# Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme

Bylaw C-7434-2014 Adopted March 10, 2015



#### ROCKY VIEW COUNTY BYLAW C-7434-2014

A Bylaw of Rocky View County pursuant to Division 12 of Part 17 of the Municipal Government Act to amend Bylaw C-6260-2006, known as the "Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan" and adopt a Conceptual Scheme known as the "Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme".

The Council of Rocky View County enacts as follows:

#### PART I - TITLE

This bylaw shall be known as Bylaw C-7434-2014

#### **PART II - DEFINITIONS**

In this bylaw the definitions and terms shall have the meanings given to them in Land Use Bylaw C-4841-97 and the Municipal Government Act.

#### PART III – EFFECT OF BYLAW

- **THAT** Bylaw C-6260-2006, known as the "Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan", be amended in accordance with the amendments contained in Schedule 'A', attached to and forming part of the Bylaw; and
- **THAT** the "Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme" be adopted to provide a policy framework for future redesignation, subdivision and development within Lot 1 and 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE-24-23-05-W05M and a portion of NE-24-23-05-W05M, consisting of an area of approximately ± 15.60 hectares (± 38.57 acres), as defined in Schedule 'B' attached to and forming part of this Bylaw; and

#### PART IV – TRANSITIONAL

Bylaw C-7434-2014 is passed when it receives third reading, and is signed by the Reeve/Deputy Reeve and the Municipal Clerk, as per Section 189 of the *Municipal Government Act*.

#### Division: 1 File: 03924010/09/08 - PL20140003

PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD IN COUNCIL this

READ A FIRST TIME IN COUNCIL this

READ A SECOND TIME IN COUNCIL this

UNANIMOUS PERMISSION FOR THIRD READING

READ A THIRD TIME IN COUNCIL this

10 day of March, 2015

#### Reeve

CAO or Designate

Date Bylaw Signed

#### SCHEDULE 'A' FORMING PART OF BYLAW C-7434-2014

Schedule of Amendments to Bylaw C-6260-2006:

1. Amend the Table of Contents by adding a reference to Appendix D and numbering accordingly:

#### 14.0 APPENDIX D – ADOPTED CONCEPTUAL SCHEMES

- 14.2 Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme
- 2. Attach the Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme as defined in Schedule 'B' attached to and forming part of this Bylaw

#### **SCHEDULE 'B'**

#### FORMING PART OF BYLAW C-7434-2014

A Conceptual Scheme affecting the area within Lot 1 and 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE-24-23-05-W05M and a portion of NE-24-23-05-W05M, consisting of an area of approximately  $\pm$  15.60 hectares ( $\pm$  38.57 acres), herein referred to as the "Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme"

# WINTERGREEN FOREST ESTATES

# CONCEPTUAL SCHEME

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### <u>Page</u>

1.0	INTRODUCTION				
	1.1	Vision	3		
	1.2	Purpose of the Conceptual Scheme	3		
	1.3	Conceptual Scheme Objectives	3		
2.0	CONCE	EPTUAL SCHEME STUDY AREA AND			
	ADJACENT LAND USE CONTEXT				
	2.1	Description of Conceptual Scheme Study Area	5		
	2.2	Description of Current Land Use within the Study Area	5		
	2.3	Description of Adjacent Land Uses and Development Proposals	6		
3.0	PHYSICAL SITE FEATURES				
	3.1	Topography	11		
	3.2	Soils & Vegetation	11		
	3.3	Historical Use of Site	12		
	3.4	Existing Structures	12		
	3.5	Existing Transportation & Utilities Considerations	12		
4.0	LAND USE CONCEPT				
	4.1	Transportation Overview	13		
	4.2	Municipal Reserves, Open Spaces and Pathways	14		
	4.3	Population and Density Projections	15		

5.0	SERVICING STRATEGY			
	5.1	Water Servicing	18	
	5.2	Sanitary/Wastewater Servicing	18	
	5.3	Stormwater Management	19	
	54	Solid Waste Management	20	
	55	Protective Services	20	
	5.6	Shallow Utilities	20	
6.0	STATUTORY PLAN COMPLIANCE			
	6.1	Municipal Development Plan – County Plan	21	
	6.2	Area Structure Plan- Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan	21	
7.0	IMPLEN	MENTATION		
	7.1	Proposed Landscaping Guidelines & Architectural Controls	22	
8.0	POLICY	( SUMMARY	23	
9.0	APPEN	DICES	27	
	9.1	Terrain and Slope Stability Assessment –		
		Rangeland Conservation Service Ltd.		
	9.2	Wildfire Analysis –		
		Montane Forest Management		
	9.3	Private Sewage Treatment System – Level III Assessment –		
		Almor Testing Services Ltd.		
	9.4	Confirmation of Water Source –		
		Wintergreen Woods Water Utility		
	9.5	Summary of Public Consultation		
LIST	r of fig	BURES		
Figure 1		Location Within Rocky View County	4	
Figu	ire 2	Conceptual Scheme Plan Area	7	
Figu	ire 3	Plan Location	8	
Figu	ire 4	Land Use Designation	9	
Figure 5		Adjacent Land Use Designations	10	
Figu	ire 6	Future Residential Development Designation		
		Within Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan	16	
Figu	ire 7	Lot Dimensions/Connectivity & Access	17	



# WINTERGREEN FOREST ESTATES CONCEPTUAL SCHEME

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Wintergreen Forest Estates is located within Rocky View County (Figure 1), in the Greater Bragg Creek Area, with a total area of 15.60 ha (± 38.57 acres).

#### 1.1 Vision

Following redesignation and subdivision of Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 131114 within NE-24-23-5-W05M, the Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme area will be comprised of five (5) country residential lots of approximately four (4) acres each, with two other residential parcels, Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M and portion of NE 24-23-05-W5M with currently no plans for future subdivision.

#### 1.2 Purpose of the Conceptual Scheme

The Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme has been prepared as a requirement of the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan (Bylaw C-6260-2006). This Conceptual Scheme provides guidance and support for the redesignation and future subdivision of the Plan Area.

In particularly, the Conceptual Scheme is to provide a comprehensive policy framework intended to guide and evaluate the proposed redesignation, subdivision and developments of Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M.

#### 1.3 Conceptual Scheme Objectives

The objectives of the Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme are:

- To establish the appropriateness of the Plan Area for re-designation and subdivision for residential use.
- To address existing development constraints within the context of the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan and other municipal statutory plans, policies and procedures.
- To facilitate sustainable subdivision of the Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M in the context of the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan and other municipal statutory plans, policies and procedures.

#### FIGURE 1







Printed: Jan 03, 2014

### 2.0 CONCEPTUAL SCHEME STUDEY AREA and ADJACENT LAND USE CONTEXT

2.1 Description of Conceptual Scheme Study Area

The Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme Plan Area is located within Division 1 of Rocky View County (Figure 1 and 2), and comprises three parcels as indicated below, for a total Plan Area of  $\pm$  15.60 hectares ( $\pm$ 38.57 acres):

Parcel Legal Description	Parcel Size
Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147	± 8.09 hectares
within NE 24-23-05-W5M	(+20 acres)
Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147	± 4.68 hectares
within NE 24-23-05-W5M	(+11.57 acres)
Portion of NE 24-23-05-W5M	± 2.83 hectares (+ 7 acres)

- Policy 2.1.1 Policies contained in the Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme shall apply to the "Plan Area" as identified in Figure 2.
- Policy 2.1.2 Redesignation, subdivision, and development of the subject lands shall conform to the policies of the Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme.

2.2 Description of Current Land Use within the Study Area

The Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme is located in "North Bragg Creek Area" as identified in the Greater Bragg Area Structure Plan. Subject lands are situated just south of Township Road 234 and immediately across from the gated community on Wintergreen Way and the Wintergreen Golf Course (Figure 2 and 3).

The current land use designations for plan location are as follows (Figure 4):

- Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M is designated as Agricultural Holding District (AH);
- Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M is designated as Residential Three District (R-3); and
- Portion of NE 24-23-05-W5M is designated as Residential Two District (R-2).

#### 2.3 Description of Adjacent Land Uses and Development Proposals

Wintergreen Golf Course is located to the northwest of Township Road 234 and is designated as Business Recreational District (B-4). Wintergreen residential community is directly north of Township Road 234 from the Conceptual Scheme area, and is designated as Direct Control District DC-57 (Figure 5). There is no other development proposal in the adjacent areas at the time of the Conceptual Scheme being prepared.

Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Plan Page 7

#### FIGURE 2













# 3.0 PHYSICAL SITE FEATURES

#### 3.1 Topography

A slope stability assessment was undertaken by Rangeland Conservation Services Limited on October 7, 2013 (Appendix 1) who concluded that the terrain at the site is very gently to gently sloping (i.e., between 0 and 10 degrees). The land is gradually sloping from south to north, with moderate to locally moderately steep slopes (i.e., 10 to 15 degrees plus) exist in the central portion and towards the south-eastern corner. Overall there are no geotechnical concerns with the overall stability of the site.

Policy 3.1.1 At subdivision stage, an updated Slope Stability Assessment and/or Geotechnical Report prepared by a qualified professional in accordance with County Servicing Standards may be required to evaluate the soil characteristics, existing groundwater conditions, development constraints, and to demonstrate that there is a minimum of one contiguous developable acre for each of the proposed parcels to the satisfaction of the County.

#### 3.2 Soils & Vegetation

The Plan area is heavily treed with a variety of spruce and poplar. To preserve the land's natural ability to provide for groundwater recharge, stormwater management and to reduce negative impacts of erosion and siltation within downstream areas, all future development within the Plan area would attempt to minimize disturbance of existing terrain and removal of natural vegetation, while incorporating FireSmart guidelines to prevent risk of wildfire.

Montane Forest Management Ltd. prepared a Wildfire Risk Assessment to evaluate the threat of wildfire to the proposed five (5) lot subdivision development in the Plan Area. The Wildfire Risk Assessment provides FireSmart recommendations for Development standard to reduce wildfire threat (Appendix 2).

- Policy 3.2.1 Wherever possible, the disturbance and removal of natural vegetation and significant areas of trees shall be minimized, while incorporating vegetation management as outlined in the Provincial FireSmart guidelines.
- Policy 3.2.2 Proposed subdivision layout and vegetation management on site should recognize and mitigate susceptibility to wildfire risks (e.g. appropriate clearing of building sites, and access to all building sites for emergency service apparatus and equipment).
- Policy 3.2.3 Vegetation Management recommendations of the Wildfire Risk Assessment report shall be registered as a restrictive covenant on title for future subdivision within the Plan area and shall be considered at the development permit stage.

#### 3.3 Historical Use of Site

There is currently no residential or agricultural activity on the Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05 W5M. Current activity on Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05 W5M and NE 24-23-05-W5M is residential uses.

#### 3.4 Existing Structures

Currently there are no structures on the Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M. Current Structures on Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M and NE 24-23-05-W5M are for residential uses.

#### 3.5 Existing Transportation & Utilities Considerations

The Plan Area is connected to the Hamlet of Bragg Creek via Wintergreen Road. Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 has access from Township Road 234, whereas Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 and portion of NE-24-23-05-W5M are accessed through existing approaches onto Wintergreen Road. The Wintergreen Golf Club, where traffic varies seasonally, and the Wintergreen residential development, which consists of fourteen (14) residential parcels are both serviced by Township Road 234 off Wintergreen Road.

Future ATCO Gas lines on the subject property are protected by a way of a Utility Right of Way Agreement. ATCO Gas, FortisAlberta, and TELUS have no objection to the proposed subdivision subject to provided.

Policy 3.5.1 Utilities installations and easement/agreement registrations shall be subject to conditions as set forth by the applicable utility agencies and will be addressed at subdivision stage.

# 4.0 LAND USE CONCEPT

The future land use of the Plan area is defined as Infill Residential Area by the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan.

The proposed future land use scenario for Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-5-W5M is to be redesignated to Residential Two District in order to facilitate the future subdivision of five (5) approximately four (4) acre parcels (Figure 6).

The proposed future land use scenarios for Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE-24-23-05-W5M and for NE 24-23-05-W5M are to remain status quo, which is no future plan for redevelopment. Land use designations would remain as Residential Three District for Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE-24-23-5-W5M and remain as Residential Two District for NE 24-23-5-W5M.

- Policy 4.0.1 Minimum lot size of parcels within the Plan area shall be four (4) acres.
- Policy 4.0.2 Future development on site shall adhere to FireSmart Guidelines.
- Policy 4.0.3 At subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall register a restrictive covenant on each new parcel to ensure:
  - all buildings, including accessory buildings, are Sprinklered to the appropriate NFPA standard;
  - Non-combustible building materials are used on the siding, roof, and eves area of the building;
  - A fire resistance rating/barrier is installed between the siding and the sheathing;
  - Special separation between all buildings is increased to reduce the spread of a wildfire; and
  - The properties are evaluated by an accredited professional that specializes in wildfire prevention, to ensure that the vegetation and any other hazards are properly mitigated.

#### 4.1 Transportation Overview

Wintergreen Road serves approximately 135 homes in the Greater Bragg Creek area, as well as Wintergreen Golf & Country Club. Township Road 234 runs west off of Wintergreen Road and is currently a 25m Right-of-Way with a 7m paved road width. Due to the fact that Wintergreen Road is noted as a Road of Importance, appropriate dedication for future road widening will be required.

