page 327 of 347



Map showing Proposed Installation

Aerial photograph showing Proposed Installation





Agenda Page 328 of 347

4. Description of Proposed Antenna System

a) Structure

The underlying structure for the Proposed Installation is a 40m lattice-style self-support tower with projecting antennas, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency. The first photo rendering below shows what the Proposed Installation will look like if viewed from $\pm 170m$ distance southwest of the proposed site along Secondary 566/ 176 Avenue NE.



Simulated photograph of structure for Proposed Installation

Rogers has made every effort to decrease the size and visibility of the Proposed Installation. The height requirement for any installation is based in maintaining a "line of sight" signal with our users and other surrounding towers.

b) Antenna system

The antenna system will initially include six (6) ± 2.1 m x 0.5m panel-style antennas and one (1) ± 1.2 m microwave antenna with provisions for future technology services, operating between 700-2600 MHz frequency.



Agenda Page 329 of 347

c) Compound

The Proposed Installation will occupy a ground compound area of 10m x 10m and will include a 40m lattice-style self-support, an alarmed and electronically monitored walkin equipment cabinet surrounded by a 1.8 m high chain link security fence with a locked gate access point. The compound will also contain backup battery power, maintenance tools, manuals and first aid kit.

No tree removal will be required for the construction of the Proposed Installation. Shown on the above aerial view is the approximate location of the compound. Additional landscaping will be provided as in accordance with the direction of Rocky View County, if required.

d) Areas accessible to the public and measures to control public access.

No public access will be possible. The compound will be secured and remotely monitored, as described above.

5. Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Authority and Requirements

(a) Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)

As a federal undertaking, Rogers is regulated by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) under the *Radiocommunication Act*. While Rocky View County has a significant role to play in the approval of a tower or antenna installation, the ultimate decision to approve a tower or antenna systems lies with Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED).

Because wireless services are federally regulated, the Municipal Government Act, and municipal by-laws and regulations that purport to govern the location and operation of cell towers and antenna systems do not apply. However, Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) has established a clear set of rules which wireless carriers must follow when seeking to install or modify a tower or antenna system (the "Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules").¹

(b) Consider sharing existing towers

Under the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, before proposing a new tower or antenna installation, a wireless carrier must first look at locating its antennas on existing towers or other structures that are located within the specific geographical area and have sufficient height and structural integrity to allow the additional equipment.

1

CPC-2-0-03 - Radiocommunication and Broadcasting Antenna Systems.



Agenda Page 330 of 347 In the case of the Proposed Installation, we looked at a variety of nearby towers and structures before we determined conclusively that none of them were suitable or feasible for our needs. That is why we are pursuing the Proposed Installation at this location.

During our review, we could not locate any suitable, existing towers or buildings with enough height and space to accommodate antennas that would meet Roger's network requirements. Rogers has antennas located on the nearby towers, the closest being approximately 4.3km away.

(c) Consult with the municipality

The Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules also require us to contact the applicable municipality in order to understand its local consultation requirements and any preferences it may have for tower-siting and/or design. Rocky View County's concerns, preferences and suggestions are important elements to be considered when planning a new tower or antenna system.

On June 16, 2019 Rogers consulted with Rocky View County's Planning Services Department to discuss the location of the Proposed Installation and to understand Rocky View County's preliminary concerns, prior to submitting our formal application for approval.

6. Public Consultation

Rocky View County has established its own tower-siting protocol (the "**Protocol**") which sets out, among other things, the requirements for Rogers to engage and consult with public about the Proposed Installation.

In accordance with the Protocol, we are providing this information package and invitation to all property owners located within a radius of 1,600 metres from the base of the Proposed Installation.

Copies of this Notification Package, as well as any correspondence between Rogers and the public, will be provided to Rocky View County.

After the public consultation process has been completed and we have addressed and resolved all reasonable and relevant concerns (and the public has not provided further comment), we will forward a final report setting out the details of the public consultation to Rocky View County and ISED. It is at this point that we will request concurrence to allow the Proposed Installation to proceed.



Agenda Page 331 of 347

7. Other Regulatory Requirements

(a) Safety Code 6

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis so as to comply with Health Canada's *Safety Code 6* limits as it may be amended from time to time, for the protection of the general public, including any combined effects of additional carrier colocations and nearby installations within the local radio environment.²

(b) Environmental assessment

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation is not located within federal lands. Nor is it incidental to, or form part of, projects that are designated under the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* or by the Minister of the Environment as requiring an environmental assessment.