Accesses to each lot shall be through mutual approaches off Township Road 234. The mutual approaches shall be protected by Access Right-of Way Plan and associated Easement Agreement. Accesses to the subdivided parcels off Municipal roads will be provided by the Developer (Figure 7).

- Policy 4.1.1 At future Subdivision stage, a five (5) metres strip of land shall be dedicated, by Plan of Survey, as a road Right-of-Way (ROW) along the eastern boundary of the Plan Area, facing Wintergreen Road.
- Policy 4.1.2 The proposed parcels shall be accessed by mutual approaches from Township Road 234, as shown on Figure 7, and shall be protected by Access Right-of-Way Plan and associated Easement Agreement at time of subdivision.
- Policy 4.1.3 The preferred alignment for approaches should minimize environmental impacts and be pursuant to the policies contained in the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan.
- Policy 4.1.4 Access to Township Road 234 shall comply with engineering requirements for emergency vehicle access.
- Policy 4.1.5 Signage should be in accordance with FireSmart Guideline access standards & Wildfire Risk Assessment Recommendation.
- Policy 4.1.6 At Subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to Section 655 of the Municipal Government Act respecting provision of the construction of a temporary cul-de-sac at the west end of Township Road 234, and register any necessary easement agreements, at the Owner's expense in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, as amended.
- Policy 4.1.7 At subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall provide payment of the Transportation Offsite Levy, in accordance with applicable levy at the time of subdivision approval, as amended, for the total gross acreage of the lands proposed to be subdivided.
- 4.2 Municipal Reserves, Open Space and Pathways

The Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan provides for the development of a community open space and trail system that serves to connect areas of residential development with each other, the Hamlet, Bragg Creek Provincial Park and Kananaskis Country lands.

A six (6) metre strip of land will be required along the eastern boundary of the Plan area, fronting Wintergreen Road to accommodate a future regional pathway to connect with the Greater Bragg Creek trail network. All other outstanding reserves would be dedicated through cash-in-lieu.

- Policy 4.2.1.1 A six (6) metre wide linear Municipal Reserve shall be dedicated along the eastern boundary of the Plan Area fronting Wintergreen Road to accommodate a future County pathway. Any remainder Municipal Reserve shall be taken as cash in lieu.
- Policy 4.2.1.2 A six (6) metre wide linear Municipal Reserve shall be dedicated along the northern boundary of the Plan Area fronting Township Road 234 to accommodate a future County pathway. Any remainder Municipal Reserve shall be taken as cash in lieu.

#### 4.3 Population and Density Projections

As provided in the Rocky View County Municipal Development Plan, during the past 15 years, the population of the Municipality has been steadily increasing from 1981 as a result of the generally robust Provincial economy, local job opportunities and the projected growth of the City of Calgary. The devastation of many local residences due to the Bragg Creek and area flood of June 2013 necessitates more development opportunities for local Bragg Creek residents to relocate to non-flood activity areas within Bragg Creek. The addition of 5 single family lots through the development of Wintergreen Forest Estates will provide opportunities for local or new families to relocate to the Bragg Creek area (based on an average 2.6 members per family, totalling potential increase of  $\pm$  13 people).

#### FIGURE 6





# 5.0 SERVICING STRATEGY

#### 5.1 Water Servicing

An existing raw waterline operated and maintained by WinterGreen Woods Water Utility runs along the north side of Township Road 234 to the Wintergreen residential subdivision. The opportunity exists for connecting this water service to the proposed subdivision on Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M. A piped water supply letter of confirmation from Wintergreen Woods Water Utility is attached (Appendix 4), which indicates that tie-in to the Wintergreen Woods Water Utility will be available.

It is assumed that the two other residential parcels, Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M and portion of NE 24-23-05-W5M included in the Conceptual Scheme will continue to utilize existing water wells.

- Policy 5.1.1 At the subdivision stage, the Developer shall provide confirmation from the Wintergreen Woods Water Utility Ltd. stating that the Applicant has completed all paperwork for water supply request, that the Applicant has paid all necessary fees of said application and that the utility has sufficient capacity at the time of application to supply the needs of this Conceptual Scheme.
- Policy 5.1.2 The Developer and/or Utility shall be responsible to construct and install water lines to the proposed subdivision.
- Policy 5.1.3 At subdivision stage, a deferred servicing agreement shall be registered against each newly created parcel that is serviced by a communal water system to identify the owner's responsibility to connect to a regional water utility should one become reasonably available.

#### 5.2 Sanitary/Wastewater Servicing

A Sanitary/Wastewater Servicing Assessment (Private Sewage Treatment Systems Level III, "PSTS") was undertaken on Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE-24-23-05-W5M by Almor Testing Services Ltd. on July 10, 2013 (Appendix 3). As per policy 6.1.3 of the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan.

- Policy 5.2.1 At subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall enter into a Development Agreement (Site Services/Improvement Agreement) for the installation of Packaged Private Sewage Treatment System complying with NSF 40 and/or BNQ standards, in accordance with County Servicing Standards.
- Policy 5.2.2 At Subdivision Stage, the Owner/Developer shall register a Deferred Services Agreement against each new certificate of title (lot) created, requiring the owner to tie into municipal services when they become available.

Lot 2, Block 2, Plan 1311147 within NE 24-23-05-W5M and portion of NE-25-23-05-W5M both have existing sanitary/wastewater servicing in place. Further investigation is required if infill developments are to occur on these two parcels.

#### 5.3 Stormwater Management

Stormwater management shall address sedimentation, erosion controls and runoff for Wintergreen Forest Estates. The goal of managing stormwater within Wintergreen Forest Estates is to maintain as much of the natural drainage characteristics as possible. The concept to manage stormwater within the site proposes to positively maintain the pre-development stormwater characteristics of the site.

- Policy 5.3.1 At the subdivision stage, a Stormwater Management Plan shall be submitted to the satisfaction of the County. The Stormwater Management Plan shall demonstrate how additional runoff arising from the ultimate development of the site will be managed in terms of both quality and quantity. The Stormwater Management Plan should also determine the size of the stormwater facility, if any, and will dictate the size of the Public Utility Lot required for the proposed subdivision and development.
- Policy 5.3.2 At the subdivision stage, a Stormwater Management Report and detailed stomwater servicing design shall be submitted. This includes, but is not limited to, any improvements related to water re-use, Low Impact Development measures, purple pipe systems, and irrigation systems for the proposed development in accordance with the County Servicing Standards and any applicable Provincial regulations, standards, and/or guidelines.
- Policy 5.3.3 All improvements as identified in the Stormwater Management Plan and Report as prescribed in Policy 5.3.1 and 5.3.2, if any, shall be registered on title at the subdivision stage as a Site Improvement/Services Agreement (Development Agreement).
- Policy 5.3.4 Any related provincial licensing and registration requirements shall be the sole responsibility of the Developer.
- Policy 5.3.5 Prior to stripping and grading any portion of the Plan's Stormwater area, excluding the construction of individual lot accesses, the Stormwater Management Plan as required in Policy 5.3.1 and its recommendations, including sedimentation and erosion controls must be implemented by the Developer.
- Policy 5.3.6 All new development shall address the implementation of Best Management Practices for water quality in accordance with the adopted Bragg Creek Master Drainage Plan and in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, to the satisfaction of the County.

#### 5.4 Solid Waste Management

The disposal of solid waste will be in accordance with Rocky View County's Solid Waste Management plan, encouraging reducing, reusing, recycling and disposing household solid waste. Rocky View County's Bragg Creek transfer site will be utilized for solid waste materials.

Policy 5.4.1 The disposal of solid waste shall be in accordance with Rocky View County's Solid Waste Management plan, encouraging reducing, reusing, recycling and disposing household solid waste.

#### 5.5 Protective Services

Fire station 101 in Elbow Valley and/or Red Wood Meadows will be utilized for emergency fire situations.

Medical emergencies in Rocky View County are served by ground ambulances operated by Alberta Health Services. Medical services can be accessed by calling 9-1-1.

Rocky View County is policed by the RCMP from three detachments: Airdrie, Cochrane and Strathmore. Bylaw services officers in the Bragg Creek area report as required.

- Policy 5.5.1 To accommodate emergency vehicles, mutual and single accesses shall be in accordance with County Servicing Standards, as amended.
- Policy 5.5.2 Address signage shall meet FireSmart standards, including material, colour and reflectivity.

#### 5.6 Shallow Utilities

Shallow utilities include services such as telephone, natural gas, and electrical. A utility easement has been registered on the plan area by ATCO Gas, and TELUS will also have a utility easement on the plan area, both of which will be placed underground. Fortis Alberta Inc., as the distributor/provider of wire service for the plan area has indicated no objection and no easement requirements, with the developer to arrange installation of electrical services for this subdivision with FortisAlberta.

Policy 5.6.1 Shallow utilities including telephone, natural gas and electrical shall be provided to the individual lots in the Plan Area in accordance with provider recommendations and provisions.

# 6.0 STATUTORY PLAN COMPLIANCE

6.1 Municipal Development Plan (MDP) – County Plan

The Wintergreen Forest Estates proposal complies with County Plan Bylaw C-7280-2013 as a country residential community development conforming to the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan. The development will be guided by the goals and policies of the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan, encouraging quality building while maintaining the rural character that is compatible with the surrounding areas.

#### 6.2 Area Structure Plan (ASP) – Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan

The Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme provides information as required by the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan, including a future land use scenario, compatibility with adjacent land use, population densities and projections, identification of lands to be dedicated including, shallow utilities servicing strategies, municipal reserves, traffic effects, community trail system alignments, and water and/or wastewater systems.

## 7.0 IMPLEMENTATION

7.1 Proposed Landscaping Guidelines and Architectural Controls

Proposed landscaping will be recommended to follow guidelines as indicated in the Alberta FireSmart Development Standards, relating to structural and vegetation management.

Architectural controls relating to Alberta FireSmart Structural recommendations will be addressed at the Development Permit stage which will include the requirement for use of ULC rated non-combustible roofing materials and fire-resistant siding materials.

- Policy 7.1.1 Pursuant to the provisions of the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan (Greater Bragg Creek ASP), this Conceptual Scheme shall be appended to the Greater Bragg Creek ASP.
- Policy 7.1.2 The policies of this Conceptual Scheme shall be implemented through the redesignation and subdivision approval process.
- Policy 7.1.3 Alberta FireSmart Structural recommendations will be addressed at the development stages through building permit process.

## 8.0 POLICY SUMMARY

- Policy 2.1.1 Policies contained in the Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme shall apply to the "Plan Area" as identified in Figure 2.
- Policy 2.1.2 Redesignation, subdivision, and development of the subject lands shall conform to the policies of the Wintergreen Forest Estates Conceptual Scheme.
- Policy 3.1.1 At subdivision stage, an updated Slope Stability Assessment and/or Geotechnical Report prepared by a qualified professional in accordance with County Servicing Standards may be required to evaluate the soil characteristics, existing groundwater conditions, development constraints, and to demonstrate that there is a minimum of one contiguous developable acre for each of the proposed parcels to the satisfaction of the County.
- Policy 3.2.1 Wherever possible, the disturbance and removal of natural vegetation and significant areas of trees shall be minimized, while incorporating vegetation management as outlined in the Provincial FireSmart guidelines.
- Policy 3.2.2 Proposed subdivision layout and vegetation management on site should recognize and mitigate susceptibility to wildfire risks (e.g. appropriate clearing of building sites, and access to all building sites for emergency service apparatus and equipment).
- Policy 3.2.3 Vegetation Management recommendations of the Wildfire Risk Assessment report shall be registered as a restrictive covenant on title for future subdivision within the Plan area and shall be considered at the development permit stage.
- Policy 3.5.1 Utilities installations and easement/agreement registrations shall be subject to conditions as set forth by the applicable utility agencies and will be addressed at subdivision stage.
- Policy 4.0.1 The minimum lot size of parcels within the Plan area shall be four (4) acres.
- Policy 4.0.2 Future development on site shall adhere to FireSmart Guidelines.
- Policy 4.0.3 At subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall register a restrictive covenant on each new parcel to ensure:
  - all buildings, including accessory buildings, are Sprinklered to the appropriate NFPA standard;
  - Non-combustible building materials are used on the siding, roof, and eves area of the building;
  - A fire resistance rating/barrier is installed between the siding and the sheathing;

- Special separation between all buildings is increased to reduce the spread of a wildfire; and
- The properties are evaluated by an accredited professional that specializes in wildfire prevention, to ensure that the vegetation and any other hazards are properly mitigated.
- Policy 4.1.1 At future Subdivision stage, a five (5) metres strip of land shall be dedicated, by Plan of Survey, as a road Right-of-Way (ROW) along the eastern boundary of the Plan Area, facing Wintergreen Road.
- Policy 4.1.2 The proposed parcels shall be accessed by mutual approaches from Township Road 234, as shown on Figure 7, and shall be protected by Access Right-of-Way Plan and associated Easement Agreement at time of subdivision.
- Policy 4.1.3 The preferred alignment for approaches should minimize environmental impacts and be pursuant to the policies contained in the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan.
- Policy 4.1.4 Access point to Township Road 234 shall comply with engineering requirements for emergency vehicle access.
- Policy 4.1.5 Signage in accordance with FireSmart Guideline access standards & Wildfire Risk Assessment Recommendation.
- Policy 4.1.6 At Subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall enter into a Development Agreement pursuant to Section 655 of the Municipal Government Act respecting provision of the construction of a temporary cul-de-sac at the west end of Township Road 234, and register any necessary easement agreements, at the Owner's expense in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, as amended.
- Policy 4.1.7 At subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall provide payment of the Transportation Offsite Levy, in accordance with applicable levy at the time of subdivision approval, as amended, for the total gross acreage of the lands proposed to be subdivided.
- Policy 4.2.1.1A six (6) metre wide linear Municipal Reserve shall be dedicated along the eastern boundary of the Plan Area fronting Wintergreen Road to accommodate a future County pathway. Any remainder Municipal Reserve shall be taken as cash in lieu.
- Policy 4.2.1.2 A six (6) metre wide linear Municipal Reserve shall be dedicated along the northern boundary of the Plan Area fronting Township Road 234 to accommodate a future County pathway. Any remainder Municipal Reserve shall be taken as cash in lieu.
- Policy 5.1.1 At the subdivision stage, the Developer shall provide confirmation from the Wintergreen Woods Water Utility Ltd. stating that the Applicant has completed all paperwork for water supply request, that the Applicant has paid all necessary fees of said application and that the utility has sufficient

capacity at the time of application to supply the needs of this Conceptual Scheme.