In accordance with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012*, the Proposed Installation is excluded from assessment.³

(c) Aeronautical obstruction marking requirements

Rogers attests that the Proposed Installation will be installed and operated on an ongoing basis in compliance with Transport Canada and NAV Canada aeronautical safety requirements. Pending Transport Canada's approval, Rogers anticipates that the Proposed Installation will require lighting or markings pursuant to the *Canadian Aviation Regulations Standard 621 - Obstruction Markings and Lighting*.

Rogers will submit the necessary applications to the appropriate parties to obtain required approvals.⁴

(d) Engineering practices

Rogers attests that the radio antenna system for the Proposed Installation will be constructed in compliance with the *National Building Code* and the Canadian Standard Association, and will respect good engineering practices, including structural adequacy.

⁴ For additional detailed information, please consult Transport Canada at: <u>http://www.tc.gc.ca/eng/civilaviation/regserv/cars/part6-standards-standard621-3808.htm</u>



Agenda Page 332 of 347

Additional More information is available at the following Government of Canada's websites: <u>http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/ahc-asc/media/ftr-ati/ 2014/2014-023fs-eng.php</u> and <u>http://healthycanadians.gc.ca/consumer-consommation/home-maison/cell-eng.php</u> and <u>http://www.ic.gc.ca/towers</u>

³ Detailed information on the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act* can be found at: <u>http://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-15.21/</u>

8. Innovation, Science & Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management

For information on the Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) Rules, please consult Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED) at: <u>www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/sf08777e.html</u> or contact the applicable local office of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED):

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada Harry Hays Building 220 - 4th Avenue SE, Suite 478 Calgary AB T2G 4X3 t: 1-800-267-9401 f: 403-292-4295 e: <u>ic.spectrumcalgary-calgaryspectre.ic@canada.ca</u> (By appointment only)

General information relating to antenna systems is available on Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (ISED)'s Spectrum Management and Telecommunications website:

www.ic.gc.ca/epic/site/smt-gst.nsf/en/home

9. Invitation for Public Comment

Members of the public are invited to provide their comments to LandSolutions LP on behalf of Rogers about the Proposed Installation by mail, email, phone or fax.

Please send your comments to the address below by the close of September 4, 2019:

LandSolutions LP	Rocky View County			
Attn: Lena Fulton	Attn: Planning and Development Services			
Site Acquisition & Municipal Affairs	262075 Rocky View Point			
600, 322 – 11 Avenue SW	Rocky View County, AB T4A 0X2			
Calgary, AB. T2R 0C5	p. (403) 230-1401			
p. (403) 290-0008	f. (403) 277-3066			
e. comments@landsolutions.ca	e. development@rockyview.ca			

All comments and questions received will form part of a report of consultation provided to the municipality, in accordance with the Protocol and the ISED Rules.