- Policy 5.1.2 The Developer and/or Utility shall be responsible to construct and install water lines to the proposed subdivision.
- Policy 5.1.3 At subdivision stage, a deferred servicing agreement shall be registered against each newly created parcel that is serviced by a communal water system to identify the owner's responsibility to connect to a regional water utility should one become reasonably available.
- Policy 5.2.1 At subdivision stage, the Owner/Developer shall enter into a Development Agreement (Site Services/Improvement Agreement) for the installation of Packaged Private Sewage Treatment System complying with NSF 40 and/or BNQ standards, in accordance with County Servicing Standards.
- Policy 5.2.2 At Subdivision Stage, the Owner/Developer shall register a Deferred Services Agreement against each new certificate of title (lot) created, requiring the owner to tie into municipal services when they become available.
- Policy 5.3.1 At the subdivision stage, a Stormwater Management Plan shall be submitted to the satisfaction of the County. The Stormwater Management Plan shall demonstrate how additional runoff arising from the ultimate development of the site will be managed in terms of both quality and quantity. The Stormwater Management Plan should also determine the size of the stormwater facility, if any, and will dictate the size of the Public Utility Lot required for the proposed subdivision and development.
- Policy 5.3.2 At the subdivision stage, a Stormwater Management Report and detailed stomwater servicing design shall be submitted. This includes, but is not limited to, any improvements related to water re-use, Low Impact Development measures, purple pipe systems, and irrigation systems for the proposed development in accordance with the County Servicing Standards and any applicable Provincial regulations, standards, and/or guidelines.
- Policy 5.3.3 All improvements as identified in the Stormwater Management Plan and Report as prescribed in Policy 5.3.1 and 5.3.2, if any, shall be registered on title at the subdivision stage as a Site Improvement/Services Agreement (Development Agreement).
- Policy 5.3.4 Any related provincial licensing and registration requirements shall be the sole responsibility of the Developer.
- Policy 5.3.5 Prior to stripping and grading any portion of the Plan's Stormwater area, excluding the construction of individual lot accesses, the Stormwater Management Plan as required in Policy 5.3.1 and its recommendations, including sedimentation and erosion controls must be implemented by the Developer.

- Policy 5.3.6 All new development shall address the implementation of Best Management Practices for water quality in accordance with the adopted Bragg Creek Master Drainage Plan and in accordance with the County Servicing Standards, to the satisfaction of the County.
- Policy 5.4.1 The disposal of solid waste shall be in accordance with Rocky View County's Solid Waste Management plan, encouraging reducing, reusing, recycling and disposing household solid waste.
- Policy 5.5.1 To accommodate emergency vehicles, mutual and single accesses shall be in accordance with County Servicing Standards, as amended.
- Policy 5.5.2 Address signage shall meet FireSmart standards, including material, colour and reflectivity.
- Policy 5.6.1 Shallow utilities including telephone, natural gas and electrical shall be provided to the individual lots in the Plan Area in accordance with provider recommendations and provisions.
- Policy 7.1.1 Pursuant to the provisions of the Greater Bragg Creek Area Structure Plan (Greater Bragg Creek ASP), this Conceptual Scheme shall be appended to the Greater Bragg Creek ASP.
- Policy 7.1.2 The policies of this Conceptual Scheme shall be implemented through the redesignation and subdivision approval process.
- Policy 7.1.3 Alberta FireSmart Structural recommendations will be addressed at the development stages through building permit process.

# 9.0 APPENDICES

9.1 Below is a list of appendices:

Appendix 1	Terrain and Slope Stability Assessment - Rangeland Conservation Service Ltd.
Appendix 2	Wildfire Analysis - Montane Forest Management
Appendix 3	Private Sewage Treatment System – Level III Assessment - Almor Testing Services Ltd.
Appendix 4	Confirmation of Water Source - Wintergreen Woods Water Utility
Appendix 5	Summary of Public Consultation



502, 2903 Kingsview Boulevard S.E. Airdrie, Alberta T4A 0C4 Ph: 403.912.3940 Fax: 403.948.3148 Email: inquiries@rangeland.co Website: www.rangeland.co

> Date: October 7, 2013 Our File: 13-3629 <u>Via Email</u>

RedQuest Developments Ltd. c/o Almor Testing Services Ltd. 7505 - 40th Street S.E. Calgary, Alberta T2C 2H5

Attention: Mr. Jim Montgomery, P.Eng. Principal

Dear Sir:

Re: Wintergreen 20 Acre Subdivision, Bragg Creek Terrain and Slope Stability Assessment

As requested, Mr. Al Overend, M.Eng., P.Eng., of Rangeland Conservation Service Ltd., inspected the above noted site in your company on October 2, 2013. The purpose of the inspection was to walk the proposed five-lot subdivision and assess the terrain/slope stability aspects. An air photo base plan of the subdivision with apparent existing contours superimposed on is attached.

According to the contours, the steepest slopes, from 18 to 20 degrees or more, are in the northwest corner and along the northernmost portion of the site. The flattest areas are in the southwest corner and along portions of the southern boundary where the slopes are very gentle, less than 5 degrees. Terrain throughout the remainder of the site is gently to moderately sloping, *i.e.*, 5 to 15 degrees.

During the site inspection, it became evident that the contours shown on the plan are not accurate. While they show general trends, *i.e.*, overall the site slopes to the north and to the east, they do not reflect actual conditions. There are no steep slopes along the northernmost portion of the site, rather the terrain is very gently sloping, locally depressional. Moderate to locally moderately steep slopes, *i.e.*, 10 to 15 degrees plus, exist in the central portion and towards the southeastern corner. The terrain along the southern boundary is very gently sloping, excepting the southeastern portion which is moderately sloping. The discrepancy between the contour plan and actual conditions is likely due to the thick forest cover which exists at the site, making it difficult if not impossible to accurately map it from the air.

In summary, much of the terrain at the site is very gently to gently sloping, locally depressional, *i.e.*, between 0 and 10 degrees. As noted, there are some steeper segments, *i.e.*, 10 to 15 degrees. On this basis, there are no geotechnical concerns with respect to the overall stability of the site.



If you have any questions, please contact us at your convenience.

Sincerely,

RANGELAND CONSERVATION SERVICE LTD.

APEGA PERMIT NO. P11142



Al Overend, M.Eng., P.Eng. Senior Geotechnical Engineer



# FireSmart Wildfire Risk Assessment Rettie Country Residential Subdivision NE24–Twp23–Rge5–W5M



Prepared for: Bob Rettie, Developer

> Prepared by: Stew Walkinshaw MONTANE Forest Management Ltd. Canmore, AB. (403)678-7054 montane@shaw.ca

> > January 2014

# 1 Overview

Montane Forest Management Ltd. was requested by Bob Rettie to prepare a Wildfire Risk Assessment to evaluate the threat of wildfire to the proposed multi-lot subdivision development in the NE1/4 Sec24-Twp23-Rge5-W5M (Map 1) and provide FireSmart recommendations to reduce that threat.

This Wildfire Risk Assessment provides the following:

- Wildfire Threat Assessment
- Proposed Development Standards
- Recommended FireSmart Development Standards



Map 1 – Proposed NE24 Multi-Lot Subdivision
# 2 Wildfire Threat Assessment

Wildfire threat was assessed for the proposed site and for the surrounding area to determine the threat of wildfire to the development.

### 2.1 Site Assessment

A FireSmart Area Hazard Assessment was conducted on the proposed development site to provide a standardized method of quantifying the wildfire threat. The Area Hazard Assessment evaluates five factors that influence wildfire behavior on the site (Figure 1).

**Forest Vegetation** - Forest vegetation on the site consists of a mixed-wood (M-1) fuel type predominated with coniferous white spruce/lodgepole pine (50-70%) and deciduous trembling aspen/balsam poplar (30-50%) (Photos 1 & 2).

**Surface Vegetation -** Surface vegetation consists of wild grass, shrubs, and scattered to abundant dead and down material (Photos 2 & 3).

**Ladder Fuels** - Ladder fuels are continuous due to heavy spruce limbs and a moderately dense white spruce understory (Photos 1-3).

**Slope & Position on Slope -** Slope is less than 10% on a northeast aspect at valley bottom/lower slope position.



Photo 1 – Mixed-Wood Fuel Type

Based on the factors above, the FireSmart Area Hazard Level is rated as **High** (Map 2) with the potential to support intense wildfire behavior.



Photo 2 – Mixed-Wood Fuel Type on Proposed Development Area



Photo 3 – Surface, Ground, and Ladder Fuels on Proposed Development Area

# FIRESMART AREA HAZARD ASSESSMENT FORM

Factor	Charac	teristics	s and Po	int Rating	S	Score
12. Forest Vegetation	Deciduous	Mixed	dwood	Coni		
(overstory)				Separated	Continuous	
	0	1	15	15	30	15
13. Surface Vegetation	Lawn or non-	Wildgrass	s or shrubs	Dead & D	own Woody	
	combustible material	×7		Ma	terial	
				Scattered	Abundant	
	0		5	5	15	10
14. Ladder Fuels	Absent	Scat	tered	Cont		
	0		5		10	
15. Slope	0-10%	11-	25%	>	25%	
		Even	Gullied	Even	Gullied	
	0	4	5	8	10	0
16. Position on Slope	Valley Bottom or lower slope	Mid-	Slope	Uppe	er-Slope	
	0		3		0	
			ctors 12-16	35		
				Area Ha	zard Level	High



#### **Remarks:**

Heavier coniferous component 70/30 Spruce/Aspen in central to east end of area, west end is 50/50 Spruce/Aspen Heavy dead & down material througout the area

Ladders fuels are continuous from both Spruce limbs and moderately dense Spruce understory Slope is <10% and will not affect fire behaviour

### 2.2 Landscape-Level Assessment

A landscape-level assessment of the area within 1 kilometre of the proposed development was completed using the provincial fire behaviour fuel type grid and Alberta vegetation inventory database. Wildfire potential surrounding the development area is varied based on wildland fuel types.

- The mixed-wood stand immediately west of the proposed development provides a High hazard path for wildfire to enter into the new development.
- The Wintergreen Golf Course and the old ski hill provide an excellent fuelbreak to the north of the proposed development.
- The mixed-wood stands to the south of the proposed development provide Moderate to High hazard, based on percentage of coniferous fuels.
- The brush and cured-grass fuels on the Tsuu T'ina Reserve to the east of the proposed development provide Moderate hazard and were the source of the Wintergreen wildfire in May of 1993 that threatened several homes north of the golf course.

High and Extreme hazard fuel types within one-kilometre of the proposed site present the threat of burning ember transport into the development.



# **3 Proposed Development Standards**

The following development standards are currently proposed by the developer.

## 3.1 Structural

Feature	Proposed Standard										
Roofing	None proposed										
Siding	None proposed										

#### 3.2 Infrastructure

Feature	Proposed Standard
Access	<ul> <li>Access Roads:</li> <li>TwpRd 234 will be main access – 9m paved travelled surface width</li> <li>Access Driveways:</li> <li>Shared Access – 10m width</li> <li>Single Access – 6m width</li> </ul>
Power	<ul> <li>Distribution power from existing overhead line on north-side of TwpRd234 – line is tree-free</li> <li>Service lines to each lot are proposed for underground installation</li> </ul>
Gas	Underground natural gas
Fire Service Water Supply	<ul> <li>None proposed</li> <li>Existing water supply includes:         <ul> <li>2 fire hydrants at Wintergreen subdivision – dependability unknown</li> <li>Water ponds on Wintergreen Golf Course</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Address Signage	Address signs proposed – standards unknown

### **3.3 Vegetation Management**

Feature	Proposed Standard
Zone 1 Landscaping	None proposed
Zone 2-3 Fuel Modification	None proposed

# **4 Recommended FireSmart Development Standards**

The following recommendations are offered to reduce the threat of wildfire to the proposed development. Refer to *"FireSmart – Protecting Your Community from Wildfire (PIP, 2003)* at <u>www.firesmartcanada.ca</u> for details.