Agenda Page 333 of 347

-B-4--Page 47 of 60

W4980 RANGE ROAD 13 & HWY 566 PTN. SW 15-26-01 W5M ROCKY VIEW COUNTY, AB TOM 0E0							EITE PHOTO e2019 GOOGLE		TITUE ALLOW TO ALLOW	
SHEET	DESCRIPTION	REV	ROGERS SITE #:	W4980	ROGERS CONSTRUCT	HEW DOWNTON		tur 462 £ timer hé 13 €		
T-1	TITLE SHEET	D	ROGERS SITE NAME:	RANGE ROAD 13 & HWY 566		HEW.DOWNTON@RCI.ROGERS.	COM	r bra		
C-1	AREA PLAN	0	APPLICATION FILE #:	TBD	CONTACT: DAVI					
C-2	SITE PLAN	0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	PROPOSED TELECOMMUNICATIONS SITE		90-3575				
C-3		0	STRUCTURE TYPE:	40m SELF SUPPORT	CIVIL ENGINEER NAME: VM S	TRUCTURAL ENGINEERING		Ĩ		
C-4 C-5	TOWER PROFILE & ANTENNA DETAILS ANTENNA & COAX SCHEDULE	D	SITE ADDRESS:	PTN, SW 15-26-01 W5M	CONTACT: EUGEN VERESIU P. Eng, P. E. PHONE: 519-767-6500 EXT: 301			LOCATION MAP ©2019 GOOGLE		
C-6	WALK IN CABINET (WIC)	0	GILE ADDITEDO.	ROCKY VIEW COUNTY, AB TOM DED						
0.0	JURISDICTION: ROCKY VIEW COUNTY			LTE PROJECT MANAGER NAME: LONG TELECOM ENGINEERING			COORDINATES:			
AREA OF COMPOUND: 100 SQ. METERS		CONTACT: DAN LONG PHONE: 780-907-3162			LATITUDE: 51° 12' 47.402" N LONGITUDE: 114° 04' 12.923" W	SEAL				
			CURRENT ZONING:	WEST / AB / CALGARY	PROPERTY OWNER			GROUND ELEVATION:		
			CURRENT LANDUSE:	AGRICULTURAL	NAME: ARCH CONTACT: JOHN	HIBALD FRANKLIN BUSHFIELD		GROUND ELEVATION: 1124.41m		
					PHONE: 403-2					
PROJECT INFORMATION ALL WORK AND MATERIALS SHALL BE PERFORMED AND INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT EDITIONS OF		CONTACT INFORMATION			GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION					
				APPROVAL	SIGNATURE	DATE	FROM CALGARY INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: HEAD WEST ON AIRPORT RD NE 0.00KM			
			THE FOLLOWING CODE	S. NOTHING IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE TWORK NOT CONFORMING TO THE				SLIGHT LEFT TO STAY ON ARRORT RD NE 0.80KM CONTINUE STRAIGHT 0.35KM CONTINUE STRAIGHT ONTO AIRPORT RD NE 0.19KM		
			LATEST EDITIONS OF T		RADIO			CONTINUE ONTO BARLOW TRAIL NE N 0,45KM SLIGHT LEFT TOWARD S6 AVE NE / AIRPORT TRAIL NE W 0.16KM		
			1. CSA-S16-09	3. CANADIAN ELECTRIC CODE	CONSTRUCTION			TURIN LEFF ONTO 96 AVE NE / AIRPORT TRAIL NE W 1.90KM UDE THE RIGHT UNET ON MERGE ONTO DEERFOOT TRAIL / AB-2 N VIA THE RAMP TO DEERFOOT TRAIL & AUKAM		
			2. CSA-S37-13	4. ALBERTA BUILDING CODE	MICROWAVE		1	TAKE SAURAN TAKE EXIT 275 FOR AB-S68 W TOWARD BALZAC / KATHYRN 0.55KM SHARP LEFT ONO AB-568 W / TWP 262 W (SIGNS FOR BALZAC) 5.10KM	D₩G.#:	PPOJ /:
					REAL ESTATE				T-1	19032
INDEX OF	SHEETS		CODE COMPLIANCE		APPROVALS			DRIVING DIRECTIONS		

LAST UPDATE : 2019-06-13

Agenda Page 334 of 347



Agenda Page 335 of 347



Agenda Page 336 of 347



Agenda Page 337 of 347



Agenda Page 338 of 347



Agenda Page 339 of 347

-B-4 Page 53 of 60



Agenda Page 340 of 347

ROGERS COMMUNICATIONS INC. SITE SKETCH PLAN W4980A

B-4 Page 54 of 60

WITHIN PTN. OF SW 15-026-01 W5M IN ROCKY VIEW COUNTY, ALBERTA







SITE CANDIDATE INFORMATION PACKAGE

SITE PHOTO SIMULATIONS

 $W4980A-Range\ Road\ 13\ \&\ Highway\ 566$ All photo simulations are an artist's rendering of what the site may look like. PHOTOGRAPH # 1



PHOTOGRAPH # 2



Agenda Page 342 of 347

SITE CANDIDATE INFORMATION PACKAGE

SITE PHOTO SIMULATIONS

PHOTOGRAPH # 3



PHOTOGRAPH #4



Agenda Page 343 of 347



SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Rogers file: W4980A - Range Rd 13 & HWY 566

PHOTOGRAPH # 1



PHOTOGRAPH # 2



Agenda Page 344 of 347



SITE CANDIDATE INFORMATION PACKAGE

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPH#3



PHOTOGRAPH#4



SITE CANDIDATE INFORMATION PACKAGE

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPH # 5



PHOTOGRAPH # 6



Agenda Page 346 of 347

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPH #7



PHOTOGRAPH # 8



Agenda Page 347 of 347

B-4

Page 60 of 60'