Option	Recommendations
Structural	<ul> <li>Require the use of ULC-rated non-combustible roofing materials</li> <li>Require the use of fire-resistant siding materials</li> </ul>
Infrastructure	<ul> <li>Require an adequate turn-around for fire apparatus at the terminus of all dead-end driveways greater than 91 metres in length</li> <li>Ensure that address signage meets FireSmart standards including material, color, and reflectivity</li> <li>Consider the use of the Wintergreen golf course water ponds for fire service water supply during a wildfire incident</li> </ul>
Vegetation Management	<ul> <li>Develop and implement a Zone 2-3 FireSmart fuel modification prescription (Photo 4) to reduce flammable wildland fuels on the <u>entire</u> development property through:         <ul> <li>Spacing of coniferous advanced-growth understory and regeneration</li> <li>Removal of dead and down and dead standing material</li> <li>Pruning of limbs on all residual coniferous trees</li> </ul> </li> <li>Require lot owners to establish and maintain FireSmart Zone 1 defensible space standards for a minimum of 10 metres around each structure including but not limited to:         <ul> <li>Adequate clearance from flammable coniferous trees</li> <li>Establishment of a minimum 1 metre non-combustible surface cover (gravel, rock, concrete) around the footprint of each structure and underneath un-skirted porch/deck areas</li> <li>Require the use of only FireSmart landscaping species (Appendix I) within 10 metres of all structures</li> </ul></li></ul>



Photo 4 – Minimum Suggested Zone 2-3 Vegetation Management Standards

#### Appendix I - List of Fire-Resistant Plants for Alberta

### Groundcovers and Herbaceous Perennial Plants

**Common Name** 

Bergenia Blanket Flower Bluegrass, Kentucky Buffalograss Candytuft, Evergreen Carpet bugle Cinquefoil, Spring Columbine Coral Bells Coreopsis Cotoneaster Cotoneaster, Rock Cotoneaster, Bearberry Daisy, Shasta Daylily Dusty Miller Fescue Fescue, Blue Fescue, Tall Fescue, Creeping Red Flax Fleabane Geranium, Hardy Geranium, Bloodred Geranium Ginger, Wild Hen and Chicks Iris Kinnickinnick Lambs Ear Lupine Mahonia, Creeping Mock Strawberry Myrtle, Dwarf Periwinkle Penstemon, Rocky Mountain Pinks Poppy Potentilla Primrose, Mexican Evening Primrose Pussytoes Ryegrass Sage Sedum, Goldmoss Snow-in-Summer Stonecrop Stonecrop, Green Strawberry, Wild Thrift, Common Thyme, Wooly Thyme, Creeping Valerian, Red Violet, Canadian Virginia Creeper Wheatgrass, Western Wheatgrass, Crested (low-growing) Winterfat Yarrow Yarrow, White

**Genus and Species** Bergenia spp. Gaillardia x grandiflora

Poa pratensis Buchloe dactyloides Iberis sempervirens Ajuga reptans Potentilla tabernaemontanii Aquilegia spp. Heuchera sanguinea Coreopsis spp. Cotoneaster ssp. Cotoneaster horizontalis Cotoneaster dammerii Leucanthemum x superbum Hemerocallis spp. Artemisa stelleriana Festuca spp. Festuca cinerea Festuca arundinacea Festuca rubra Linum spp. Erigeron hybrids Geranium cinereum Geranium sanguineum Geranium spp. Asarum caudatum Sempervivum tectorum Iris spp. Arctostaphylos uva-ursi Stachys byzantina Lupinus spp. Mahonia repens Duchesnea indica Vinca minor Penstemon strictus Dianthus plumarius Papaver spp. Potentilla spp. Oenothera berlandieri Oenothera spp. Antennaria spp. Lolium spp. Salvia spp. Sedum acre Cerastium tomentosum Sedum spathulifoluim Sedum album Fragaria chiloensis America maritima Thymus pseudolanuginosus Thymus praecox articus Centranthus ruber Viola canandensis Parthenocissus quinquefolia Agropyron cristatum Agropyron cristatum Eurotia spp. Achillea spp. Achillea millefolium white

Comments

Very hardy Hardy Hardy Marginally hardy Hardy Hardy Very hardy Very hardy Verv hardv Very hardy Hardy Very hardy Very hardy Hardy Very hardy Very hardy Hardy Hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Hardy Hardy Hardy Very hardy Verv hardv Very hardy to hardy Very hardy Very hardy Hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Hardy Hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy Very hardy

Yarrow, Fernleaf Yarrow, Wooly Yucca

**Common Name** 

Alder, White Ash Ash, Green Aspen, Quaking Birch Cottonwood Hackberry Rose family Maple Maple, Big-toothed Maple, Box Elder Maple, Rocky Mountain Olive, Russian Poplar Narrowleaf Cottonwood Prunus

#### Common Name

Blueberry Buckthorn Buffaloberry Buffaloberry, Russett Buffaloberry, Silver Cherry Cherry, Sand Cherry, Nanking Chokecherry Cinquefoil, Shrubby Deerbrush (Buckbrush) Dogwood, Red-osier Gooseberries and Currants Honeysuckle Lilac, Common Mockorange Plum, Native Raspberry Roses Saltbush Sumac, Skunkbush

Achillea filipendulina Achillea tomentosa var. Moonshine Yucca filamentosa Very hardy Very hardy Hardy

Comments

### Trees

Genus and Species	Comments
Alnus rhombifolia	Hardy
Fraxinus spp.	Hardy
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Hardy
Populus tremuloides	Hardy
Betula spp.	Hardy
Populus spp.	Hardy
Celtis occidentalis	Hardy
Rosaceae	Hardy
Acer spp.	Hardy
Acer grandidentatum	Hardy
Acer negundo	Hardy
Acer glabrum	Hardy
Eleagnus angustifolia	Hardy
Populus spp.	Hardy
Populus angustifolia	Hardy
Prunus spp.	Hardy

## Shrubs

#### Genus and Species Vaccinium

Vaccinium	Hardy
Rhamnus spp.	Hardy
Shepherdia spp.	Hardy
Shepherdia canadensis	Hardy
Shepherdia angentea	Hardy
Prunus spp.	Hardy
Prunus besseyi	Hardy
Prunus tomentosa	Hardy
Prunus virginiana	Hardy
Pontentilla fruiticosa	Hardy
Ceanothus spp.	Hardy
Cornus sericea (C. stolonifera)	Hardy
Ribes spp.	Hardy
Lonicera spp.	Hardy
Syringa vulgaris	Hardy
Philadelphus spp.	Hardy
Prunus americana	Hardy
Rubus spp.	Hardy
Rosaceae	Hardy
Atriplex spp.	Hardy
Rhus trilobata	Hardy



7505 - 40 STREET S.E., CALGARY, AB T2C 2H5 PHONE (403) 236-8880 • FAX (430) 236-1707

2013 07 10

100-06-13

RedQuest Developments Ltd. Box 11, Site 6, RR 1 Okotoks, Alberta T1S 1A1

> Re: Level III PSTS Assessment Bob & Margaret Rettie Residence Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311473, NE 24-23-5-W5 Wintergreen, Bragg Creek, Alberta

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Almor Testing Services Ltd. was retained to complete a Level III PSTS Assessment and Site Investigation, in accordance with Alberta Municipal Affairs Model Process (2011), Rocky View County and Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice 2009, at the above referenced project. No consideration has been given to foundation soil conditions, within the building envelopes and does not include potential environmental factors, throughout the developmental area. An original preliminary report was completed in March, 2013 for this site, in the northwest portion.

The overall development consists of 20 acres, which has been subdivided into five 4 acre lots. (Figures 1 and 2). This subdivision is sloping downward in a south to north and west to east directions. All five lots consist of heavily forested areas. There are residences some 330m east and some 260m southeast. There is a subdivision to the north of Wintergreen Road. The subdivision consists of 12 residences backing on to the golf course. Overall, the total residences are at 14 lots per quarter section, which is considered low to moderate residential development. Figure 5 illustrates the 19 lots within a 600m radius, which is still moderate at less than 30 lots. Since the lots in the new subdivision are less than 4 acres in area, they do require a packaged Sewer Treatment system meeting BNQ standards (NQ3890-910, Class III and Class V).

These lots are to be serviced by water wells, which one was tested to have a low SAR value of less than 6, therefore Sodium Absorption Ratio is not an issue with these developments and septic fields and an existing water well in Lot 5, is some 122m north of the south property line. A well is present at 680m northwest of this site and three at a minimum 241m to the south of this site (Figure 4).

Surface water adjacent to the lots consists of fluctuating water ponds, within the golf course, north of Wintergreen Road, located some 280m northeast of Lot 1 and another water pond some 275m north of the septic field of Lot 3 (Figure 1). There is a lake some 440m northeast of the septic field of Lot 1.

We expect the proposed residences to be constructed may be 4 bedrooms, with a daily sewage volume of approximately 2040 L/day (450 gal/day).

The topographic relief of the lots is as follows: Lot 1 has a slope of 7-10%, 8% at Test Pit 2 and a 4% slope at Test Pit 1. Lot 2 test pit has a 6% slope in a south to north direction. Lots 3, 4 and 5 have slopes of less than 4% (Figure 3).

The clearances for a Shallow Treatment Field proposed are:

- 1.5m to a property line
- 15m from a water source
- 15m from a water course
- 5m from a septic tank or packaged sewage treatment plant
- 10m from a basement, cellar or crawl space
- 1m from a building with no basement, cellar or crawl space

These lots are suitable for these conditions from a site observations review.

The test pits were advanced on June 11, 2013, by a backhoe. These pits were advanced, within proposed septic tile field locations to obtain soil texture analyses. Refer to Plates 1 to 10 attached for the Test Pit Logs. The Site Contours and Air Photo Plans for the locations in each lot are on Figures 1, 2 and 3.

#### 2.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

#### 2.1 Soil Conditions

The soil conditions, within the field locations tested (excluding the surficial topsoil and lesser "browns" horizon), consisted mainly of granular silty clay loam, clay loam and clay soils. Heavy clay and silty clay were encountered in Test Pits 7, 8, 9 and 10. The soils were in a damp to moist condition. Natural moisture contents ranged from 10% to 35%. The soils were considered to be well drained.

#### 2.2 Groundwater Conditions

Monitoring of the groundwater conditions was conducted, during excavation of the test pits. No water intrusion was observed, as well as no limiting layer.

Typically, highest groundwater conditions are experienced, during the months of June to August, as they are periods of groundwater table recharge and therefore seasonal fluctuation is then taken into consideration, if water is encountered. It is apparent, the near surface water table is presently below a vertical distance of 1.5m from the weeping lateral trench bottom, as specified by Alberta Environmental Protection guidelines for location of disposal fields, in this time period. Water table is not a consideration, with the well drained subsoils.

#### 3.0 LABORATORY TESTING

A Grain Size Analysis laboratory testing program, meeting applicable ASTM and/or CSA standards was undertaken on the samples secured in the field. The results of the testing are presented in the following tables:

Test	Depth	Moisture	Grai	n Size D	istributi	on		Loading Rate
Pit	(m)	Content (%)	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Soil Classification	(l/day/m <sup>2</sup> )
1	0.9	18	1.8	20.8	41.5	35.9	Clay Loam	8.9
2	0.9	15.4	6.5	23.9	39.5	30.1	Clay Loam	13.2
3	0.9	16.1	10.1	17.7	40.1	32.1	Silty Clay Loam	13.2
4	0.9	18.5	6.7	4.1	34.6	54.6	Silty Clay	0.0
5	0.9	14.1	1.4	24.4	37.0	37.2	Clay Loam	8.8
6	0.9	18.7	12.8	6.5	28.3	52.4	Silty Clay	0.0
7	0.9	14.1	0.0	3.9	32.4	63.7	Heavy Clay	0.0
8	0.9	23.4	10.9	1.3	22.1	65.7	Heavy Clay	0.0
9	0.9	18.8	8.0	12.4	31.6	48.0	Silty Clay	0.0
10	0.9	23.0	0.0	0.7	27.6	71.7	Heavy Clay	0.0

Table 1: Soil Classification

Attached are copies of the Grain Size Analyses completed on soil samples obtained at 0.9m in the test pits. The analyses are to be utilized for review of potential percolation rates of the proposed septic field subsoils. The results indicate, from Table 8.1.1.10, Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice, June 2009, that the granular silty clay loam soils exhibit drainage rates of 13.2 litres/day/m<sup>2</sup>. The clay loam soils are suitable for 8.8 litres/day/m<sup>2</sup>. The clay soils in Lots 4 and 5 indicate no flow. Our initial report of March 1, 2013, indicated suitable soil conditions of 8.8 to 14.2 litres/day/m<sup>2</sup> in the north portion of Lots 4 and 5 and therefore should be located on the north portion. The subsoils are considered to be well drained, with no limiting layers to below 2.8m from grade. Perched groundwater is also not a consideration. Packaged Sewage Treatment systems are required, for the two acre lots.

#### 4.0 CLOSURE

In review, the gradation texture of the soils from Lots 1 to 3, recorded at a depth of approximately 0.9m below existing grade, indicate an effluent loading rate of 8.8 to 13.2 litres/day/m<sup>2</sup>. The water table is below a minimum 2.4m from grade, in this time period. Therefore, we recommend the locations of the Test Pits in these locations are suitable for sewage treatment field systems and the site is considered Type I, well suited. We recommend field sizes of 232 m<sup>2</sup> (2500 ft<sup>2</sup>), for a four bedroom house, based on the soil gradation analyses and allowable loading rates. Other locations, with the minimum clearances noted, would also be suitable for PSTS systems in Lots 4 and 5 at the north portion.

However, review of gradation texture of soil from Test Pits 7 to 10 indicates high to very high consistency of clay, which makes the test locations unsuitable for sewage treatment field systems. Therefore, it is recommended an alternate system be required or the fields must be located in the north portions of these lots.

Information presented herein is based on the findings in the test pits advanced, our preliminary investigation in March and at the site and recognized professional engineering principles and practice. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Bob and Margaret Rettie and its agents for specific application to the proposed development described, within this report. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Almor accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this report.

We trust this meets with your present requirements.

Respectfully submitted, ALMOR TESTING SERVICES LTD.



\*APEGA Permit to Practice #P2260

J.B. Montgomery, P.Eng. JBM:ms:A04136

Attachments



Supposed septic field

Ø Test Holes





Approximate Test Hole Locations:

TH1: 25-30m E of WPL, 25-30m N of SPL TH2: 10m E of WPL, 15-20m N of SPL TH3: 20-25m W of EPL, 25-30m N of SPL TH4: 30-35m E of WPL, 15-20m N of SPL TH5: 25-30m W of EPL, 15m N of SPL TH6: 50-55m E of WPL, 15-20m N of SPL TH7: 45-50m E of WPL, 20-25m N of SPL TH8: 25-30m E of WPL, 25-30m N of SPL TH9: 10-15m W of EPL, 50-55m N of SPL TH10: 25-30m W of EPL, 25-30m N of SPL

Water Well in Lot 5: 122m N of SPL, 40m E of WPL







<sup>© 1995–2013</sup> Government of Alberta Copyrigh







Owner	Name	or Job ID.	Bob & I	Margaret	Rettie	– Wii	ntergreen, E	Bragg C	re	eek									
					Legal I	Land Lo	ocation									Test Pi	it GP	S Coordinates	
LSI	D-1/4	Sec	Twp	Rg	Mer		Lot	Bl	loo	ck		Plan			Easting			Northi	ng
Ν	IE	24	23	5	W5		1	2			131147								
Vegetat	ion note	s:	F	leavily tree	ed					Overall s	ite slope %	)	Gentle Slopes – Class					1	
Grass C	overed									Slope po	sition of te	est pit:		Upp	er -	mid			
Test P	it No.		Soil Subgrou	ıp		F	Parent Material	Y							ple #	¥1		Depth of Lab samp	le #2
1													0.9m						
Hori- zon				re Lab or HT			Colour	Gleyir	ng	g N	lottling	Stru	ucture	Grade		Consistence	e	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments
А	A 0-11cm		LVFS	ƙ		Dark Black	Brown,	None		Non	e MA			Weak		Loose		Moist	
В	B 11cm – 50cm		SICL	5	Medi		um brown	None		Few	r.	MA		Weak		Friable		Moist	
С	50cm –	240cm	CL		Olive		grey	Minor		Con	Common FAI		3K	Moderate	e	Firm		Moist	
Depth to	Groundw	ater	Non	e			Restricting	g Soil La	ye	er Charact	eristic	-	None						
Depth to	Seasonall	y Saturated S	oil Non	e			Depth to re	estrictive	S	Soil Layer			None						
Site Topo	ography		Slop & N	bes general E	ly to the	N	Depth to H Design	Highly Pe	err	meable La	iyer Limitii	ng	None						
Weather	Condition	notes: sho	wers – max	14 degree	S	l													

# Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

# Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Owne	er Name or	· Job ID.	Bob 8	k Margare			intergreen, I	Bragg C	lre	ek									
272	anne a bei	1				Land Lo	1	1							st Pit Gl	PS Coordinates			
	SD-1/4 NE	Sec 24	Twp	Rg	Mer	_	Lot		Bloc		Plan			Easting		North	ing		
1	NE	24	23	5	W5	' <b> </b>	1		2		13114	+ /							
	tion notes	:		Heavily tr	reed					Overall site slope %				e Slopes –	Class	4			
Grass C	Covered						Slope position of test pit: Upper - mid												
Test F	Pit No.		Soil Subg	roup			Parent Material	Drainage		De	pth of Lab sample	e #1		Depth of Lab sam	inle #2				
	2 Rapidly								0.9m			Deptir of Edd Sum							
Hori- zon	Depth Texture Lab or (cm) (in) HT					Colour	Gleyir	ng	Mottling	Str	ructure	Grade	Consist	ence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments			
A	A 0 – 12cm		LV	FS	Dark Black		Brown, k	rown, None		None	MA	8	Weak	Weak Loose		Loose		Moist	
В	B 12cm – 50cm		SIC	SICL		Medi	ium brown	None		Few	MA		Weak	Friable		Moist			
С	50cm – 2	40cm	C	1		Olive	grey	Minor		Common	FAE	3K	Moderate	Firm		Moist			
Depth to	Groundwat	er	lone			Restrictin	g Soil La	iye	er Characteristic		None								
Depth to	epth to Seasonally Saturated Soil None						Depth to r	estrictive	e S	oil Layer		None							
Site Topography Slopes generally to the 1 & NE						N	Depth to H Design	Highly Pe	ern	neable Layer Limitin	ng	None							
Weather	Condition n	otes: sho	wers – m	ax 14 degre	ees														

#### Owner Name or Job ID. Bob & Margaret Rettie – Wintergreen, Bragg Creek Legal Land Location Test Pit GPS Coordinates LSD-1/4 Sec Twp Rg Mer Easting Northing Lot Block Plan NE W5 24 23 5 2 131147 1 Vegetation notes: Overall site slope % Very gentle slope - Class 3 Heavily treed Grass Covered Slope position of test pit: mid - upper Depth of Lab sample #1 Depth of Lab sample #2 Test Pit No. Soil Subgroup Parent Material Drainage 3 Rapidly 0.9m Depth Consistence Moisture % Coarse Hori-Texture Lab or Colour Gleying Mottling Structure Grade HT Fragments zon (cm) (in) 0 - 10 cmDark Brown, Moist LVFS None MA Weak Loose None A Black 10 cm - 60 cmSICL Medium brown MA Weak Friable Very moist B None Few Minor 60cm - 240cm SICL Olive grey MABK Moderate Firm Moist C Common Depth to Groundwater Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic None None Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil None Depth to restrictive Soil Layer None Site Topography Slopes generally to the N Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting None & NE Design Weather Condition notes: showers - max 14 degrees

#### Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

#### Owner Name or Job ID. Bob & Margaret Rettie – Wintergreen, Bragg Creek Legal Land Location Test Pit GPS Coordinates LSD-1/4 Twp Rg Sec Mer Lot Block Plan Easting Northing NE 24 23 5 W5 131147 1 2 Vegetation notes: Heavily treed Overall site slope % Very gentle slope - Class 3 Grass Covered Slope position of test pit: mid - upper Test Pit No. Soil Subgroup Drainage Depth of Lab sample #1 Depth of Lab sample #2 Parent Material Rapidly 4 0.9m Depth Hori-Texture Lab or Colour Gleying Consistence Moisture % Coarse Mottling Structure Grade zon HT Fragments (cm) (in) 0 - 12 cmDark Brown, LVFS Very moist None MA Weak Loose A None Black 12 cm - 50 cmMedium brown Friable B SICL MA Weak Very moist None Few SIC 50cm - 240cm Olive grey Moist C Minor FABK Moderate Firm Common Depth to Groundwater Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic None None Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil Depth to restrictive Soil Layer None None Site Topography Slopes generally to the N Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting None & NE Design Weather Condition notes: showers - max 14 degrees

#### Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

#### Owner Name or Job ID. Bob & Margaret Rettie – Wintergreen, Bragg Creek Legal Land Location Test Pit GPS Coordinates LSD-1/4 Sec Twp Rg Mer Lot Block Northing Plan Easting NE 24 23 5 W5 1 2 131147 Vegetation notes: Heavily treed Overall site slope % Very gentle slope - Class 3 Grass Covered Slope position of test pit: upper Test Pit No. Soil Subgroup Parent Material Drainage Depth of Lab sample #1 Depth of Lab sample #2 5 Rapidly 0.9m Hori-Depth Texture Lab or Colour Gleying Mottling Consistence % Coarse Structure Grade Moisture zon HT (cm) (in) Fragments 0 - 13 cm Dark Brown, LVFS Very moist A None MA Weak None Loose Black 13cm - 35cm B SICL Medium brown MA Weak Friable Moist None Few 35cm - 1.0m CL Olive grey Minor C FABK Moderate Moist Common Firm D 1.0 - 1.8mSIC Shale Dark grey Moderate Moist None None MABK Firm Depth to Groundwater Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic None None Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil Depth to restrictive Soil Layer None None Site Topography Slopes generally to the N Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting None & NE Design Weather Condition notes: showers - max 14 degrees

#### Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

#### Owner Name or Job ID. Bob & Margaret Rettie - Wintergreen, Bragg Creek Legal Land Location Test Pit GPS Coordinates LSD-1/4 Sec Twp Rg Mer Lot Block Plan Easting Northing NE 24 23 5 W5 131147 1 2 Vegetation notes: Heavily treed Overall site slope % Very gentle slope – Class 3 Grass Covered Slope position of test pit: upper Test Pit No. Soil Subgroup Parent Material Depth of Lab sample #1 Depth of Lab sample #2 Drainage Rapidly 0.9m 6 Hori-Depth Texture Lab or Colour Gleying Mottling % Coarse Structure Grade Consistence Moisture zon HT (cm) (in) Fragments $0 - 10 \, \text{cm}$ Dark Brown, LVFS Very moist None MA Weak Loose A None Black 10 cm - 50 cmSICL Friable B Medium brown None MA Weak Very moist Few 50cm - 200cm SIC Olive grey C Minor FABK Moderate Firm Moist Common 200cm - 220cm SIC Shale Dark grey D None Few MABK Moderate Firm Moist Depth to Groundwater Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic None None Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil Depth to restrictive Soil Layer None None Site Topography Slopes generally to the N Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting None & NE Design showers - max 14 degrees Weather Condition notes: Comments:

#### Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

# Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Owner	r Name	or Job ID.	Bob 8	k Marg	aret Ret	tie –	Win	itergreen, E	Bragg Ci	ree	ek									
					Le	gal La	nd Lo	cation									Test	t Pit GI	PS Coordinates	
	D-1/4	Sec	Twp		-	1er		Lot	Bl	ocl	k		Plan			Easting			Northi	ng
Ν	1E	24	23		5 \	V5		1		2		2	13114	17						
Vegetat Grass C	tion note	es:	<u></u>	Heavil	y treed						Overall site slope % Slope position of test pit:			Very gentle slope – Class 3 mid slope						
Ulass C	overed								_		stope po	osition of tes	st pit:		1	mid sic	ope			
Test P	it No.		Soil Subgr	oup			P	arent Material		-	I	Drainage		De	pth of La	ib sample	#1		Depth of Lab sam	ole #2
7											Rapidly				0.9n					
Hori- zon				ure	Lab or HT		(	Colour	Gleyin	ng		Mottling	Str	ucture	Gra	ade	Consiste	ence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments
А	A 0 – 17cm		LVI	LVFS			Dark Brown, N Black		None	ne		ne	MA		We	Weak Loose			Very moist	
В	B 17cm – 40cm		SIC	SICL		N	Medium brown		None		Fev	W	MA		We	eak	Friable		Very moist	
С	40cm –	240cm	НС	НС ОІ		Olive grey		Minor		Сог	mmon	MABK		Mod	erate	Firm		Moist		
Depth to	Groundw	ater	N	one				Restricting	g Soil Lay	/er	Charac	cteristic		None						
Depth to	Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil							Depth to r	estrictive	Sc	oil Laye	er		None						
Site Topo		&	NE	nerally to	the N		Depth to H Design	lighly Per	rm	eable L	layer Limitir	Ig	None							
Weather	Condition	notes: sho	wers – ma	14 de	egrees															
Commen	ts:																			

#### Bob & Margaret Rettie - Wintergreen, Bragg Creek Owner Name or Job ID. Legal Land Location Test Pit GPS Coordinates LSD-1/4 Rg Sec Twp Mer Lot Block Plan Easting Northing NE 24 23 5 W5 1 2 131147 Vegetation notes: Heavily treed Overall site slope % Very gentle slope - Class 3 Grass Covered Slope position of test pit: mid slope Test Pit No. Soil Subgroup Drainage Depth of Lab sample #1 Parent Material Depth of Lab sample #2 8 Rapidly 0.9m Hori-Depth Texture Lab or Colour Gleying % Coarse Mottling Structure Grade Consistence Moisture zon HT Fragments (cm) (in) 0 - 10 cm LVFS Dark Brown, Very moist None A MA Weak Loose None Black B 10cm - 45cm SICL Medium brown MA Weak Friable Very moist None Few HC 45 cm - 240 cmOlive grey Minor Moist C Common FABK Moderate Firm Depth to Groundwater Restricting Soil Layer Characteristic None None Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil Depth to restrictive Soil Layer None None Site Topography Slopes generally to the N Depth to Highly Permeable Layer Limiting None & NE Design Weather Condition notes: showers - max 14 degrees

Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

# Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Owne	r Name o	or Job ID.	Bob &	Marga	ret Retti	e – Wii	ntergreen, l	Bragg C	ree	ek											
					Lega	l Land Lo	ocation								Tes	t Pit G	PS Coordinates				
	D-1/4	Sec	Twp	Rg			Lot		lock	k	Plan			Easting				ing			
1	NE	24	23	5	W	5	1		2		13114	47									
	tion notes	s:		Heavily	treed					Overall site slope %			Very gentle slope – Class 3								
Grass C	overed								Slope position of test pit												
Test F			Soil Subgro	oup		F	arent Material			Drainage		De		ab sample	e #1		Depth of Lab sample #2				
5										Rapidly			0.9	m							
Hori- zon	D (cm	Textur	re	Lab or HT	(	Colour	Gleyir	ng	Mottling	Str	ucture	Gr	ade	Consiste	ence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments				
А	0 – 12cm		LVFS	LVFS			Dark Brown, Black			None	МА		Weak		Loose		Very moist				
В	B 12cm – 50cm		SICL	SICL		Medium brown		None		Few	MA		W	eak	Friable		Very moist				
С	C 50cm - 240cm		SIC	SIC		Olive grey		Minor		Common	MA	BK	Mod	lerate	Firm		Moist				
Depth to	Groundwat	ter	Nor	ne			Restricting	g Soil Lay	/er	Characteristic		None									
Depth to	Depth to Seasonally Saturated Soil None						Depth to r	estrictive	So	vil Layer		None									
Site Topography Slopes generally to the N & NE						e N	Depth to H Design	lighly Per	rme	eable Layer Limitii	ng	None									
Weather	Condition 1	notes: show	vers – max	14 degi	rees																
Commen	ts:																				

# Alberta Private Sewage Treatment System Soil Profile Log Form

Owne	er Name or	r Job ID.	Bob &	k Mar	garet F	Rettie	- Win	ntergreen,	Bragg C	Cr	reek						
		-				Legal	Land Lo	cation							Test Pit (	GPS Coordinates	
	SD-1/4	Sec	Twp		Rg	Mer		Lot		-	ock	Plan			Easting	North	iing
	NE	24	23		5	W5		1		2	2	13114	47				
	ation notes Covered	:		Heavi	ly treed		_				Overall site slope % Slope position of the				gentle slope – C	Class 3	
01055	Soreiou										Stope position of th	est pit:		upper	- mid slope		
	Pit No.		Soil Subgi	oup			Р	arent Materia	1	Ī	Drainage		De	pth of Lab samp	le #1	Depth of Lab sam	ple #2
	10										Rapidly			0.9m		×	
Hori- zon		epth ) (in)	Text	ure	Lab H7		(	Colour	Gleyi	ng	g Mottling	Str	ructure	Grade	Consistence	Moisture	% Coarse Fragments
А	0-8cm		LVI	FS			Dark I Black	Brown,	None		None	MA	e l	Weak	Loose	Very moist	
В	8cm – 35	icm	SIC	L			Mediu	m brown	None		Few	MA		Weak	Friable	Very moist	
С	35cm – 2	40cm	НС	0			Olive	grey	Minor		Common	FAI	3K	Moderate	Firm	Moist	
Depth to	Groundwate	er	No	one				Restrictin	g Soil La	y	er Characteristic		None				
Depth to	Seasonally	Saturated Se	oil No	one				Depth to r	estrictive		Soil Layer		None				
Site Top			&	NE	nerally	to the	N	Depth to I Design	Highly Pe	eri	meable Layer Limiti	ng	None				
Weather	Condition n	otes: sho	wers – ma	x 14 de	egrees												
Commer	nts:																



80

50

40

25

20

10

5

2

0.425

0.080

0.005

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

99.0

98.2

95.8

91.6

77.4

47.0

7505 - 40 Street SE Calgary, Alberta T2C 2H5 Telephone: (403) 236-8880

## **Grain Size Distribution**

ASTM D-422

Project	Winterg	reen Developme	ent NE24-23-5-W5M		Test Hole #	T.P. # 1
Client	Robert I	Rettie			Depth	0.9m
Almor Jo	b #				Technician	CBL
Date Reci	ieved June 11	/13				
Date Test	ed June 17	7/13	Soil Classification	Gravel	1.8%	
				Sand	20.8%	
	Sieve Size			Silt	41.5%	
	(mm)	% Passing		Clay	35.9%	
	150	100.0				
	100	100.0	Soil Description	Sandy SI	LT & CLAY, trace	gravel

Natural Moisture Content 18.0 %

- Liquid Limit % Plastic Limit %
- Plasticity Index %
- Specific Gravity 2.65

Comments

**Soil Properties** 



COS – Coarse Sand		LVFS -	- Loamy Very Fine Sar	nd		SI – Silt		
MS – Medium Sand		COSL	- Coarse Sandy Loam			SCL – Sandy	Clay L	oam
LCOS – Loamy Coar	se Sand	MSL -	Medium Sandy Loam	1		CL - Clay Lo	am	
LMS – Loamy Media	um Sand	FSL - I	Fine Sandy Loam			SICL – Silty C	Clay Lo	am
S – Fine Sand		VFSL -	- Very Fine Sandy Loa	m		SC – Sandy C	lay	
LFS – Loamy Fine Sa	nd	L - Lo	am			SIC – Silty Cl.	ay	
VFS – Very Fine San	d	SIL – Silt Loam			C – Clay			HC – Heavy Clay
PL – Platy	PR – Prism	matic BK – Blocky		GR -Granular	M -	Massive		SG - Single Grain
0 - Structureless	1 – Weak		2 – Moderate	3– Strong				

Note: Infiltration distance is the depth as suitable soil below the in situ soil infiltration surface effluent is applied to.

Table 8.1.1.10. Infiltration rates in L/d/m<sup>2</sup> for wastewater of >30 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> or wastewater of <30 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> and hydraulic linear loading rates in L/d/m for soil characteristics of texture and structure and site conditions of slope and infiltration depth to limiting soil layers. Values assume daily wastewater volume estimates used in the design are based on the values set out in Subsection 2.2.2. or include the same factor of safety. If horizon consistence is stronger than firm or any cemented class or the clay mineralogy is smectitic, the horizon is limiting regardless of other soil characteristics {adapted from 2000 E. Jerry Tyler}.

Note: The application of effluent to Coarse Sand is not allowed except where the requirements of Sentence 8.1.1.3. (2) are met.

### Figure 8.1.1.10. Soil Texture Classification Triangle



Note: Plotting the percentage of sand and clay provides the remaining percentage of silt.

9

							Hyd	raulic Line	ar Loadin	g Rate, L/	day/m		
				uent				S	lope of la	nd			
Soil Charac	cteristics		1	ng rate: q. metre		0 - 4%			5 - 9%			>10%	
	Str	ucture	Effluen	t Quality	Infiltra	ation dista	nce, m <sup>1</sup>	Infiltra	tion dista	nce, m <sup>1</sup>	Infiltra	ation dista	ance, m
Texture	Shape	Grade	30-150 mg/L	<30 mg/L	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-1.2	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-1.2	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6
COS <sup>2</sup> , MS, LCOS, LMS Reauires pressure distribution	-	0SG	14.7	14.7	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4	89.5	104.4	119.
FS, VFS, LFS, LVFS Pequires pressure distribution	-	0SG	19.6	24.5	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.
		OM	9.8	29.4	44.7	52.2	59.7	53.7	61.2	68.6	74.6	89.5	104.
COSL MSL	PL	1	9.8	24.5	44.7	52.2	59.7	53.7	61.2	68.6	59.7	74.6	89.5
equires pressure distribution	r L	2, 3	0.0	9.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	PR/BK	1	19.6	29.4	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4
	/GR	2, 3	29.4	29.4	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4
	-	OM	8.8	17.6	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
	PL	1	8.8	17.6	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
FSL, VFSL	00/01/	2,3	0.0	7.3	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	PR/BK	1	8.8	22.0	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
	/GR	2, 3 0M	15.7	30.8	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6	58.2	65.6	73.1
		1	8.8	22.0	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
Ē	PL	2,3	0.0	22.0 7.3	44.7 29.8	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
-	PR/BK	1	14.7	22.0	44.7	37.3 52.2	44.7 59.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	/GR	2,3	22.0	30.8	49.2	56.7	64.1	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
		OM	0.0	8.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	61.2 40.3	68.6	58.2	65.6	73.1
		1	0.0	7.3	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8 35.8	43.3	50.7
SIL	PL	2,3	0.0	0.0		-		52.0	40.5	47.7	33.0	43.3	50.7
-	PR/BK	1	14.7	22.0	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	44.7	49.2	44.7	52.2	59.7
	/GR	2, 3	22.0	30.8	40.3	47.7	55.2	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1
		0M	0.0	0.0	-	_	-	_		_	_		
	PL	1	0.0	7.3	17.9	25.4	32.8	20.9	28.3	35.8	23.9	31.3	38.8
SCL, CL, SICL, SI	12	2,3	0.0	0.0			_			_	_	_	
_	PR/BK	1	8.8	13.2	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	/GR	2,3	13.2	22.0	35.8	43.3	50.7	40.3	47.7	55.2	44.7	52.2	59.7
	-	OM	0.0	0.0	-	_		—	_		-	_	
SC, C, SIC	PL	1, 2, 3	0.0	0.0	_	-	-	_	-		_	-	
	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0	-	-	-		-			-	
	/GR	2,3	6.9	9.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
_	-	MO	0.0	0.0	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
нс –	PL	1, 2, 3	0.0	0.0	-	-	-		-	-		-	
	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0	_	_	-	-	-	-	-		
	/GR	2,3	4.4	7.8	23.9	31.3	38.8	26.8	34.3	41.8	29.8	37.3	44.7

# A.1.E.1. Effluent Soil Loading Rates and Linear Loading Rates (Imp. gal.)

# Table A.1.E.1. Effluent Soil Loading Rates and Linear Loading Rates (Imperial Gallons)

							Hydrau		r Loading		al/day/ft		
								S	lope of la	nd			
Soil charac	teristics		loadin	ration g rate: ay/ft <sup>2</sup>		0-4%			5 - 9%			>10%	
	Stru	icture	Effluent	Quality	Infiltra	tion dista	nce, in.1	Infiltra	tion dista	nce, in.1	Infiltra	tion dista	nce, in.
Texture	Shape	Grade	30 - 150 mg/L	<30 mg/L	8 - 12	12 - 24	24 - 48	1	1	24 - 48		T	24 - 48
COS <sup>2</sup> , MS, LCOS, LMS Requires pressure distribution		0SG	0.3	0.3	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0
FS, VFS, LFS, LVFS Requires pressure distribution		05G	0.4	0.5	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
		OM	0.2	0.6	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.6	4.1	4.6	5.0	6.0	7.0
COSL, MSL	PL	1	0.2	0.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.6	4.1	4.6	4.0	5.0	6.0
<b>Requires pressure</b>	PL	2,3	0.0	0.2	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
distribution	PR/BK	1	0.4	0.6	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
	/GR	2,3	0.6	0.6	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
		OM	0.18	0.36	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
	PL	1	0.18	0.36	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
FSL, VFSL	r L	2,3	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK	1	0.18	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6 *
	/GR	2,3	0.32	0.63	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.9
		OM	0.18	0.45	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
	PL	1	0.3	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6
L	r L	2,3	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK	1	0.3	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6
	/GR	2,3	0.45	0.63	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.9
		OM	0.0	0.18	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PL	1	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
SIL	, ,	2,3	0.0	0.0		_		_	-				
	PR/BK	1	0.3	0.45	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.5	4.0
	/GR	2,3	0.45	0.63	2.7	3.2	3.7	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3
-	-	OM	0.0	0.0	_	_	-	-					'
	PL	1	0.0	0.15	1.2	1.7	2.2	1.4	1.9	2.4	1.6	2.1	2.6
SCL, CL, SICL, SI		2,3	0.0	0.0			-	_	_		-		
	PR/BK	1	0.18	0.27	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	/GR	2,3	0.27	0.45	2.4	2.9	3.4	2.7	3.2	3.7	3.0	3.5	4.0
		MO	0.0	0.0		-		-					
SC, C, SIC	PL	1,2,3,	0.0	0.0		-	-	—	-	-	—		
	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0			-	-					
	/GR	2,3	0.14	0.20	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
-	-	OM	0.0	0.0		-	-			-			
нс	PL	1,2,3,	0.0	0.0	_	-	_	_	-	_			
1.1.T	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0		-	-				-	_	-
	/GR	2,3	0.09	0.16	1.6	2.1	2.6	1.8	2.3	2.8	2.0	2.5	3.0

1



7505 - 40 Street SE Calgary, Alberta T2C 2H5 Telephone: (403) 236-8880

# **Grain Size Distribution**

ASTM D-422

					ASTIVI D-4
Project	Winterg	reen Developme	t NE24-23-5-W5M Test	Hole #	T.P. # 2
Client	Robert	Rettie		Depth	0.9m
Almor Jo	b #		Tech	nician	CBL
Date Reci	ieved June 11	1/13			
Date Test	ed June 20	0/13	Soil Classification Gravel 6.5%		
			Sand 23.99	, 0	
	Sieve Size		Silt 39.59		
	(mm)	% Passing	Clay 30.19		
	150	100.0	00.17		
	100	100.0	Soil Description Sandy SILT & CL/	Y trace	aravel
	80	100.0			graver
	50	100.0	Soil Properties Natural Moisture C	ontent 1!	5.4 %
	40	100.0		d Limit	%
	25	100.0		c Limit	%
	20	96.0	Plasticity		%
	10	95.0	Specific		
	5	93.5		namy 1	2.00
	2	89.7	Comments		
	0.425	81.7			
	0.080	69.7			
	0.005	39.4			
	0.002	30.1			



COS - Coarse Sand		LVFS -	- Loamy Very Fine San	nd		SI – Silt	
MS – Medium Sand		COSL	- Coarse Sandy Loam			SCL – Sandy	Clay Loam
LCOS – Loamy Coar	se Sand	MSL -	Medium Sandy Loam	1		CL - Clay Loa	am
LMS – Loamy Medi	um Sand	FSL - I	Fine Sandy Loam			SICL – Silty C	lay Loam
FS – Fine Sand		VFSL -	- Very Fine Sandy Loa	m		SC – Sandy C	lay
LFS – Loamy Fine Sa	ind	L-Lo	am			SIC – Silty Cla	ау
VFS – Very Fine San	d	SIL – S	ilt Loam			C – Clay	HC – Heavy Clay
PL – Platy	PR – Prism	atic	BK – Blocky	GR -Granular	N	- Massive	SG - Single Grain
0 – Structureless	1 – Weak		2 – Moderate	3– Strong			

Note: Infiltration distance is the depth as suitable soil below the in situ soil infiltration surface effluent is applied to.

Table 8.1.1.10. Infiltration rates in L/d/m<sup>2</sup> for wastewater of >30 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> or wastewater of <30 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> and hydraulic linear loading rates in L/d/m for soil characteristics of texture and structure and site conditions of slope and infiltration depth to limiting soil layers. Values assume daily wastewater volume estimates used in the design are based on the values set out in Subsection 2.2.2. or include the same factor of safety. If horizon consistence is stronger than firm or any cemented class or the clay mineralogy is smectitic, the horizon is limiting regardless of other soil characteristics {adapted from 2000 E. Jerry Tyler}.

Note: The application of effluent to Coarse Sand is not allowed except where the requirements of Sentence 8.1.1.3. (2) are met.

### Figure 8.1.1.10. Soil Texture Classification Triangle



Note: Plotting the percentage of sand and clay provides the remaining percentage of silt.

100 Edition 1 — June 2009

9

							Hyd	raulic Line	ar Loadin	g Rate, L/o	day/m		
				uent				S	lope of la	nd			
Soil Charac	teristics		1	ng rate: q. metre		0 - 4%			5 - 9%			>10%	
	Stru	ucture	Effluen	t Quality	Infiltra	ation dista	nce, m <sup>1</sup>	Infiltra	tion dista	nce, m <sup>1</sup>	Infiltra	tion dista	ince, m
Texture	Shape	Grade	30-150 mg/L	<30 mg/L	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-1.2	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-1.2	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6
COS <sup>2</sup> , MS, LCOS, LMS requires pressure distribution		OSG	14.7	14.7	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4	89.5	104.4	119.
FS, VFS, LFS, LVFS	-	OSG	19.6	24.5	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.
		OM	9.8	29.4	44.7	52.2	59.7	53.7	61.2	68.6	74.6	89.5	104.
COSL, MSL	PL	1	9.8	24.5	44.7	52.2	59.7	53.7	61.2	68.6	59.7	74.6	89.5
equires pressure distribution		2, 3	0.0	9.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	PR/BK	1	19.6	29.4	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4
	/GR	2, 3	29.4	29.4	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4
		OM	8.8	17.6	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
	PL	1	8.8	17.6	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
FSL, VFSL		2,3	0.0	7.3	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	PR/BK /GR	1	8.8	22.0	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
		2, 3 0M	15.7 8.8	30.8	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6	58.2	65.6	73.1
		1	14.7	22.0	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
T	PL	2,3	0.0	7.3	44.7 29.8	52.2 37.3	59.7 44.7	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
	PR/BK	1	14.7	22.0	44.7	52.2	59.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	/GR	2,3	22.0	30.8	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	64.1 68.6	53.7	61.2	68.6
		OM	0.0	8.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	58.2 35.8	65.6	73.1
	DI	1	0.0	7.3	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3 43.3	50.7 50.7
SIL	PL	2,3	0.0	0.0	_	_		_					
	PR/BK	1	14.7	22.0	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	44.7	49.2	44.7	52.2	59.7
	/GR	2,3	22.0	30.8	40.3	47.7	55.2	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1
		OM	0.0	0.0	_	_	_	-	-				
	PL	1	0.0	7.3	17.9	25.4	32.8	20.9	28.3	35.8	23.9	31.3	38.8
SCL, CL, SICL, SI		2,3	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	PR/BK	1	8.8	13.2	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	/GR	2,3	13.2	22.0	35.8	43.3	50.7	40.3	47.7	55.2	44.7	52.2	59.7
-	-	MO	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-				-	
SC, C, SIC	PL	1, 2, 3	0.0	0.0	-			-	-		-	-	
-	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0		-	-		-	-	-	-	
	/GR	2,3	6.9	9.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
-	DI	0M	0.0	0.0			-	-	-		-	-	-
НС –	PL PR/BK	1, 2, 3	0.0	0.0		-		-	-	-		-	
-	/GR	2,3	0.0	0.0	23.9	31.3	38.8	26.8	34.3	41.8	29.8	37.3	44.7

# A.1.E.1. Effluent Soil Loading Rates and Linear Loading Rates (Imp. gal.)

# Table A.1.E.1. Effluent Soil Loading Rates and Linear Loading Rates (Imperial Gallons)

							Hydrau	lic Linea	r Loading	Rate, ga	al/day/ft		
								S	lope of la	ind			
Soil charact	teristics		loadin	ration g rate: ay/ft²		0 - 4%			5 - 9%			>10%	
	Stru	icture	Effluent	Quality	Infiltra	tion dista	ince, in. <sup>1</sup>	Infiltra	tion dista	nce, in.1	Infiltra	tion dista	ance, in.
Texture	Shape	Grade	30 - 150 mg/L	<30 mg/L	8 - 12	12 - 24	24 - 48	8 - 12	12 - 24	24 - 48	8 - 12	12 - 24	24 - 48
COS <sup>2</sup> , MS, LCOS, LMS Requires pressure distribution		0SG	0.3	0.3	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0
FS, VFS, LFS, LVFS Requires pressure distribution		05G	0.4	0.5	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
		OM	0.2	0.6	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.6	4.1	4.6	5.0	6.0	7.0
COSL, MSL	PL	1	0.2	0.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.6	4.1	4.6	4.0	5.0	6.0
<b>Requires pressure</b>	PL.	2,3	0.0	0.2	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
distribution	PR/BK	1	0.4	0.6	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
	/GR	2,3	0.6	0.6	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
		OM	0.18	0.36	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
	DI	1	0.18	0.36	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
FSL, VFSL	PL	2,3	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK	1	0.18	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6 *
	/GR	2,3	0.32	0.63	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.9
		OM	0.18	0.45	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
	PL	1	0.3	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6
L	PL	2,3	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK	1	0.3	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6
	/GR	2,3	0.45	0.63	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.9
		MO	0.0	0.18	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PL	1	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
SIL	r L	2,3	0.0	0.0									
	PR/BK	1	0.3	0.45	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.5	4.0
	/GR	2,3	0.45	0.63	2.7	3.2	3.7	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3
		OM	0.0	0.0		-			-				
	PL	1	0.0	0.15	1.2	1.7	2.2	1.4	1.9	2.4	1.6	2.1	2.6
SCL, CL, SICL, SI		2,3	0.0	0.0		_					-		
-	PR/BK	1	0.18	0.27	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
1.14.54	/GR	2,3	0.27	0.45	2.4	2.9	3.4	2.7	3.2	3.7	3.0	3.5	4.0
		MO	0.0	0.0			-	-				_	· · · · · ·
SC, C, SIC	PL	1,2,3,	0.0	0.0		-	-	_	-				_
	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	_				-	
	/GR	2,3	0.14	0.20	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	-	OM	0.0	0.0		_	-	_				-	
HC -	PL	1,2,3,	0.0	0.0		_		_	_			-	
TIC .	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0			-	-	-	_		_	_
	/GR	2,3	0.09	0.16	1.6	2.1	2.6	1.8	2.3	2.8	2.0	2.5	3.0



5

2

0.425

0.080

0.005

89.9

86.7

83.0

72.2

45.6

7505 - 40 Street SE Calgary, Alberta T2C 2H5 Telephone: (403) 236-8880

# **Grain Size Distribution**

ASTM D-422

		and the second se					AUTHL
Project	Winterg	reen Developm	ent NE24-23-5-W5M			Test Hole #	T.P. # 3
Client	Robert	Rettie				Depth	0.9m
Almor Job	o #					Technician	CBL
Date Reci	eved June 11	1/13					
Date Teste	ed June 17	7/13	So	il Classification	Gravel	10.1%	
					Sand	17.7%	
	Sieve Size				Silt	40.1%	
	(mm)	% Passing			Clay	32.1%	
	150	100.0					
	100	100.0	S	Soil Description	SILT & C	LAY, some sand	, some gravel
	80	100.0					
	50	100.0		Soil Properties	Natural M	oisture Content	16.1 %
	40	100.0				Liquid Limit	%
	25	92.2				Plastic Limit	%
	20	92.2				Plasticity Index	%
L	10	90.9				Specific Gravity	2.65



COS – Coarse Sand		LVFS -	Loamy Very Fine San	d		SI – Silt	
MS – Medium Sand		COSL -	Coarse Sandy Loam			SCL – Sandy	Clay Loam
LCOS – Loamy Coar	se Sand	MSL - N	Medium Sandy Loam			CL - Clay Lo	am
LMS – Loamy Mediu	um Sand	FSL – Fi	ne Sandy Loam			SICL - Silty C	Clay Loam
FS – Fine Sand		VFSL -	Very Fine Sandy Loar	n		SC – Sandy C	Clay
LFS – Loamy Fine Sa	nd	L - Loai	m		SIC – Silty Clay		
VFS – Very Fine San	d	SIL – Sil	t Loam		C – Clay		HC – Heavy Clay
PL – Platy	PR – Prism	atic	BK – Blocky	GR -Granular	M - I	Massive	SG - Single Grain
0 - Structureless	1 – Weak		2 – Moderate	3- Strong			

Note: Infiltration distance is the depth as suitable soil below the in situ soil infiltration surface effluent is applied to.

Table 8.1.1.10. Infiltration rates in  $L/d/m^2$  for wastewater of >30 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> or wastewater of <30 mg/L BOD<sub>5</sub> and hydraulic linear loading rates in L/d/m for soil characteristics of texture and structure and site conditions of slope and infiltration depth to limiting soil layers. Values assume daily wastewater volume estimates used in the design are based on the values set out in Subsection 2.2.2. or include the same factor of safety. If horizon consistence is stronger than firm or any cemented class or the clay mineralogy is smectric, the horizon is limiting regardless of other soil characteristics {adapted from 2000 E. Jerry Tyler}.

Note: The application of effluent to Coarse Sand is not allowed except where the requirements of Sentence 8.1.1.3. (2) are met.

#### Figure 8.1.1.10. Soil Texture Classification Triangle



Note: Plotting the percentage of sand and clay provides the remaining percentage of silt.

							Hyd	raulic Line	ar Loadin	g Rate, L/	day/m		
				uent				S	lope of la	nd			
Soil Charac	teristics		1	ng rate: q. metre		0 - 4%			5 - 9%			>10%	
	Str	ucture	Effluen	t Quality	Infiltra	ation dista	ince, m <sup>1</sup>	Infiltra	tion dista	nce, m <sup>1</sup>	Infiltra	tion dista	ince, m
Texture	Shape	Grade	30-150 mg/L	<30 mg/L	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-1.2	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6-1.2	0.2-0.3	0.3-0.6	0.6
COS <sup>2</sup> , MS, LCOS, LMS Requires pressure distribution	-	0SG	14.7	14.7	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4	89.5	104.4	119.
FS, VFS, LFS, LVFS requires pressure distribution	_	0SG	19.6	24.5	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.
		0M	9.8	29.4	44.7	52.2	59.7	53.7	61.2	68.6	74.6	89.5	104.
COSL MACI	PL	1	9.8	24.5	44.7	52.2	59.7	53.7	61.2	68.6	59.7	74.6	89.5
COSL, MSL equires pressure distribution	r L	2, 3	0.0	9.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	PR/BK	1	19.6	29.4	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104,
	/GR	2, 3	29.4	29.4	52.2	67.1	82.0	59.7	74.6	89.5	74.6	89.5	104.4
	-	OM	8.8	17.6	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
501 11501	PL	1	8.8	17.6	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
FSL, VFSL		2,3	0.0	7.3	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	PR/BK	1	8.8	22.0	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
	/GR	2,3	15.7	30.8	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6	58.2	65.6	73.1
		OM	8.8	22.0	29.8	34.3	38.8	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	47.7	55.2
ĩ	PL	1	14.7	22.0	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
L	PR/BK	2,3	0.0	7.3	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	/GR	2,3	14.7	22.0	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6
	701	2, 3 0M	22.0	30.8 8.8	49.2	56.7	64.1	53.7	61.2	68.6	58.2	65.6	73.1
		1	0.0	7.3	29.8 29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
SIL	PL	2,3	0.0	0.0	29.0	57.5	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
-	PR/BK	1	14.7	22.0	35.8	40.3	44.7	40.3	44.7	49.2	44.7	52.2	F0.7
	/GR	2,3	22.0	30.8	40.3	47.7	55.2	44.7	52.2	59.7	49.2	52.2 56.7	59.7 64.1
		OM	0.0	0.0	_	_		_	-		+J.L		
	DI	1	0.0	7.3	17.9	25.4	32.8	20.9	28.3	35.8	23.9	31.3	38.8
SCL, CL, SICL, SI	PL	2,3	0.0	0.0	_	_	-					-	50.0
	PR/BK	1	8.8	13.2	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
	/GR	2, 3	13.2	22.0	35.8	43.3	50.7	40.3	47.7	55.2	44.7	52.2	59.7
	-	OM	0.0	0.0	_	-	_	_	_		-	_	
SC, C, SIC	PL	1, 2, 3	0.0	0.0		-	-	-	-	_	_	_	
	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0		-	-			-			
	/GR	2,3	6.9	9.8	29.8	37.3	44.7	32.8	40.3	47.7	35.8	43.3	50.7
-	-	OM	0.0	0.0	_	-		-		_	-		
нс	PL	1, 2, 3	0.0	0.0	-		-	_	-	-	-	-	
	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-		_		_	
	/GR	2,3	4.4	7.8	23.9	31.3	38.8	26.8	34.3	41.8	29.8	37.3	44.7

# A.1.E.1. Effluent Soil Loading Rates and Linear Loading Rates (Imp. gal.)

# Table A.1.E.1. Effluent Soil Loading Rates and Linear Loading Rates (Imperial Gallons)

					Hydraulic Linear Loading Rate, gal/day/ft								
					Slope of land								
Soil characteristics			Infiltration loading rate: gal/day/ft <sup>2</sup>		0 - 4%			5 - 9%			>10%		
Texture	Structure		<b>Effluent Quality</b>		Infiltration distance, in. <sup>1</sup>			Infiltration distance, in.1			Infiltration distance, in.		
	Shape	Grade	30 - 150 mg/L	<30 mg/L	8 - 12	12 - 24	24 - 48	8 - 12	12 - 24	24 - 48	8 - 12	12 - 24	24 - 48
COS <sup>2</sup> , MS, LCOS, LMS Requires pressure distribution	_	0SG	0.3	0.3	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	8.0
FS, VFS, LFS, LVFS Requires pressure distribution		0SG	0.4	0.5	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
COSL, MSL Requires pressure distribution		OM	0.2	0.6	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.6	4.1	4.6	5.0	6.0	7.0
	PL	1	0.2	0.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.6	4.1	4.6	4.0	5.0	6.0
		2,3	0.0	0.2	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK /GR	1	0.4	0.6	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
		2,3	0.6	0.6	3.5	4.5	5.5	4.0	5.0	6.0	5.0	6.0	7.0
FSL, VFSL		MO	0.18	0.36	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
	PL	1	0.18	0.36	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
		2,3	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK /GR	1	0.18	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6
		2,3	0.32	0.63	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.9
L		OM	0.18	0.45	2.0	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.7
	PL	1	0.3	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6
		2,3	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK /GR	1	0.3	0.45	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6
		2,3	0.45	0.63	3.3	3.8	4.3	3.6	4.1	4.6	3.9	4.4	4.9
SIL		MO	0.0	0.18	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PL	1	0.0	0.15	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	PR/BK /GR	2,3	0.0	0.0		_	-	_	-	-			
		1	0.3	0.45	2.4	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.5	4.0
		2,3	0.45	0.63	2.7	3.2	3.7	3.0	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.8	4.3
SCL, CL, SICL, SI	-	OM	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	PL	1	0.0	0.15	1.2	1.7	2.2	1.4	1.9	2.4	1.6	2.1	2.6
		2,3	0.0	0.0	-	-	-		-	-			
	PR/BK	1	0.18	0.27	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
	/GR	2,3	0.27	0.45	2.4	2.9	3.4	2.7	3.2	3.7	3.0	3.5	4.0
SC, C, SIC -		OM	0.0	0.0	-						-	-	
	PL	1,2,3,	0.0	0.0			-		_	-	_		
	PR/BK /GR	1	0.0	0.0					-		_	-	
		2,3	0.14	0.20	2.0	2.5	3.0	2.2	2.7	3.2	2.4	2.9	3.4
HC -	-	OM	0.0	0.0		_	-						
	PL	1,2,3,	0.0	0.0	_		_		_				
	PR/BK	1	0.0	0.0						_	_	-	-
	/GR	2,3	0.09	0.16	1.6	2.1	2.6	1.8	2.3	2.8	2.0	2.5	3.0

)



7505 - 40 STREET S.E., CALGARY, AB T2C 2H5 PHONE (403) 236-8880 + FAX (403) 236-1707

2014 11 10

100-06-13.14

RedQuest Developments Ltd. Box 11, Site 6, RR 1 Okotoks, Alberta T1S 1A1

Attention: Mr. Bob Rettie brettie@platinum.ca

> Re: Level III PSTS Assessment Bob & Margaret Rettie Residence Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311473, NE 24-23-5-W5 Wintergreen, Bragg Creek, Alberta

Further to our Level III PSTS Assessment completed July 10, 2013 and an initial Test Pit program completed March 1, 2013, at the subject site, we provide further clarification of the locations of the Test Pits. The results of initial testing in the north portion of Lots 5 and 4 indicated suitable conditions for a septic field, however the Test Pits in the south portion contained high clay contents.

The attached site plan indicates the location of the initial pits and a recommended location of the fields in the north portions of these lots.

We trust this meets with your present requirements.

Respectuflly submitted, ALMOR TESTING SERVICES LTD.



\*APEGA Permit to Practice #P2260

Attachment

cc: Ms. Michele Habrylo, Rocky View County (mhabrylo@rockyview.ca)



Approximate Test Hole Locations:

TH1: 25-30m E of WPL, 25-30m N of SPL TH2: 10m E of WPL, 15-20m N of SPL TH3: 20-25m W of EPL, 25-30m N of SPL TH4: 30-35m E of WPL, 15-20m N of SPL TH5: 25-30m W of EPL, 15m N of SPL TH6: 50-55m E of WPL, 15-20m N of SPL TH7: 45-50m E of WPL, 20-25m N of SPL TH8: 25-30m E of WPL, 25-30m N of SPL TH9: 10-15m W of EPL, 50-55m N of SPL TH10: 25-30m W of EPL, 25-30m N of SPL

Water Well in Lot 5: 122m N of SPL, 40m E of WPL





7505 - 40 STREET S.E., CALGARY, AB T2C 2H5 PHONE (403) 236-8880 • FAX (403) 236-1707

2014 12 17

100-06-13.14

RedQuest Developments Ltd. Box 11, Site 6, RR 1 Okotoks, Alberta T1S 1A1

Attention: Mr. Bob Rettie (brettie@platinum.ca)

Re: Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311473, NE 24-23-5-W5M Wintergreen, Bragg Creek, Alberta

Further to our Level III PSTS Assessment report dated July 10, 2013, we provide an amendment to the size of the lots. The area is 21 acres, divided into 5 lots. Therefore, each lot is to be 4.0 acres plus and since they are greater than 4.0 acres, a packaged sewage treatment system meeting BNQ standards is not a requirement for these lots.

We trust this meets with your present requirements.

Respectfully submitted, ALMOR TESTING SERVICES LTD.

A.B. 7 press

J.B. Montgomery, P.Eng. JBM:ms:A04844

Serving the Construction Industry for 40 Years

Wintergreen Woods Water Utility PO Box 666, Bragg Creek, AB TOL 0K0

November 30, 2014

Mr. Bob Rettie RedQuest Developments

#### RE: Provisioning water for five lots along Township Rd 234

Dear Bob

The Wintergreen Woods Water Utility (WWWU) is a private water utility that supplies potable water to the residents of the Wintergreen community as well as the Wintergreen Golf Course operated by the Resorts of the Canadian Rockies (RCR).

The WWWU would be pleased to provide potable water for the proposed five single family residential lots along Township Rd 234 just south of the Wintergreen Golf Course. We can confirm that WWWU has sufficient capacity in both our water license as well as our plant to service the proposed five single family residences.

The WWWU will reserve the water without restriction pending subdivision approval for the five single family residences. RedQuest must pay the WWWU membership fees (all final payments made) as well as develop the infrastructure before subdivision receives final endorsement.

Further,

- RedQuest will bear the costs to engineer and construct the distribution system for these five lots and tie into the existing WWWU potable water distribution network
- The WWWU must approve your engineering specifications for the extension to our distribution system
- The WWWU must approve the construction for the extension to our distribution system before we will agree to maintain it

The current costs for WWWU membership are as follows:

- \$25,000 tie-in fee (one time only paid when the lot is sold)
- \$450 / year for an un-serviced lot (fees are updated annually)
- \$1600 / year for a serviced lot (fees are updated annually)

These fees are re-assessed each year at the WWWU Annual General Meeting.

We look forward to providing water to our new neighbours.

Sincerely

J. Mark Chidwick Chairman, Wintergreen Woods Water Utility

Pat Majer

Resorts of the Canadian Rockies

#### 9.5 Summary of Public Consultation

Following Rocky View County's initial circulation of the proposed redesignation and subdivision plan for Wintergreen Forest Estates, we were advised that the County received only one letter of opposition from a Bragg Creek area resident (Appendix 5), to which we will respond to Council. We had preliminary discussions with a number of immediate neighbours prior to undertaking this Conceptual Scheme, who we made aware of our plans for redesignation and subdivision. We did not receive a negative response during conversations in this regard.

From:	Bart Carswell
To:	Johnson Kwan
Subject:	FW: file 03924010 applicants Robert and Margaret Rettie
Date:	September-20-13 12:12:59 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Sent: Tuesday, September 03, 2013 1:09 PM To: Bart Carswell Subject: file 03924010 applicants Robert and Margaret Rettie

Bart Carswell and Johnson Kwan,

I am totally opposed to the development proposed. File 03924010 Application: 2013-RV-079 (Redesignation) and 2013-RV-080 (Subdivison) Division 1 Legal Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 1311147 with-in NE-24-23-5-W5M

The maximum of five, four acre parcels is not compatible with this quarter section or the surrounding lands west, south west. the smallest on this quarter are two seven (7) acre parcels. This development would be invading lands which are mainly farm and ranch lands. Two tens would be more fitting and less impact on the Wintergreen Rd. (Range Rd. 50).

This site requires a storm water drainage study. (Bragg Creek ASP) This site sits on the bottom slope of Last Break Ridge, which is the last of the foothills before the grasslands start. It is a watershed on the eastern slopes of the Rockies. The wetlands can be seen at the intersection of Range Rd. 50 and TWP Rd. 234. This site is fed by springs from the neighboring quarter and run off from the old Wintergreen ski hill. This is also effecting the lands and homes on and around the golf resort. Rockyview has responded many times to two locations on the Wintergreen Rd. fearing the road would wash out. An extensive STORM WATER DRAINAGE Study must be conducted.

This site is also the home of OLD GROWTH FOREST and a site by site design should be required so as these trees are not removed providing a building site, but be worked around and incorporated into the the general design for future development. (B.C. ASP) There is NO M.R. shown. During the 60's when this quarter was subdivided into 5-32 acre parcels. I recall in lieu of cash we all gave land, which was to run along the back fence line (west property line). This would be used most likely only if a road was ever required to service land locked quarters as Range Rd. 51 will never be built due to the terrain. And no plans to continue TWP Rd. 234 past its present state.

With the applicant not shown this M.R. the quarter to the south-west will always be land locked. There should be limited development on this side of the Elbow River until the County has put in an EGRESS for those of us living on the North side. Every time the roads flood or we have a fire the bridge is closed to local traffic. This is so responders have access. This was also pointed out during our flood when we made the News. More than 1000 people Trapped separated from family by the river, with no way out. And sad to say but that's the truth!

As for the ability to prove water on four new parcels this is 50/50.

Please take this information into consideration when you make a decision.

Judie Norman